



## CDM: Recommendation form for Small Scale Methodologies (Version 01.1)

*(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)*

<b>Date of SSC WG meeting:</b>	As per procedures for fast track clarifications
<b>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</b>	Clarification on crediting period of refrigerators and its number for energy saving calculation in AMS-II.O
<b>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates</b> <i>(refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable:</i>	AMS-II.O "Dissemination of energy efficient household appliances"
<b>Name of the authors of the query:</b>	Anne Arquit Niederberger Institution: Policy Solutions <a href="mailto:policy.solutions@comcast.net">policy.solutions@comcast.net</a>

### **Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from Stakeholder:

1. In Equations 2 (and, implicitly, 4) the term  $SN_{y,k}$  is defined as the number of units of project refrigerators of model  $k$  disseminated into the project boundary under the project activity in year  $y$ . As  $SN_{y,k}$  is currently defined, it is not clear whether the intention was to limit the creditable savings to only one year of energy savings for each unit disseminated, or rather, to count savings over the lifetime of the new appliance, which we believe is the correct and intended methodological approach. So we would like confirmation whether the term  $SN_{y,k}$  is to be understood as units disseminated in year  $y$  on a cumulative basis.
2. The first sentence in Paragraph 5 refers to the "start date for crediting period for each refrigerator", whereas it is our understanding that the crediting period concept applies at the project (or CPA) level – as reflected in Footnote 4. Is the intention of this sentence that each refrigerator will have a unique start date for counting energy savings and resulting emissions reductions (having nothing to do with the actual project activity crediting period)? If so, perhaps confusion could be avoided by deleting the word "period" from the first sentence in Paragraph 5.

### **Recommendation by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments / change (in your expert view, if necessary).

This recommendation is as per the procedures for fast track clarifications as specified in paragraph 8 of the 'procedures for the submission and consideration of request for clarification of approved small-scale methodologies' found at <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/MethSSC\\_proc01\\_EB34a06.pdf](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/MethSSC_proc01_EB34a06.pdf)>.

**Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that:

With respect to the first question on  $SN_{y,k}$  in equation 2 and 4, i.e., the number of units of project refrigerators of model k disseminated into the project boundary under the project activity in year y, it shall be read as “the number of units of project refrigerators of model k disseminated into the project boundary under the project activity **through in** year y”, i.e., emission reductions are calculated from the start date for crediting each refrigerator (refer to the definition in para 5 of the methodology) till the end of lifetime of the appliance or the end of crediting period of the project activities or PoAs, whichever is earlier.

With respect to the second question, the first sentence shall be read as “start date for crediting **period for** each refrigerator...” as suggested by the project proponent for better clarity.

The SSC WG agreed to revise the methodology at its next opportunity of revision.

Signature of SSC WG Chair: Mr. Peer Stiansen

Date: 03/09/2012

Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair: Ms. Fatou Gaye

Date: 03/09/2012

**SECTION TO BE FILLED IN BY THE UNFCCC SECRETARIAT**

**SSC-Submission number:**

SSC\_647

**Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat:**

03 September 2012

**Date of transmission to the EB:**

03 September 2012

**Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site:**

03 September 2012

-----

**History of the document**

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
01.1	12 April 2012	Editorial changes to include new logo and other improvements.
01.0	2005	Initial publication.
<b>Decision Class:</b> Regulatory <b>Document Type:</b> Form <b>Business Function:</b> Methodology		