



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Sixtieth meeting

Version 01.1¹

UNFCCC
Bangkok, Thailand
11–15 April 2011

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft work plan of the CDM Accreditation Panel for 2011
- Annex 2 - Draft work plan of the Methodology Panel for 2011
- Annex 3 - Information note on standardized baselines
- Annex 4 - Summary of the public comments to the “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations”
- Annex 5 - Draft work plan of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group for 2011
- Annex 6 - Draft work plan of the Small-Scale Working Group for 2011
- Annex 7 - Summary of the public inputs on the call on programme of activities
- Annex 8 - Status of resources and status of secretariat’s recruitment
- Annex 9 - Compliance with indicative timelines
- Annex 10 - Establishing a consultative mechanism to support the Executive Board and UNFCCC secretariat on regional and sub-regional distribution and capacity building
- Annex 11 - Measures to enhance communication with stakeholders

Please also refer to the following documents from previous meetings:

Annex 2 of the annotations of the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board - Draft “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”

¹ This revised document (version 01.1) was issued to include reference to annex 2 of the annotations of the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board in the section “Contents” of these annotations and to correct the reference to the relevant procedure referred to in paragraph 73.



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Issues relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities *
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry **
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

** For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

I. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”².

2. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide information with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: Following the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternates are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlists of experts to replace outgoing members of all panels and working groups.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, launched a call for experts for members of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG), the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), with a view to replacing outgoing members of panels and working groups.

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to continue to discuss terms of reference (ToRs) for panels and working groups.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised terms of reference, including principles on the roles and responsibilities of all chairs and vice-chairs of panels and working groups. This discussion will allow the secretariat to gather the views from the Board to prepare these draft revised ToRs.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to hold an initial discussion on the possible revision of the rules of procedure of the Board and agree on further steps.

Background: Following the request of the CMP to the Board, through its decision 3/CMP.6, to assess, as necessary, the decision-making processes contained in its current rules of procedure, the Board agreed through its CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) 2011 to request the secretariat to revise its rules of procedure, changing the decision-making process, as appropriate.

II. Adoption of the agenda

6. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the sixtieth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its sixtieth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 23 March 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure.

² Please refer to rule 28 of the Rules of procedures of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, decision 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

III. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the fifty-third meeting of the CDM-AP and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Mr. Hussein Badarin, on the work of the panel.

Background: The CDM-AP held its fifty-third meeting on 14–17 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

Case specific

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

- (a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions;
- (b) Take note of the CDM-AP notification on a voluntary withdrawal of an entity;
- (c) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of regular on-site surveillances and take actions, as appropriate;
- (d) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of performance assessments.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation have been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 28 March 2011 under confidentiality.

General guidance

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft work plan of the CDM-AP for 2011, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fifth meeting, agreed to continue with the practice of considering the work plan of the panel in the year 2011.

10. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fifty-fourth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 3–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the progress report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel, and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its forty-eighth meeting from 7–11 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

12. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to consider the recommendation to not approve the methodology based on the proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0302 “Emission reductions in the cement production facilities of Holcim Ecuador S.A.”;

CDM – Executive Board**Sixtieth meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (b) NM0331 “Displacement of Traditional Cement Production with the Use of Low-GHG Cement Substitutes”;
- (c) NM0336 “Introduction of a new, natural gas fired combined cycle power plant for supplying heat (for space and water heating and heat for cooling) to a district heating system and electricity to a power grid”;
- (d) NM0342 “Grid connection of isolated electricity system”.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

13. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0328 “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in new buildings”;
- (b) NM0330 “Substitution of Fluorinated Compound (FC) gases for cleaning Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) reactors in the semiconductor industry”;
- (c) NM0337 “Replacement of fossil fuel fired heaters with biomass residue fired heaters”;
- (d) NM0339 “N₂O abatement in New Capacity nitric acid plants” and;
- (e) NM0340 “N₂O abatement in New Nitric Acid Plants”.

The Meth Panel will further consider these methodologies.

Responses to requests for clarification

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the responses to the requests for clarifications AM_CLA_0194 to AM_CLA_0204, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 26 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel).

The Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of request for clarification AM_CLA_0191 due to the ongoing revision to this methodology.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Requests for deviation

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the response to the request for deviation M-DEV_0394, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see paragraph 31 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel).

Background: Information on the requests for deviation is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/deviations/search?reference=&meta_type=&status=&meth_ref=&proj_ref=>>.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for deviation M-DEV_0335.

Background: Following the “Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology”, if in exceptional cases, Board member(s) disagree with the decision of the Chair of the Board, the concerned member(s), within ten (10) working days of receiving this communication shall

request the Chair, giving reasons in writing, to put the case for further consideration by the Board at its subsequent meeting.

Responses to requests for revision

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 2 in paragraph 11 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel):

- (a) To accept request REV_Tool_001 concerning revision to the approved “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system, to allow use of an operating margin emission factor different from zero in case of connected electricity systems located in countries other than the project host country;
- (b) To accept request AM_REV_0202 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology AM028, with a view to expand applicability to thermal decomposition of N₂O version 5;
- (c) To accept request AM_REV_0206 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0003, to use of the methodology ACM0003 for project activities involving partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels or less carbon intensive fuels in lime manufacture.

The Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the requests for revision AM_REV_0197, and will continue the consideration of these requests at its next meeting.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

Revisions to approved methodologies

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies:

- (a) **AM0028:** “Catalytic N₂O destruction in the tail gas of Nitric Acid or Caprolactam Production Plants”. The draft revision broadens the applicability allowing thermal decomposition of N₂O. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 1 of the Meth Panel report;
- (b) **ACM0003:** “Emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels or less carbon intensive fuels in cement manufacture”. The draft revision expands the applicability of the methodology to projects allowing for fuel switch in the calcination kiln of lime manufacturing plants. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the Meth Panel report;
- (c) **ACM0007:** “Consolidated methodology for conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation”. The draft revision improves readability and consistency, expands applicability and simplifies the methodology, for example, by restricting the baseline scenarios that need to be assessed to three options. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the Meth Panel report;
- (d) **ACM0012:** “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reduction from waste energy recovery projects”. The revised approved methodology is contained in annex 1 of the forty-seventh meeting of the Meth Panel. Due to time constraints, the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, could not complete its consideration of revised approved consolidated methodology ACM0012 and agreed to consider this at a future meeting.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixtieth meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/revisions>>.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the response of the Meth Panel to the Board's request on the requirement of monitoring the parameter ("Waste heat use within the cement works and normal uses of waste heat in cement production commonly practiced in the region or Host country") of the methodology AM0024 "Baseline methodology for greenhouse gas reductions through waste heat recovery and utilization for power generation at cement plants", as contained in the progress report of the forty-seventh meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, did not consider the Meth Panel response on the requirement of monitoring the parameter. The Board further agreed to consider this at a future meeting.

General guidance and tools

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft work plan of the Meth Panel for 2011, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fifth meeting, agreed to continue with the practice of considering the work plan of the panel in the year 2011.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on progress made regarding the work on first-of-its-kind and common practice.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 3/CMP.6, to finalize, as its highest priority, the guidance on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, the Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, requested the secretariat, in consultation with a group of members, to work on a proposal to the issue.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality", as contained in annex 5 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: The Board considered a draft revised "Combined tool to demonstrate additionality and identify the baseline scenario" and requested the panel to continue work on the tool in order to include definition of the potential alternative scenarios to the proposed project activity available to project participants that can/cannot be implemented in parallel to the proposed project activity and make the revised tool available for consideration by the Board at its future meeting.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the information note on standardized baselines, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: The CMP requested the Board, through its decision 3/CMP.6, to develop standardized baselines, as appropriate, in consultation with relevant designated national authorities, prioritizing methodologies that are applicable to least developed countries, small island developing states, Parties with 10 or less registered CDM project activities as of 31 December 2010, and underrepresented project activity types or regions, inter alia, for energy generation in isolate systems, transport and agriculture.

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system", as contained in annex 6 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: In response to the request for revision REV_TOOL_0001, the panel recommends the draft revised "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system". The changes will allow the use

CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

of an operating margin emission factor different from zero in case of connected electricity systems located in countries other than the project host country.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider:

- (a) The summary of the public inputs on the call on the tools for baseline standards from 1 December 2010 to 12 January 2011, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations;
- (b) A presentation on the draft “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations” which takes into account inputs from the call above mentioned and the outcome of the UNFCCC practitioners workshop on standards for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations; and
- (c) Recommendations by the Meth Panel based on the practitioners workshop on the draft tool, as contained in annex 7 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, agreed to open a call for public inputs from 1 December 2010 to 12 January 2011 on the following draft tools: “Draft tool for baseline identification”, “Draft tool for baseline emission calculation”, “Draft tool for the determination of the most attractive alternative of a CDM project component” and requested the Meth Panel to revise the tool taking into account the inputs received and the outcome from the UNFCCC practitioners workshop.

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider to incorporate the “Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment” and the “Tool to determine baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems” in the relevant methodologies when assessing requests for revision originated by project proponents or revisions initiated by the panel, the secretariat or the Board.

Background: The Board, at its fortieth and fiftieth meetings, approved the tools and agreed to revise the relevant approved methodologies with a view to incorporate the use of the tools.

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, as contained in annex 2 to the annotations of the fifty-eight meeting of the Board.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, did not consider the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, and agreed to consider this at a future meeting. The Board, at its fifty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat to revise the tool to cover cases when end of the technical lifetime of the baseline equipment occurs before the end of the first, second and third renewable crediting period, taking into account guidance provided in paragraph 49 (a) of the decision 3/CMP.1.

28. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude considering the Board’s requests regarding to the following tools:

- (a) “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”;
- (b) “Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”.

29. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel is preparing a revision of the “Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream” which aims to implement minor corrections and simplifications. Once this revision is finalized, the panel will start to incorporate the tool in relevant approved methodologies.

30. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel analyzed fifteen (15) approved methodologies and one (1) approved tool with the view to further improve their objectivity, applicability,

CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

usability and consistency. A list of the methodologies, including the cases which were considered by the panel as a priority, is contained in annex 8 of the Meth Panel report. The panel has identified several areas of improvement, including a broader use of conservative default values, further improving the clarity and objectivity of the language, the removal of minor emission sources and the expansion of the applicability. Based on this analysis, the panel agreed to initiate a revision of the following methodologies:

- (a) AM0023 “Leak reduction from natural gas pipeline compressor or gate stations”, with the view to improve the clarity of the language, to assess the internal consistency of the methodology, to consider the use of default values and to improve the monitoring section;
- (b) AM0030 “PFC emission reductions from anode effect mitigation at primary aluminium smelting facilities”, with the view to broaden the applicability of the methodology and to simplify the methodology by including a benchmark approach;
- (c) ACM0016 “PFC emission reductions from anode effect mitigation at primary aluminium smelting facilities”, with the view to assess the consistency of the methodology and to simplify the methodology, where possible, by including the use of default values.

The panel agreed to consider initiating further revisions at its forty-ninth meeting.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the work on the “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis” has been started and the panel intends to conclude this work at its forty-ninth meeting.
32. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel will work in cooperation with the SSC WG to revise the sampling guidelines with the view to recommend one document that is applicable to both large scale and small scale projects.
33. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the request from the twenty-ninth SSC WG meeting, seeking consultation with the Meth Panel on the submission SSC_488 which requests a revision of AMS-III.W methodology (counter part of AM0064), to include the utilization of methane captured from new exploration boreholes, could not be considered due to time constraints. The request will be considered by the panel at its forty-ninth meeting.
34. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel could not conclude its consideration of the draft “Tool to determine project emissions from freight transport”. The panel intends to conclude the development of this tool at its next meeting, taking into account the feedback received on the proposed approach during a UNFCCC practitioners workshop on CDM transportation methodologies, held in Bonn on 3 March 2011.
35. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel has started to work on the request by the Board, at its fifty-eight meeting, to review the approved methodology AM0001 “Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams”. As requested, the panel will report on its progress at the sixty-first meeting of the Board. The Board may also wish to take note that the panel will continue the consideration of the revision for following methodologies:
- (a) AM0031 “Baseline methodology for bus rapid transit projects”;
 - (b) AM0063 “Recovery of CO₂ from tail gas in industrial facilities to substitute the use of fossil fuels for production of CO₂”;
 - (c) AM0090 “Modal shift in transportation of cargo from road transportation to water or rail transportation”.
36. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, due to time constraints, the requests by the Board to provide technical inputs on the applicability and application of methodology AM0013 (version 4) and on the

CDM – Executive Board**Sixtieth meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

request for “Siam Quality Starch Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project in Chaiphum, Thailand” (1993) could not be considered by the panel.

37. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the reports of the workshops related to methodologies held in Bonn, Germany: the Practitioners Workshop on “Improvement of CDM Methodologies for Transportation” (3 March 2011); and the Practitioners Workshop on “Standards for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations” (4–5 March 2011). The information regarding the workshop is available under: <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/index.html>>.

38. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 2–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions to this meeting was 21 March 2011.

(c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the thirty-first meeting of the A/R WG and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Diana Harutyunyan, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its thirty-first meeting on 14–16 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Case specific

40. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the recommendations by the A/R WG, the Board may wish to approve the first top-down developed large scale A/R methodology AR-AM00XX: “Afforestation and reforestation of lands other than wetlands”.

Background: Following the request from the Board to develop top-down methodologies to increase extend the geographic coverage of the CDM to under-represented regions, the A/R WG considered the new A/R methodology “Afforestation and reforestation of lands other than wetlands”, and agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the new methodology. The recommendations by the A/R WG are contained in paragraph 8 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

41. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of the proposed new methodology ARNM0038 “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded tidal forest habitats”.

Responses to requests for clarification

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of the requests for clarifications AR_AM_CLA_0013 and AR_AM_CLA_0014, and will continue the consideration of these requests at its future meeting.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Revisions to approved methodologies/tools

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the amendment to the approved methodology:

- (a) **AR-ACM0001:** “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded land”. The draft amended baseline and monitoring methodology AR-ACM0001 is contained in annex 2 of the A/R WG report.

Background: The A/R WG considered an amendment of the approved methodology AR-ACM0001, and agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the draft amended methodology. The amendment

CDM – Executive Board**Sixtieth meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

addresses issues regarding applicability of the methodology in A/R CDM project activities involving short-rotation forestry and the use of recently approved A/R methodological tools.

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft amendment to the approved tool and consider to change the title of the tool to “Estimation of non-CO₂ GHG emissions resulting from burning of biomass attributable to an A/R CDM project activity”. The draft amended tool is contained in annex 3 to the A/R WG report.

Background: The A/R WG considered an amendment of the approved tool “Estimation of GHG emissions due to clearing, burning and decay of existing vegetation attributable to a CDM A/R project activity” to align it with other recently approved A/R methodological tools.

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft amendment to the approved “Tool for estimation of change in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities”. The draft amended tool is contained in annex 4 to the A/R WG report.

Background: The A/R WG considered an amendment of the approved A/R methodological tool “Tool for estimation of change in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities” to harmonize the unit of the output parameter with other approved A/R methodological tools and agreed to recommend to the Board the amended tool for approval.

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft amendment to the approved tool “Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities”. The draft amended tool is contained in annex 5 to the A/R WG report.

Background: The A/R WG considered an amendment of the approved tool to include an approach for ensuring that the precision of the estimation meets the precision prescribed in the approved A/R methodologies and to include an alternative approach for estimation of change in carbon stocks. The group agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the draft amended tool. The A/R WG requested the secretariat to develop two equations that more precisely describe error calculation in the “increment method” as contained in the draft amended tool “Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities”.

General guidance

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft work plan of the AR WG for 2011, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fifth meeting, agreed to continue with the practice of considering the work plan of the group in the year 2011.

48. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG has agreed to initiate consideration of applicability of continuous forest inventory for the purpose of verification in A/R CDM project activities.

49. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that following a request from the SSC WG, the A/R WG agreed to provide its response on the issues raised, as contained in annex 6 to the A/R WG report.

50. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG will be held on 9–11 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions to this meeting was 21 March 2011.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Fatou Gaye, on the work of the group.

CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: The SSC WG held its thirtieth meeting on 15–18 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the small-scale methodologies:

(a) SSC-III.AT “Transportation energy efficiency activities installing digital tachograph systems to commercial freight transport fleets”, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology was developed in response to SSC-NM061-rev. This methodology is for project activities that install digital tachograph systems in freight vehicles operating on a number of identified traceable routes. A digital tachograph system provides feedback to the drivers against inefficient driving and can facilitate improved vehicle fuel efficiency;

(b) SSC-III.AU “Methane emission reduction by adjusted water management practice in rice cultivation”, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology was developed in response to SSC-NM063-rev. The methodology is for technology/measures that result in reduced anaerobic decomposition of organic matter in rice cropping soils and thus the reduced generation of methane;

(c) SSC-I.J “Solar water heating systems (SWH)”, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology was developed top-down by the SSC WG taking into account inputs from experts, the project proponents and other stakeholders. The methodology is applicable to installation of solar water heating systems in residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional facilities. New construction and retrofit projects are allowed. The SWH methodology includes three options for determining emission reductions: (a) a computer simulation approach; (b) a metering approach; and (c) a default value;

(d) SSC-II.L “Demand-side activities for outdoor and street efficient lighting technologies”, as contained in annex 7 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology was developed top-down by the SSC WG taking into account inputs from experts, the project proponents and other stakeholders. The new methodology is for project activities that lead to efficient use of electricity through the adoption of energy efficient lamps and/or fixture combinations to replace less efficient lamps and/or fixture combinations in public- or utility-owned street lighting systems;

(e) SSC-III.AV “Low greenhouse gas emitting water purification systems”, as contained in annex 9 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The Type III, water purification methodology has been informed by submissions such as SSC_496 “Revision of AMS-I.E to simplify and broaden the water purification part of the methodology” and SSC_411 “Establishing the baseline thermal energy needs in AMS-I.E using literature”. The methodology is applicable to project activities involving introduction of water purification systems for residential sector and institutional systems such as purification technologies installed at a school or a community centre.

The recommendations by the SSC WG related to items (a) to (e) above are contained in paragraphs 7 to 9 and 12 to 13 of the report of the thirtieth of the SSC WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html>.

53. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC_499, to SSC_503 to SSC_514, SSC_516 to SSC_518, SSC_520 to SSC_521 and SSC_523, and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC_515, SSC_519 and SSC_522, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 15 to 17 and 21 to 39 of the SSC WG 30 report).

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Revisions of approved methodologies

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G, as contained in the annex 5 and annex 6 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG, as envisaged in the work plan (second semester 2010), the SSC WG recommended to revise the cook stove methodologies AMS-I.E “Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by the User” and AMS-II.G “Energy Efficiency Measures in Thermal Applications of Non-Renewable Biomass”, taking into account inputs from project proponents and experts. The revisions are intended to enhance the usability of these methodologies: (a) leakage calculations were simplified; (b) clarity and further options for stove performance test was included; and (c) a default emission factor for the projected fossil fuel is provided;

(b) Revision of AMS-III.Q “Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects” in response to the submissions SSC_515 “Revision of AMS-III.Q to cover project activities with multiple waste heat sources and combined cycle component” and SSC_497 “Revision of AMS-III.Q to include multiple fuels in the baseline electricity source and multiple waste heat sources”, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.Q, as contained in annex 10 of the SSC WG 30 report, to cover project activities with multiple fuels use and multiple waste heat sources. In addition to responding to SSC_515, the group agreed to recommend confining the methodology to cases where no waste energy is recovered prior to the implementation of the project activity, i.e. the recovery of waste energy should be a new initiative;

(c) Revision of AMS-II.H “Energy efficiency measures through centralization of utility provisions of an industrial facility” taking into consideration various submissions (e.g. SSC NM059 “Natural Gas thermal energy production with or without electricity”, SSC 342 “Clarification about project boundary in the application of AMS-III.B”, SSC_442 “Applicability of AMS-II.D to total energy efficiency improvement by installing CHP at site” and SSC_506 “Clarification on the applicability of AMS-II.H for project activity supplying total electricity produced to a grid”), the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-II.H, as contained in annex 11 of the SSC WG 30 report. The revision expands the methodology to cover project activity that exports electricity to a grid and those that allow continuous operation of pre-project equipment during the crediting period.

55. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the cases SSC_519, SSC_522, SSC-NM053-rev, SSC-NM059-rev and SSC-NM060-rev were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 30 report).

General guidance

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft work plan of the SSC WG for 2011, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fifth meeting, agreed to continue with the practice of considering the work plan of the working group in the year 2011.

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a revision of the “Guidelines for demonstrating additionality of micro-scale project activities”, as contained in annex 12 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG.

CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, launched a call for public inputs on “Guidelines for demonstrating additionality of renewable energy projects = < 5 MW and energy efficiency projects with energy savings ≤ 20 GWH per year”, to facilitate the work on the revision and broadening of these guidelines. The Board requested the SSC WG to make a recommendation for the revision and broadening of the above mentioned guidelines, taking into account the public inputs for the consideration of the Board at its sixtieth meeting.

58. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Non-binding best practices examples to illustrate the application of sampling guidelines”, as contained in annex 13 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG. The Board also may wish to consider setting up a joint SSC WG/MP committee to develop one set of common sampling best practices examples and guidelines covering both large and small-scale projects. Furthermore, the Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG requested the secretariat to develop: (a) a Sampling Design Document template to be completed by project proponents that submit PDDs with sampling and/or surveys for small-scale projects, large scale projects and PoAs; and (b) guidance for DOE and RIT review and approval of sampling and survey designs and data developed through sampling and surveys.

Background: As requested by the Board (EB 50, paragraph 51) and envisaged in the work plan (second semester of 2010), the SSC WG further considered inputs and revised sampling examples from experts on developing non-binding best practices examples to illustrate the application of sampling guidelines. The SSC WG has determined that sampling approaches described in CDM project design documents (PDDs) indicates significant difficulty in the application of sampling procedures and that, while it may be possible to provide more guidance, such guidance will not substitute the need for the project proponents and the designated operational entities (DOEs) to refer to statistical literature or consult statistical experts or undergo training for statistical analyses and sampling. The SSC WG has also reviewed the current version of the document prepared by the experts on the non-binding best practice examples for sampling and surveys for small-scale CDM project activities.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a recommendation made by the SSC WG on the applicability of AMS-I.D/AMS-I.F for project activity supplying electricity to identified users via national/regional grid through contractual arrangement such as wheeling/banking.

Background: To respond to public queries received, the SSC WG agreed to recommend to the Board that in cases where electricity is supplied to identified users via national/regional grid (e.g. through contractual arrangement such as wheeling/banking), AMS-I.D applies (in line with the response from the SSC WG to the clarification SSC_466 “Applicability of AMS-I.D/AMS-I.F for wind power projects feeding power to manufacturing unit of the wind project developer”). However, if the project proponents have applied AMS-I.F for such cases before the effective date of the clarification of SSC_466, the group agreed to recommend to the Board that the application of AMS-I.F may be accepted where it is justified that there are no differences in emission reductions between AMS-I.F and AMS-I.D.

60. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG provided its response to the public comments received while preparing the draft methodology SSC-I.J “Solar water heating systems (SWH)”, as contained in annex 4 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG.

61. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG provided its response to the public comments received while preparing the draft methodology SSC-II.L “Demand-side activities for outdoor and street efficient lighting technologies”, as contained in annex 8 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG.

62. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will continue working on the top-down development of a new methodology for solar cooker applications, clarifying issues related to baseline determination and monitoring (e.g. thermal energy output, efficiency testing).

CDM – Executive Board
Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

63. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 9–12 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for new methodology submissions to this meeting was 14 March 2011 and the deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/revisions for this meeting is 11 April 2011.

(e) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of PoAs.

Background: The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html> >), indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider on summary of the call on PoAs, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, agreed to launch a call for public inputs on PoAs, from 18 February 2011 until 18 March 2011, to seek comments on issues associated with the development and scaling-up of PoAs as a CDM project activity and its difficulties.

(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html> >), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

Case specific

67. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 33 requests for registration as listed in table 1 below, for which a review was commenced.

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e., one to register and the other to reject) or the same proposed decisions (both to register or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 1 below, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration.

Table 1: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Register</i>	<i>Reject</i>
3093	Hebei Weichang Zhangjiawan Wind Power Project	?	?
3097	Sichuan Songxin 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?
3114	Liusha River First Cascade 12.6MW Hydropower Project	?	?
3123	Yunnan Province Yingjiang County Nandan River 3rd Level Hydropower Station	?	?
3166	Wugang Gas-Steam Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP) Project	?	?
3182	Biomass based thermal energy generation at M/s. Ester Industries limited, Khatima, Uttarakhand, India	?	?

CDM – Executive Board
Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

3205	Sichuan Baoxing Dengchigou Second Level Hydropower Station	?	?
3236	Jiulong County Rekuhe Stage III Hydropower Project, Sichuan Province	?	?
3247	SK E&S fuel switching CDM bundling project	?	?
3328	Wugang Waste Gas Recovery and Power Generation Project	?	?
3347	Caruquia 9.76 MW Hydroelectric project	?	?
3390	Yunnan Baoshan Songshanhekou Hydropower Station	?	?
3408	Shanxi Zezhou Dongjiaohe Hydropower Station	?	?
3409	Shanxi Yu County Yanjiazhuang Hydropower Station	?	?
3412	Shanxi Yangcheng Motan Hydropower Station	?	?
3414	Shanxi Yu County Wangjiazhuang Hydropower Station	?	?
3433	SSL Wind Power Project	?	?
3463	SANIA fuel switching from natural gas to renewable biomass Project	?	?
3480	Hanyang Municipal Solid Waste Incineration for Energy Generation Project in Haining City	?	?
3517	Organoeste Dourados & Andradina Composting Project	?	?
3547	Yunnan Luquan Hayi River 4th and 5th Level Hydropower Stations	?	?
3704	Hebei Shangyi Longyuan Wind Power Project	?	?
3729	11.4 MW Bundled Small Hydropower Project in Shanjunyan and Liaoli, Guizhou Province, P. R. China	?	?
3743	Hebei Weichang Zhuzixia Wind Power Project	?	?
3755	Yunnan Yongchanghe 9.6MW Hydropower Project	?	?
3789	Jiangxi Huichang Bai'e 21MW Hydro Power Project	?	?
3799	Fujian Putian Nanri Phase III Wind Power Project	?	?
3800	Hebei Shiren II Wind Power Project	?	?
3832	Liaoning Chaoyang Waste Gas Recovery for Electricity Generation	?	?
3838	Biomass Generation Project in Xun county, Henan province, P.R. China	?	?
3850	Karya Makmur Bahagia Co-composting Project	?	?
3877	Relleno Norte Landfill Gas Project	?	?
3898	Guanhães Energia CDM Project, Minas Gerais, Brazil (JUN1123)	?	?

68. **► Action:** The Board shall continue considering the recommendation of the review team for the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

Background: At its fifty-ninth meeting, the Board was not able to reach an agreement with regard to request for renewal of crediting period for project activity “HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan” (0003) submitted by the DOE (DNV), and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its sixtieth meeting. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to renew the crediting period for the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the renewal of the crediting period of the project activity (case C in table 2).

Table 2: Request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity which was placed “Under review” at EB 56

Ref No.	Title	Register	B	C
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	?	?	?

Case specific - processed in accordance with previous review procedures

69. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for one project activity listed in table 3, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-ninth meeting.

CDM – Executive Board
Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: At its fifty-ninth meeting, the Board decided to place the project activity identified in table 3 below under review. A review has been conducted by the review team and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity (case A in table 3), to request the DOE and project participants (PPs) to make corrections (case B in table 3), or to reject the project activity (case C in table 3).

Table 3: Requests for registration which were placed “Under review” at EB59

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1167	Bundled wind energy power projects (2003 policy) in Rajasthan	?	?	?

General guidance

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to discuss policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

Background: Following the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, policy issues related to the policies and goals of the CDM arising from the experience of the assessment shall be highlighted to the Board.

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

71. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of requests for issuance.

Case specific

72. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for nine (9) requests for issuance, for which a review was commenced, as listed in table 4 below.

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the issuance requests listed in table 4 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e., one to approve and the other to reject) or the same proposed decision (both to approve or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 4 below, the Board shall decide whether to approve or to reject the request for issuance.

Table 4: Request for issuance review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

Ref. No.	Title	Monitoring period	No. of CERs	Approve	Reject
0011	Project for GHG Emission Reduction by Thermal Oxidation of HFC23 in Jiangsu Meilan Chemical CO. Ltd., Jiangsu Province, China	01 Dec 2009 - 31 Mar 2010	3487401	?	?



CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring period</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Reject</i>
0427	Electricity generation at 8 MW captive power plant using enthalpy of flue gases from blast furnace operations of Kalyani Steels Limited, in Karnataka state of India.	01 Jan 2008 - 31 Dec 2008	27459	?	?
0767	HFC23 Decomposition Project at Zhonghao Chenguang Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Zigong, SiChuan Province, China	26 Dec 2009 - 30 Apr 2010	617137	?	?
1616	Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AMA07-W-01, Perak, Malaysia	17 Jun 2008 - 31 Oct 2009	14417	?	?
1781	Chuanhua N ₂ O Abatement Project	24 Oct 2008 - 15 Nov 2009	173130	?	?
2130	Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AIN07-W-04, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia	16 Jan 2009 - 28 Feb 2010	6480	?	?
2318	BRASCARBON Methane Recovery Project BCA-BRA-01	16 Mar 2009 - 16 Aug 2009	19778	?	?
2845	Datong River Zhuchaxia Hydropower Station	18 Dec 2009 - 29 May 2010	24715	?	?
2866	Bailongjiang Shuiboxia Hydropower Station	21 Dec 2009 - 29 May 2010	19167	?	?

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 5 below.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

Table 5: Request for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
0298	4.5 MW Biomass (low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Malavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd.	1 October 2007 to 31 July 2008
0151	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project	30 Sep 2008 to 30 Dec 2008
0970	6 MW renewable energy project for a grid system by Ind-Barath Energies Limited	25 March 2008 to 24 March 2009

General guidance

74. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to discuss policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

Background: Following the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs”, policy issues related to the policies and goals of the CDM arising from the experience of the assessment of cases shall be highlighted to the Board.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixtieth meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

75. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to hold an initial discussion on how to distinguish emission reductions that occur up to and including 31 December 2012 from those occurring thereafter.

Background: Following the request by a member of the Board, the Board may wish to discuss how to distinguish reductions taking place before and after the end of the first commitment period as requests for issuance may currently be submitted for monitoring periods that span this date.

IV. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

76. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and status of secretariat's recruitment and (b) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure and status of secretariat's recruitment is presented in annex 8 to these annotations.

77. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

V. Other matters**(a) Regional and subregional distribution and capacity-building**

78. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the concept note on the establishment of a consultative mechanism to support the Board, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on establishing a mechanism, as part of the Board's support structure, on regional and sub-regional distribution and capacity building.

79. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on a training event for designated national authorities (DNA's), the eleventh DNA Forum and the seventh Joint Coordination Workshop (JWS).

Background: The meetings were held in Bonn, Germany. The DNA training on 9–10 March 2011, the eleventh DNA Forum on 10–11 March 2011, and the seventh JWS on 12–13 March 2011.

(b) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

80. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and applicant entities (AEs). The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

(c) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

81. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider measures to enhance communication with stakeholders, as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, agreed to open a call for public inputs on areas and means for direct communication of relevant stakeholder groups within the registration, issuance and methodology processes and the Board. It requested the secretariat to develop draft modalities and procedures

CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

for this direct communication taking into account, inter alia, the procedures for public communication with the Board.

82. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of the last meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

83. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all communications.

During the reporting period no communications were received from Designated Operational Entities (DOEs). The following communication from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs) and/or Governments** have been sent to the Board prior to its sixtieth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Wondwossen Sintayehu, Environmental Law and Policy Formulation, Environmental Protection Authority - DNA Ethiopia, received on 21 March 2011, relating to the expansion of ACM 0015 to cover Greenfield cement plants.

The following communications from other stakeholders have been sent to the Board prior to its sixtieth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 25 March 2011, relating to concerns on project activity (Ref. 3237);
- (b) Letter from Mr. Zhao Yonghong, Gansu Tonghe Investment Project Consulting Co., Ltd, received on 24 March 2011, relating to a request for guidance with regard to acceptable variation of power generation for hydropower projects;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 16 March 2011, relating to the summary of conclusions on Practitioners Workshop "Standards for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations", held on 4–5 March 2011;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Shiv Agarwal, KR Pulp & Papers Ltd, received on 15 March 2011, relating to a Small Scale CDM registered project (Ref. 0947);
- (e) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 9 March 2011, relating to post registration changes;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 9 March 2011, relating to a provided input on forecast submissions;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Vinod Kesava, Climate Resources Exchange Pte Ltd, received on 21 February 2011, relating to a request for approval of prior consideration for one CPA under a PoA;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Joan MacNaughton, Alstrom, received on 16 February 2011, relating to a request for careful adoption of methodologies for fossil fuelled power generation;



CDM – Executive Board

Sixtieth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (i) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 10 February 2011, relating to concerns on CDM project application 3237;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 7 February 2011, relating to submitted input on annotated agenda for EB59;
- (k) Letter from Ms. Melissa Sawaya Hirschheimer, EQAO, received on 31 January 2011, relating to the impact of CDM Accreditation Standard for Operational Entities v.2 on ongoing projects.

(d) Other business

84. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider organizational, logistical and administrative arrangements of future meetings of the Board.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, agreed to its calendar of meetings, taking into account that some of the UNFCCC sessions (AWGs) in the second half of 2011 were not yet set. By the time of the sixtieth meeting, these sessions may have been agreed and, if clashing of dates, the Board may wish to revise its calendar accordingly. In addition, the Board may wish to discuss other organizational, logistical and administrative arrangements of future meetings of the Board.

85. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

VI. Conclusion of the meeting

86. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the sixtieth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -