

 <p align="center">CDM: Form for Submissions on Small Scale Methodologies and Procedures (version 03) <i>(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments related to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)</i></p>	
Name:	Luísa Guimarães Krettl Institution: MundusCarbo Soluções Ambientais e Projetos de Carbono
Affiliation ¹ :	<input type="checkbox"/> DNA <input type="checkbox"/> DOE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PP <input type="checkbox"/> Stakeholder
Title/Subject (max. 200 characters):	Request for clarification on <i>DATE</i> _{BaselineRetrofit} calculation in AMS-I.D
Purpose of the submission:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Query on an approved SSC methodology or small scale procedures ² (Fill in field 1. below) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Revision of an approved SSC methodology (Fill in fields 2. and 3. below) <input type="checkbox"/> Proposal for a new SSC methodology (Fill in fields 4. and 5. below)
Approved SSC methodologies ² to which your submission relates to, if applicable.	AMS-I.D: “Grid connected renewable electricity generation”, version 16, EB 54
Contact Information (e-mail addresses to which the answers are to be delivered and phone contacts for possible dialogue on the submission).	luisa@munduscarbo.com +55 31 25351235
Information for completing the form Describe the questions related to the SSC Methodologies, Modalities and Procedures below. If the questions are related to a project under development or implementation, you may describe the context in which they arose.	
Query on an approved SSC methodology or SSC procedures	
1. If you have questions relating to the application of an approved small-scale methodology (AMS) please specify and provide reference to the exact technology/measure below. If you have questions related to procedures for SSC project activities please clarify below:	

¹ Designated National Authority (DNA); Designated Operational Entity (DOE); Project Participant (PP), and Stakeholder.

² The list of all approved small-scale methodologies (AMS) can be found at <http://cdm.unfccc.int> and go to CDM: small scale CDM methodologies.

In regards to the demonstration of the remaining lifetime of replaced equipment, AMS-I.D/Version 16 requires that it shall be met as described in the general guidelines to SSC methodologies. In addition, footnote #10 in AMS-I.D/Version 16 provides a link to general guidelines to SSC methodologies in its 12th version (EB 41, Annex 20 of 02 August 2008). This document states “project participants shall use the following approach to estimate the point in time where the existing equipment would be replaced in the absence of the project activity:

- (i) The typical average technical lifetime of the equipment concerned may be determined and documented on the basis of common practices in the sector and the country (e.g. based on industry surveys, statistics, technical literature, etc.);
- (ii) The practices of the responsible company regarding replacement schedules may be evaluated and documented (e.g. based on historical replacement record of similar equipment); (...).”

It is noteworthy that the approach above is the same adopted in currently valid large-scale counterpart of AMS-I.D/Version 16 (ACM0002/Version 12).

However, the most recent version of general guidelines to SSC methodologies (version 14.1 - EB 55, Annex 35 of 03 August 2010”) states that the “Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment” shall be used, which establishes that the remaining lifetime of equipment may be determined in one of the following ways:

- “(a) Use manufacturer information on the technical lifetime of equipment and compare to the date of first commissioning;
- (b) Obtain an expert evaluation;
- (c) Use default values”

One may notice that the approaches established by the “Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment” significantly differ from those established by EB 41/Annex 20 and ACM0002/Version 12. In light of this, PPs kindly request to SSC-WG clarification on the appropriateness of using the approaches established in EB 41/Annex 20 and ACM0002/Version 12 in regards to the demonstration of the remaining lifetime of replaced equipment, in the context of project activities employing AMS-I.D/Version 16.

Request for revision of an approved SSC methodology

2. If you are proposing an amendment/revision to an approved small-scale methodology (AMS), please provide justifications below:

>>

3. If you are proposing an amendment/revision to an approved small-scale methodology (AMS) please provide the draft methodology with changes highlighted.

The following documents have been attached to this form:

- ☐ Draft methodology with changes highlighted in Word and PDF formats
- ☐ PDD in PDF format (optional)
- ☐ Additional information (please specify if you are providing any information note, published paper or a report in support of the request for revision of the SSC methodology)

Proposal for a new SSC methodology

4. If you are proposing a new small scale methodology, please provide justifications below:

>>

5. For submitting a new small scale methodology a filled in form “CDM: form for proposed new small scale methodologies (F-CDM-SSC-NM)” is required.

The following documents have been attached to this form:

- ☐ Completely filled in form “CDM: form for proposed new small scale methodologies (F-CDM-SSC-NM)” in Word and PDF formats³
- ☐ A draft PDD (with sections A to C completed):
 - ☐ Relevant annexes to the PDD are provided
 - ☐ Additional information (please specify if you are providing any information note, published paper or a report in support of the new SSC methodology)

Date you are delivering the contribution:

07 October 2010

Information to be completed by the secretariat

SSC-Submission number

³ The current version of the form (F-CDM-SSC-NM) is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int>).