




## Validation report form for post-registration changes for component project activities

(Version 02.0)

*Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.*

### BASIC INFORMATION

<b>Title and UNFCCC reference number of the component project activity (CPA)</b>	Title: CPA-2: Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines' (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility Reference Number: 5979-P1-0002-CP1
<b>Version number of the validation report</b>	1.0
<b>Completion date of the validation report</b>	23/09/2020
<b>Version number of PoA-DD and CPA-DD applicable to this validation report</b>	PoA-DD: v.16 CPA-DD: v.11
<b>Title and UNFCCC ref. no. of the registered PoA into which the CPA is included</b>	Title: „Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines's (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility” Reference Number: 5979
<b>Type(s) of CPA PRCs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other methodological regulatory documents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation activities
<b>Coordinating/managing entity (CME)</b>	Land Bank of the Philippines
<b>Host Parties</b>	Republic of the Philippines
<b>Applied methodologies and standardized baselines</b>	AMS-III.D version 17.0, Methane recovery in animal manure management systems AMS-I.F version 2.0, Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid
<b>Mandatory sectoral scopes</b>	Sectoral scope 13: Waste handling and disposal Sectoral scope 1: Energy industries (renewable / non-renewable sources)
<b>Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable</b>	-

<b>Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</b>	Name: TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD) Ref. No.: E-0022
<b>Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report</b>	 Alexandra Nuske Final Approval

## SECTION A. Executive summary

The International Bank for Reconstruction (IBRD) and Development as Trustee of the Spanish Carbon Fund (SCF) has commissioned the TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program (CP) to assess post registration changes of the CPA (UNFCCC Reference: 5979-P1-0002-CP1)

### **“CPA-2: Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines’ (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility”**

The appropriateness of the proposed post registration changes at CPA level is assessed in this report.

The project reduces GHG emissions due to replacement of an open anaerobic manure management system with an enclosed anaerobic digestion system with methane recovery and combustion, and/or a flare system. Through construction of the wastewater methane recovery systems, the CPA will reduce GHG emissions from methane compared to the emissions that would have occurred with the open anaerobic system. With the installation of electricity generation units, GHG emissions will be further reduced by replacing grid electrical power sourced from fossil fuel plants with renewable energy from the recovered methane, for captive use at the project site.

Essential data of the project is presented in the following Table 1.

**Table 1:** Project Characteristics

No. CPA # 2	Project Location
UNFCCC number	5979-P1-0002-CP1
Title	CPA-02: Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines’ (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility
Host Country	The Philippines
Region:	South Cotabato
Project location address:	Biotech Farms: Barrio 6, Barangay San Vicente, Banga
Latitude:	124.8014
Longitude:	6.4469

The CPA implementer considered a phased implementation w.r.t. the gas engine sets.

As per provided documents since 2019, four Jenbacher J420 engines have been installed additionally with 1,429 kW capacity each. The engines do not operate all at the same time but operate back-to-back (only two engines are in operation at a time while the others are in stand-by (cool down, maintenance, repair or back-up)

However, currently the total installed capacity since 2019 is  $4 \times 1,429 + 2 \times 1,059 \text{ kW} + 1 \times 1,000 \text{ kW}$  equal to 8.834 MW. The two Jenbacher and one Capstone (1,000 kW) engines are however only for emergency cases but considered as installed capacity as still connected and operational. A 800 kW engines broke down in 2016 and was not in operation since then for now four years: based on that and that even new engines have been bought meanwhile the 800 kW engine, even though still at site, are not considered as installed capacity. The four J420 are used back-to-back, meaning always two are in operation at a time.

In general, the engine-generator sets are assumed to operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year with down times for maintenance. The maintenance downtime is considered to be 15 days a year. Therefore, the total annual operation hours are 8,400 hours. Additionally, an operating rate of 80% is considered.

Based on the above the expected annual electricity for use at the farm is considered at 19,205 MWh/year ( $5.716 / 2 \times 8,400 \times 80\%$ ) from year 2019 onwards based on operation of two

J420 engines at a time. Besides, a flare system is installed for any emergency cases as per verification report for first monitoring period.

The flare capacity is updated as per below.

The key parameters of CPA2 are given in Table A-2.2 below:

**Table A-2.2:** Technical data of current installed main technical equipment of the CPA

Parameter	Unit	Value
Engine Type 1	-	Jenbacher
Engine capacity	kW	1,429
Number of units	-	4
Model number		JMS 420 GS - B.L
Serial number		1388523, 1388546, 1388580, 1388627
Engine Type 2	-	Jenbacher
Engine capacity	kW	1,059
Number of units	-	2
Model number		JMC 320 C81 60
Serial number		1180055, 1180074
Engine Type 3	-	Capstone
Engine capacity	kW	1,000
Number of units	-	1
Model number		1000R-AG4-BU00
Serial number		007188
Engine Type 3	-	Capstone (Broke down in 2016, not used since, still at site)
Engine capacity	kW	800
Number of units	-	1
Serial number		006694
Total installed capacity since 2019	kW	$4 \times 1,429 + 2 \times 1,059 + 1 \times 1000 =$ 8,834
Flare Type	-	LTC 8.7
Flare capacity	Nm <sup>3</sup>	1500 max
Combustion capacity	MW	8.7
Combustion temperature	°C	800-900
Calorific value of gas	kWh/m <sup>3</sup>	5.2

**SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**

On the basis of a competence analysis and individual availabilities an assessment team, consistent of one team leader and team leader is also the team member, were appointed. Furthermore, also the personnel for the technical review and the final approval were determined.

The list of involved personnel, the tasks assigned and the qualification status are summarized in the following table below.

**B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader/Technical Expert	IR	Winter	Stefan	TN CERT GmbH	x	-	x	x

**B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on CPA PRCs**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical Reviewer	EI	Lubanga	David	-
2	Approver	IR	Nuske	Alexandra	TN CERT GmbH

**SECTION C. Means of validation****C.1. Document review**

The assessment of post registration changes consisted of the following steps:

- Appointment of team members and technical reviewers
- A desk review of the registered and revised PoA-DD, which includes the generic CPA-DD, CPA2 CPA-DD submitted by the client and additional supporting documents
- Background investigation and follow-up interviews with personnel of the project developer
- Resolution of corrective actions (CARs / CLs) (if any)
- Final reporting
- Technical review
- Final approval.

The registered as well as the revised PoA-DD and CPA-DD and supporting background documents related to the project design and the post registration changes were reviewed.

As far as required the assessment team used additional documentation by third parties like host party legislation, technical reports referring to the project design or to the basic conditions and technical data.

A list all documents reviewed or referenced during this validation is presented in Appendix 3.

**C.2. On-site inspection**

Duration of on-site inspection: -				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	-	-	-	-

It is noted that based on PoA-VVS §183 an onsite inspection is not mandatorily required for this project activity due to reason that project size of less than 100,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>e annual average GHG emission reductions.

Besides,

On the basis of the information note issued by the CDM EB on 20/03/2020 titled "CDM Executive Board agrees to relax mandatory site visits by DOEs until 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic"<sup>/COVID/</sup>, and on the basis of the following considerations

- due to the recent COVID-19 pandemic and Philippines as well as German travel restrictions, the team leader who is based in Germany was not able to conduct a physical on-site inspection activity in Philippines.
- The site visit could not be postponed due to the reason that travel restriction and 14-day quarantine measures for returning team leader are not considered to be lifted within near future.
- other experienced personnel from outside Philippines was not available, due to travel restrictions from Germany / EU and to Philippines.

However, the team leader applied alternative means as following:

Team leader conducted a remote audit via video-conference, phone and emails.

Besides, TUV NORD and the team leader has already conducted the inclusion of thirty-one CPAs and has been onsite to 24 CPAs during that time.

**C.3. Interviews**

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Calado	Prudencio	LBP	17/01/2020 and 07/07/2020	General set up of the PoA Changes to the PoA or any CPA therein Status of PoA Renewal auditing plan Application of new methodology version Related host country legislation and updates thereof Discussion on open issues and additionality approach decision, potential PRC	Stefan Winter
2.	Chua	Susana	The World Bank consultant			
3.	Segarra	Amelito	LBP			
4.	Ashida	Keiko	The World Bank			
5.	Van den Berg	Katelijn	The World Bank	17/01/2020	General set up of the PoA Changes to the PoA or any CPA therein Status of PoA	
6.	Granadino	Renee	LBP			

					Renewal auditing plan	
--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------	--

There was a general video conference on 17/01/2020 on the contracting issues and PoA/CPA-Status and changes due to ongoing PoA/CPA e.g. PoA management, methodology and legislation. A second conference call via WebEx has been conducted on 07/07/2020 to discuss remaining open issues and additionality approach of the PoA and CPA. Besides the related requests, issues and questions have been exchanged via Email due to time difference between PP (The World Bank), DOE and CME (Philippines).

#### C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with CPA-DD form	0	1	0
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies or applied standardized baselines	0	0	0
Corrections	0	1	0
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	0	0	0
Inclusion of monitoring plan	0	0	0
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other applied standards or tools	0	0	0
Changes to the project design	1	0	0
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	0	0	0
Others (please specify)	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>

### SECTION D. Validation findings

#### D.1. Compliance with CPA-DD form

<b>Means of validation</b>	<p>The project participants used a latest version of the CPA-DD form for the revised CPA-DD than the version of the CPA-DD form of the registered CPA-DD. By means of checking updated CPA-DD with the latest applicable and available CPA-DD template form the validation team can confirm that the information transferred to the later version of the CPA-DD form is materially the same as that in the registered CPA-DD besides those changes in track change and assessed under this report.</p> <p>Further it has been checked whether the latest instructions for filling out the CPA-DD template have been followed. Every section has been checked against the respective guidance.</p> <p>The following sources of information have been used in this context:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• /CPADDT/</li> <li>• /CPA-DD/</li> <li>• /unfccc/</li> </ul>	
<b>Findings</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The latest reporting template CDM-CPA-DD-FORM as listed on the UNFCCC website has been used for the CPA-DD.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	The latest instructions for filling out the CPA-DD have been followed. No adverse finding has been identified in the course of this validation.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The respective requirements have widely been complied with; however; the following issues needed to be addressed in this context: CAR 01, CAR 02
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No CARs/CLs have been raised in this context. No correction was required in the context. The project is in line with the respective requirements.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The raised CARs/CLs have been addressed appropriately. The PP has carried out the requested corrections. All respective findings could be closed out. For details please refer to Appendix 4.
The updated CPA-DD both in tracked-change and clean version is in line with the latest applicable CPA-DD form. The validation team can conclude that the information		

	transferred to the later valid version of the form is materially the same as that in the included CPA-DD.
--	---

## D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	
Conclusion	

## D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	<b>Description of post registration change</b>															
	<b>Start Date:</b> Please provide the start date of the change	15/07/2019	<b>End Date:</b> Please provide the end date of the change, if applicable													
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description of the change(s)	<p>Editorial corrections including typo mistakes, incorrect sentences and inconsistencies. This includes update of data based on current information and subsequent changes which occurred during the update for the capacity increase.</p> <p>Corrections to the CPA-DD esp B.3, B.4.3, B.5.1 to be in line with latest generic CPA-DD as per Part II of PoA-DD version 16 dated 31/05/2017. /PoADD/</p> <p>Besides, footnote 2 as per CPA-DD has been corrected to the current actual link.</p>														
	<b>Assessment of post registration change – Corrections</b>															
	<b>Accuracy:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether the deviation is likely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the ER calculation.	<p>All related updates in the CPA-DD are correct. No adverse information was available which showed that the changes are not correct. The changes are made to correct CPA-DD against current actual status and there this leads to higher accuracy of ER calculation.</p> <p>/TD//BP/ECC//DP/ As changes are also in response to the design change below the start date of the change is set to the date of the design change.</p>														
<b>Conservative-ness:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether conservative assumptions or discount factors have been applied to ensure that ER will not be overestimated.	<p>ER calculation is based on common practice in the host country and related official data besides, farm data and default values e.g. IPCC. Based on supporting documents provided /TD//CENSUS//GEN/ the information provided is correct and reflects actual circumstances. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that ER are overestimated.</p>															
<b>Appendix 2 PS:</b> Check if the changes fall under one of the scenarios of Appendix 2 of the PS for PoA.	Yes, these changes fall under Appendix 2 of PoA-PS.															
Findings	CAR 02															
Conclusion	<p>Based on the above stated the corrections to the registered PDD are in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the VVS for PoA.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3"><b>Rev. of CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA DD.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>A revision of the CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>The revised for CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Prior Approval</b></td> </tr> </table>			<b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b>			<b>Rev. of CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA DD.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The revised for CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.	<b>Prior Approval</b>		
<b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b>																
<b>Rev. of CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA DD.														
	<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).														
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The revised for CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.														
<b>Prior Approval</b>																

	<b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval

**D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period**

<b>Means of validation</b>	<b>Description of post registration change</b>			
	<b>Start Date:</b> Please provide the registered start date of the CP.	DD/MM/YYYY		<b>Revised Start Date:</b> Please provide the proposed revised start date of the CP DD/MM/YYYY
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description /reasoning of the requested revision of CP starting date:	N/A		
	<b>LDC:</b> Please check if the host country is an LDC. In case of LDCs the timeframes of the below defined categories are to be doubled.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The host country is a LDC	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	The host country is <b>not</b> a LDC	
	<b>Categories:</b> Please check under which category - as defined below – the requested changes fall. In case of LDCs the timeframes are to be doubled.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Category A: $> \pm 2$ a	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Category B: $< \pm 1$ a; not before registration date	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Category C: $(SD_{old} \pm 1 \text{ a}) \leq SD_{new} \leq (SD_{old} \pm 2 \text{ a})$	
	<b>Assessment of post registration change</b>			
	<b>Cat. A: <math>&gt; \pm 2</math> a</b> Changes of start date of more than 2 years (4 years for LDCs) are not allowed as per the PS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The change is a cat. A case. The change of the CP start date as requested by the PP is not allowed as per the PS. Thus, a corresponding CAR has been raised.	
	<b>Cat. B: <math>&lt; \pm 1</math> a</b> Prior notification is not required if changes of less than 1 year are requested. The CP start date shall not be earlier than the date of the project registration.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The change is a cat. B case. The proposed new CP start date differs less than $\pm 1$ year (2 years in case of LDCs) from the registered CP start date. Furthermore, it is confirmed that the proposed new CP start date is not before the registration date of the PA. Thus, a prior approval is not required.	
	<b>Cat. B: <math>\pm 1 \text{ a} &lt; SD &lt; \pm 2 \text{ a}</math></b> Check whether the project falls under this category. If yes prior approval is required. The assessment team shall assess on the basis of a demonstration by the PPs whether the conservativeness of the baseline is not affected by changes that have occurred in-between. Further it has to be assessed, whether substantive progress has been	<input type="checkbox"/>	The change is a cat. C case.	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	The PPs have provided the assessment team with a sufficient demonstration regarding (i) potential effects on the baseline and (ii) progress made to start the project.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	On the basis of a detailed analysis of the PP's demonstration as well as background investigation (incl. on-site inspection) the assessment team confirms that no changes have occurred to the PA which would result in a less conservative baseline. This assessment is based on the following considerations:		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	On the basis of a detailed analysis of the PP's demonstration as well as background investigation (incl. on-site inspection) the assessment team		

	made by the PPs to start the project activity.		confirms that substantive progress has been made by the PPs to start the PA. This assessment is based on the following considerations:																					
<b>Findings</b>	N/A																							
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>Based on the assessment above the changes to the start date of the crediting period are in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the start date of the crediting period in the VVS for PoA.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Rev. of PoA DD and/or CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>A revision of the PoA DD and/or CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>The revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Prior Approval</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>The post registration change requires prior approval</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>The post registration change does not require prior approval</td> </tr> </table>			<b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b>			<b>Rev. of PoA DD and/or CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.		<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the PoA DD and/or CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).		<input type="checkbox"/>	The revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.	<b>Prior Approval</b>			<b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval		<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval
<b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b>																								
<b>Rev. of PoA DD and/or CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.																						
	<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the PoA DD and/or CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).																						
	<input type="checkbox"/>	The revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.																						
<b>Prior Approval</b>																								
<b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval																						
	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval																						

## D.5. Inclusion of monitoring plan

<b>Means of validation</b>	<b>Description of post registration change</b>			
	<b>Start Date:</b> Please provide the start date of the change	DD/MM/YYYY	<b>End Date:</b> Please provide the end date of the change, if applicable	DD/MM/YYYY
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description of the change(s)	N/A		
	<b>Assessment of post registration change – Inclusion of a MP</b>			
	<b>MM compliance:</b> Please check in case of changes to the registered MP, whether they are in compliance with the MM.			
	<b>Later version of MM:</b> Please check in cases where compliance with a later version of the MM is demonstrated that the conservativeness of the monitoring and verification is not affected.			
	<b>Accuracy:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether the deviation is likely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the ER calculation.			
	<b>Conservative-ness:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether conservative assumptions or discount factors have been applied to ensure			

	that ER will not be overestimated.	
	<b>Appendix 2 PS:</b> Check if the changes fall under one of the scenarios of Appendix 2 of the PS for PoA.	
<b>Findings</b>		
<b>Conclusion</b>	Based on the above stated the inclusion of a monitoring plan to the registered project activity is in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity in the VVS for PoA.	
	<b>Revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD</b>	
	<b>Rev. of PoA DD and/or CPA DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD.	<input type="checkbox"/> The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD. <input type="checkbox"/> A revision of the PoA DD and/or CPA DD is not required (in case of temp. changes). <input type="checkbox"/> The revised PoA DD and/or CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.
	<b>Prior Approval</b>	
	<b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/> The post registration change requires prior approval <input type="checkbox"/> The post registration change does not require prior approval

**D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other methodological regulatory documents**

<b>Means of validation</b>	Type of change(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Permanent Change from Monitoring Plan</i>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Permanent Change from the applied methodologies</i>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Permanent Change from standardized baselines</i>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Permanent Change from other applied standards or tools</i>	
	<b>Description of post registration change</b>			
	<b>Start Date:</b> Please provide the start date of the change	DD/MM/YYYY	<b>End Date:</b> Please provide the end date of the change, if applicable	DD/MM/YYYY
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description of the change(s)	N/A		
	<b>Assessment of post registration change – Permanent changes from MP or MM</b>			
<b>MM compliance:</b> Please check in case of changes to the registered MP, whether they are in compliance with the MM.				
<b>Later version of MM:</b> Please check in cases where compliance with a later version of the MM is demonstrated that the				

	conservativeness of the monitoring and verification is not affected.		
	<b>Accuracy:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether the deviation is likely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the ER calculation.		
	<b>Conservative-ness:</b> Please give a detailed assessment whether conservative assumptions or discount factors have been applied to ensure that ER will not be overestimated.		
	<b>Appendix 2 PS:</b> Check if the changes fall under one of the scenarios of Appendix 2 of the PS for PoA.		
<b>Findings</b>			
<b>Conclusion</b>	Based on the above stated the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline are in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology and/or standardized baseline in the VVS for PoA.		
	<b>Revised CPA-DD</b>		
	<b>Rev. of CPA-DD:</b> Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised CPA-DD.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA-DD.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the CPA-DD is not required (in case of temp. changes).
		<input type="checkbox"/>	The revised CPA-DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.
	<b>Prior Approval</b>		
<b>Prior approval:</b> Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval	

## D.7. Changes to the project design

<b>Means of validation</b>	Type of change(s):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Changes to the project design</i>	
	<b>Description of post registration change</b>			
	<b>Start Date:</b> Please provide the start date of the change	15/07/2019	<b>End Date:</b> Please provide the end date of the change, if applicable	-
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description of the change(s)	The CPA implementer considered a phased implementation w.r.t. the installed project activity. The CPA implementer has extended the farm, increased the number of pigs and also included poultry in the biogas system. Subsequently the biogas system has been extended by enclosed anaerobic digester tanks and components and accordingly the installed capacity of the engines has been increased to destroy increased amount of biogas.		

	<p>As per provided documents since 2019, four Jenbacher J420 engines have been installed additionally with 1,429 kW capacity each. The engines do not operate all at the same time but operate back-to-back (only two engines are in operation at a time while the others are in stand-by (cool down, maintenance, repair or back-up)).</p> <p>However, currently the total installed capacity since 2019 is 4 x 1,429 kW + 2 x 1,059 kW + 1 x 1,000 kW + 1 x 800kW equal to 8.834 MW. The two Jenbacher J320 (1,059 kW x 2), and one Capstone CR1000 (1,000 kW x 1) engine are however only used in emergency cases and one Capstone (800kW) is not used since 2016 as broken down and not operated since. As meanwhile further new engines have been bought, installed and used and the engine is broke down the 800 kW engine is not considered as installed capacity. The four J420 are used back-to-back, meaning always two are in operation at a time with maximum output capacity of 2 x 1,429 = 2,858 kW. And based on this the ex-ante ER calculation is considered<sup>/TD//ECC/</sup>.</p> <p>In general, the engine-generator sets are assumed to operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year with down times for maintenance. The maintenance downtime is considered to be 15 days a year. Therefore, the total annual operation hours are 8,400 hours. Additionally, an operating rate of 80% is considered.</p> <p>Based on the above the expected annual electricity for use at the farm is considered at 19,205 MWh/year (5.716 / 2 x 8,400 x 80%) from year 2019 onwards. Besides, a flare system is installed additionally next to the engines as per verification report for first monitoring period<sup>/TD//ECC/</sup>.</p> <p>The same is confirmed from related commissioning reports for the engines, process and instrument diagram and document on confirmation on operation hours as of 23/07/2020.<sup>/TD//OP/</sup> This latter document confirms that the 800 kW Capstone are not in operation any more as it shows: "OUT of service".<sup>/OP/</sup> The J320 have almost reached 10,000 Oh and always two of the four J420 engines have similar operation hours at around 2,200 or 1,300 which substantiates the back-to-back operation.</p> <p>Further, as per verification report of first monitoring period the flare system has a capacity of 100 m<sup>3</sup>. This has been corrected as a new flare has been installed with a capacity of 1,500m<sup>3</sup>.</p> <p>This capacity increase/change and the actual current installed capacity and technology is notified via this PRC.</p> <p>Finally, as per CPA-DD appendix 6 and call with CME the manure from the poultry raising is also treated by the newly build WWT and biogas system. However, as the related GHG reduction is almost negligible compared to the GHG reduction by piggery the CPA implementer decided not to include these GHG in the ER calculation. Based on sectoral knowledge and experience by the validation team this is correct. Manure from poultry is far less than for piggery and also the biogas generation is far less than from piggery due to the reason that poultry manure is almost dry. Also considering IPCC values and comparison with piggery substantiate this. Therefore, no further changes have been applied to the ER calculation and monitoring section w.r.t. the poultry part.</p>	
	<b>Applicability and application of the Approved Baseline Methodology</b>	
	<b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed	1. The changes do not affect the applicability and application of the approved baseline methodology.

	<p>description on how the changes affect the applicability and application of the approved Baseline Methodology. Check if the actual changes would adversely affect the conclusions during validation.</p>	<p>2. The actual changes have not affected the conclusions during the initial validation.</p>
	<p><b>Additionality assessment</b></p>	
	<p><b>Description:</b> Please give a detailed description re-assessment of additionality, Check whether the actual changes would adversely affect the conclusions during validation. If required please make use of the assessment tables in the annex.</p>	<p>The additionality is demonstrated via Barrier analysis. The typical CPA is additional due to the following barriers: a) Access to Finance, b) Technological barrier, and c) Common practice. Accordingly, the eligibility criteria have been established. The eligibility criteria to demonstrate additionality at inclusion are</p> <p><b>First:</b></p> <p>The farm is operating an open anaerobic wastewater system in the baseline and the project technology involves higher costs of installation and operation to the farm owner coupled with higher technical requirements for construction, operation and maintenance than continued operation of the open system. Hence this shall be demonstrated through:</p> <p>1- Project technology involves the installation of a biogas collection and flare/use system</p> <p>2- Project needs to be financed with future carbon revenues, used as securities to repay the loan.</p> <p><b>Second:</b></p> <p>The farm is compliant with the applicable Philippine environmental rules and regulations</p> <p>Based on that, no financial barrier is to be met and no financial analysis has to be redone and assessed.</p> <p><b>Assessment w.r.t. first EC:</b></p> <p>As per documents provided such as commissioning reports the process and instrument diagram the CPA involves the implementation of a biogas capture and destruction system. This system has been extended.</p> <p>By this extension further investment was required and as per provided letter by Land Bank of the Philippines the CPA implementer had still an ongoing loan of approx. 10.4 Billion Philippine Pesos<sup>/TD//FL//CPADD//POADD/</sup>.</p> <p>Therefore, this criterion is still met.</p> <p><b>Assessment w.r.t. second EC:</b></p> <p>All related required permits have been provided for the assessment of this PRC. DOE has checked related Mayor Permits for Piggery, poultry and Biogas system, discharge permits and Env. Compliance Certificates. Based on that DOE can confirm that the CPA implementer is in possession of all required and latest permits and therefore in compliance with applicable Philippine Env. Rules and regulations<sup>/BP//DP//ECC//LAW/</sup>.</p> <p>Therefore, this criterion is still met.</p> <p>The change in biogas system or bigger flare has no impact on additionality. It further shows that additional investment is</p>

		<p>required. Further, it is also reasonable and plausible to install a bigger flare if capacity is increased to ensure that all biogas generated is destroyed e.g. if all engines are down due to any reason.</p> <p>As the CPA is still in compliance with both ECs the additionality of the CPA is not adversely impacted.</p>
	<b>Scale of the Project activity</b>	
	<p><b>Description:</b></p> <p>Please give a detailed regarding the effect of the changes on the scale of the PoA (i.e. LSC or SSC).</p>	<p>The design changes do not affect the scale of the CPA. The related methodology for the power generation is a type I methodology AMS-I.F. Therefore, the related SSC threshold is 15 MW. As stated above and confirmed by the commissioning reports, the current installed capacity is <math>4 \times 1,429 + 2 \times 1,059 \text{ kW} + 1 \times 1,000 \text{ kW} + 1 \times 800 \text{ kW}</math> equal to 8.834 MW. The two Jenbacher and one Capstone (1,000 kW) engines are however only for emergency cases but considered as installed capacity as still connected and operational. A 800 kW engines broke down in 2016 and was not in operation since then for now four years: based on that and that even new engines have been bought meanwhile the 800 kW engine, even though still at site, are not considered as installed capacity. The four J420 are used back-to-back, meaning always two are in operation at a time. Further, DOE checked related ECC which limits the installed capacity to 12.39 MW/<sup>ECC</sup> also lower than the threshold. Therefore, the scale of the CPA is not affected and far below the SSC threshold.</p>
	<b>Revised Specific CPA-DDs</b>	
	<p><b>Rev. of Specific CPA DDs:</b></p> <p>Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised CPA DD. In this context pl. refer to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Changes to the project boundary and GHG Sources</li> <li>- Changes to the baseline scenario.</li> <li>- Changes to the estimation of emission reductions of a generic CPA</li> <li>- Effects with regards to compliance with the MP and level of accuracy and completeness of monitoring.</li> </ul>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>The change has been addressed in relevant sections of the revised CPA-DD.</p> <p>The proposed change has no impact to the baseline scenario and remains the same during validation.</p> <p>The proposed change has no impact to the monitoring plan, level of accuracy and completeness of monitoring for emission reduction calculations.</p> <p>The change does not adversely impact the additionality of the CPA.</p> <p>The capacity increase is covered by the generic CPA.</p> <p>The included CPA with the changes is within the scope of the corresponding generic CPA.</p> <p>Therefore para 1 (d) of Appendix 2 of PoA PS 02.0 applies and prior approval is not required.</p>
<b>Findings</b>	CL 01, CAR 02	
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p><b>Traceability:</b></p> <p>Check if the PPs have provided a revised CPA DD in both clean</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>The revised CPA DD has been forwarded in (i) track-change and (ii) clean version.</p>

	and track-change version.		
	<b>Prior approval:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval.
	Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval

**D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation activities**

<b>Means of validation</b>	N/A
<b>Findings</b>	
<b>Conclusion</b>	

**SECTION E. Internal quality control**

Before submission of the final assessment report a technical review is carried out. The technical reviewer is a competent GHG auditor being appointed for the scope this project falls under. The technical reviewer is not considered to be part of the verification team and thus not involved in the decision-making process up to the technical review.

As a result of the technical review process the assessment opinion as prepared by the validation team leader may be confirmed or revised. Furthermore, reporting improvements might be achieved

**SECTION F. Validation opinion**

The below listed changes have occurred after the registration of the component project activity:

<i>Type of Change occurred</i>	<i>Total No. of changes</i>	<i>No. of changes which require prior approval</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the MP	-	-
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the MM	-	-
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections that do not affect the project	1	0
<input type="checkbox"/> Change to the start date of the crediting p.	-	-
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from the MP	-	-
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from the MM	-	-
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design changes to the project	1	0
<input type="checkbox"/> Change of coordinating/managing entity	-	-
<input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of monitoring plan	-	-
<input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation activities	-	-

The above listed post registration change(s) do(es) not require prior approval by the Board.

Essen, 23/09/2020

  
Stefan Winter

TÜV NORD JI/CDM CP  
Assessment Team Leader

## Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
CA	Corrective Action / Clarification Action
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
CL	Clarification Request
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
CP	Certification Program
CPA	Component Project Activities
DNA	Designated National Authority
EB	CDM Executive Board
ER	Emission Reduction
FAR	Forward Action Request
FSR	Feasibility Study Report
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
MP	Monitoring Plan
MR	Monitoring Report
PA	Project activity
PCP	Project Cycle Procedure
PDD	Project Design Document
PoA	Programme of Activities
PP	Project Participant
PRC	Post Registration Changes
PS	Project Standard
QC/QA	Quality control/Quality assurance
TR	Technical Review
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VT	Validation Team/Verification Team
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard
XLS	Emission Reduction Calculation Spread Sheet

## Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers



### Statement of Competence

Appointment and authorization according to the procedures of the TÜV NORD JVCMD Certification Program

Mr. Stefan Winter

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Senior Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2023-07-27
VCS / ISO14064-2	Senior Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2023-07-27

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.1	Thermal energy generation
1.2	Renewables
2.1	Energy distribution
3.1	Energy demand
4.1	Cement and lime production
4.2	Paper
5.2	Caprolactam, nitric and adipic acid
9.1	Aluminium and magnesium production
9.2	Iron, steel and Ferro-alloy production
10.1	Fugitive emissions from oil and gas
13.1	Solid waste and wastewater
13.2	Manure

163 – Rev. 7, Date: 2020-07-22

163\_S01-VA060-F20\_2020-07-22\_rev7

S01-VA060-F20 rev3 / 2012-10-28

S01\_S01-VA060-F20\_2018-10-10\_rev7.doc

S01-VA060-F20 rev3 / 2012-10-28



### Statement of Competence

Appointment and authorization according to the procedures of the TÜV NORD JVCMD Certification Program

Mr. David Lubanga

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Senior Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2021-10-20
VCS / ISO 14064-2	Senior Assessor Technical Reviewer	2021-10-20

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.2	Renewables
3.1	Energy demand
13.2	Manure

251 - Rev. 7, Date: 2018-10-19

## Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	/CPADD-T/	UNFCCC	Component project activity design document form for CDM component project activities (CDM-CPA-DD-FORM) –version 9.0	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/index.html">https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/index.html</a>	Other
2.	/CPM/	DOE	TÜV NORD JI / CDM CP Manual (incl. CP procedures and forms)	-	Other
3.	/GOT/	UNFCCC	Glossary “CDM terms” – version 10.0	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/ex/t/extfile-20150226124447549-glos_CDM.pdf/glos_CDM.pdf?t=UmZ8bnFjODI3fD CW9A3vJwR03k QQh4sbLiYu">https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/ex/t/extfile-20150226124447549-glos_CDM.pdf/glos_CDM.pdf?t=UmZ8bnFjODI3fD CW9A3vJwR03k QQh4sbLiYu</a>	Other

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
4.	<b>/IPCC/</b>	IPCC	1. 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: work book 2. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: work book	<a href="http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp">www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp</a>	Other
5.	<b>/KP/</b>	UNFCCC	Kyoto Protocol (1997)	<a href="http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/items/2830.php">http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/items/2830.php</a>	Other
6.	<b>/MA/</b>	UNFCCC	Decision 3/CMP. 1 (Marrakesh – Accords)	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/CO2PMOP/index.html">http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/CO2PMOP/index.html</a>	Other
7.	<b>/METH/</b>	UNFCCC	AMS-III.D. ver. 17.0: Methane recovery in animal manure management systems  AMS-I.F. ver. 2.0: Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/approved">https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/approved</a>	Other
8.	<b>/TOOL/</b>	UNFCCC	Methodological Tools: - “Tool to calculate project or leakage CO2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion” version 3.0, - “Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” version 3.0, - “Project and leakage emissions from anaerobic digesters” version 2.0, - “Project emissions from flaring” version 3.0, - “Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream” version 3.0, - “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” version 7.0 - “Assessment of debundling for small-scale project activities” version 4	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/tools/index.html">http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/tools/index.html</a>	Other
9.	<b>/PS/</b>	UNFCCC	CDM project standard for programmes of activities version 2.0	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html">http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html</a>	Other
10.	<b>/SAMPLE/</b>	UNFCCC	- Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme Activities – version 04.0 - Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme Activities – version 8.0	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Guidclarif/index.html">https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Guidclarif/index.html</a> <a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html">http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html</a>	Other
11.	<b>/VVS/</b>	UNFCCC	- CDM validation and verification standard for programmes of activities version 2.0	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html">http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html</a>	Other
12.	<b>/CON/</b>	DOE	Signed Contract for carrying out the validation of the CPA Renewal of CP among TÜV Nord and Land Bank of the Philippines	-	Other
13.	<b>/ACPC/</b>	ACPC	Costs of Agricultural Credit and Interest Rate Sensitivity of Small Farmers: An Empirical Study, Agricultural Credit Policy Council, Agham C. Cuevas, DURATION: June 11, 2014 – May 10, 2015	<a href="http://www.acpc.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Cost-of-Agricultural-Credit.pdf">http://www.acpc.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Cost-of-Agricultural-Credit.pdf</a>	Other

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
			Report: 2017 BANK LENDING TO AGRICULTURE	<a href="http://www.acpc.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/2017-Bank-Lending-to-Agriculture.pdf">http://www.acpc.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/2017-Bank-Lending-to-Agriculture.pdf</a>	
14.	/EL/	-	<p><u>Environmental Legislation:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Phil. Clean Water Act of 2004</li> <li>- Clean Air Act of 1999</li> <li>- Philippine Environment Code Presidential Decree No. 1152</li> <li>- Philippine Environmental Policy Presidential Decree No. 1151</li> <li>- The Water Code of the Philippines Presidential Decree No. 1067</li> <li>- National Pollution Control Commission Presidential Decree No. 984</li> <li>- Marine Pollution Decree of 1976 Presidential Decree No. 979</li> <li>- Presidential Decree No. 522</li> <li>- Code on Sanitation of the Philippines Presidential Decree No. 856</li> <li>- Penalty for Improper Garbage Disposal Presidential Decree No. 825</li> <li>- Environmental Impact Statement System – Areas/Types of Projects Proclamation No. 2146</li> <li>- PROCLAMATION NO. 1134</li> <li>- PROCLAMATION NO. 1136</li> <li>- PROCLAMATION NO. 1127</li> <li>- PROCLAMATION NO. 1119</li> <li>- JOINT AO DENR-DOST 2006-01</li> </ul> <p>DENR Administrative Order No 2005-10: Implementing Rules and Regulations of the Philippine Clean Water Act of 2004 as of May 16 2005</p>	<a href="http://www.chanrobles.com/legal9.htm#.Vq3Ma13UjIU">http://www.chanrobles.com/legal9.htm#.Vq3Ma13UjIU</a> <a href="http://www.denr.gov.ph/laws-and-policies.html">http://www.denr.gov.ph/laws-and-policies.html</a>	Other
15.	/GEN/	Hypor France	Genetic source pedigree certificate Reg. No. –[CAN]2180667- by Canadian Swine Breeders Association dated 19/04/2013	-	CME
16.	/FFR/	PP	Feed Formulation Ratio and Feed Composition Documents for different piggery type and age dated 02/07/2020	-	CME
17.	/ECC/	EMB	Env. Compliance Certificate No: ECC-R12 1506-0053 dated 02/07/2015 Amendment Env. Compliance Certificate No: ECC-R12 1506-0053 dated 21/07/2019	-	CME
18.	/DP/	EMB	Discharge Permit 15-WDP-C-1263-088 dated 08/05/2015 Official Receipt for Application for Discharge Permit for 2020 dated 18/07/2020	-	CME
19.	/BP/	Municipal Mayor	Business Permit for Biotech Farms for Piggery	-	CME

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
			No: 20-458-1 dated 13/02/2020 Business Permit for Biotech Farms for Biogas No: 20-457 dated 13/02/2020 Business Permit for Biotech Farms for Poultry No: 20-458 dated 13/02/2020		
20.	<b>/OP/</b>	CME	Overview of operating hours of different installed engines by Biotech Farms as of 23/07/2020	-	CME
21.	<b>/LOA/</b>	DNA	Letter of Approval for PoA	-	Other
22.	<b>/CPADD/</b>	PP	Component Project Activity: "CPA-2: Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines' (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility" Version 05 – 18/05/2015 version 06 – 31/05/2017 version 07 – 28/08/2020 version 08 – 14/09/2020 version 09 – 16/09/2020 version 10 – 18/09/2020 version 11 – 21/09/2020	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/PRCCContainer/DB/prcp555384061/view">https://cdm.unfccc.int/PRCCContainer/DB/prcp555384061/view</a>	CME UNFCCC
23.	<b>/XLS/</b>	CME	CPA 2 Ex-ante Emission Reduction spreadsheet: CER-CPA2-5979-PRC revised CER-CPA2-5979-PRC revised tracked (version 3)	-	CME
24.	<b>/POADD/</b>	CME	Programme of Activities: "Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines' (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility" version 16 – 31/05/2017	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/06GHFO2NC9MS3YWA7PDTQR8LKZVU14/view">http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/06GHFO2NC9MS3YWA7PDTQR8LKZVU14/view</a>	UNFCCC
25.	<b>/CENSUS/</b>	CME	Excel spreadsheets on weighing details for sows, gilts, farrowing, nursery and growing Excel spreadsheets on daily stock movement for 2019 Sales reports, inventory records		CME
26.	<b>/INCL/</b>	DNV	CPA inclusion report for CPA titled "CPA-2: Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines'(LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility" dated 04/09/2013 by DNV	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/b/n/PNRUZTIA42B8L6O7CSY1EJVQ5XGF3M.pdf/CPA-5979-VCR-04-09.pdf?t=Yzl8cWdqcxXE0fDDdC_z45eqDr9WZ0zvCdOMp">https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/b/n/PNRUZTIA42B8L6O7CSY1EJVQ5XGF3M.pdf/CPA-5979-VCR-04-09.pdf?t=Yzl8cWdqcxXE0fDDdC_z45eqDr9WZ0zvCdOMp</a>	UNFCCC
27.	<b>/TD/</b>	PP	<u>Project technical description:</u> - Commissioning report by Capstone dated 14/12/2017 - Commissioning report by Jenbacher on JMC 320 dated 04/12/2017	-	PP

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Commissioning report by Jenbacher/EuroAsiatic on JMS 420 dated 07/06/2019</li> <li>- Equipment acceptance report on JMS 420 dated 15/07/2019</li> <li>- Pictures of name plates of engines</li> <li>- Completion Certificate "Renewable Energy Biogas Digester Plant and Wastewater Treatment Plant at Biotech Farms Inc. by Sobono Energy dated 15/12/2017</li> <li>- Renewable Energy/Biogas digester and WWT Plant process and instrument flow chart and diagram</li> <li>- Flare specification</li> </ul>		
28.	<b>/VER/</b>		Verification report on Programme of Activities: "Methane recovery and combustion with renewable energy generation from anaerobic animal manure management systems under the Land Bank of the Philippines' (LBP) Carbon Finance Support Facility" dated 06/04/2016 by Bureau Veritas Certification	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/PoAIssuance/iss_db/poais287465719/view">https://cdm.unfccc.int/PoAIssuance/iss_db/poais287465719/view</a>	
29.	<b>/SNV/</b>	SNV	Feasibility Study titled: "Feasibility Study of a National Biogas Program on Domestic Biogas in the Philippines." by SNV Netherlands Development Organization and Winrock International. April 2010.	-	PP
30.	<b>/dna/</b>	EMB	Republic of the Philippines Environmental Management Bureau	<a href="http://emb.gov.ph/">http://emb.gov.ph/</a>	Other
31.	<b>/ipcc/</b>	-	IPCC publications  For GWP methane (page 214 of document as per link)	<a href="http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp">www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp</a> <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf">https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf</a>	Other
32.	<b>/unfccc/</b>	-	UNFCCC	<a href="http://cdm.unfccc.int">http://cdm.unfccc.int</a>	Other
33.	<b>/pagasa/</b>	PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical & Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA)	<a href="http://www.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/">http://www.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/</a>	Other
34.	<b>/grid/</b>	Philippine Department of Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Philippine Department of Energy</li> <li>- Link to data for the national grid emission factor for Luzon-Visayas and Mindanao Grid</li> <li>- 2016 Philippine Power Situation Report by Electric Power Industry Management Bureau, Department of Energy</li> <li>- List of existing power plants Luzon Grid as of Dec 2019</li> <li>- List of existing power plants Mindanao grid as of June 2019</li> <li>- List of existing power plants Visayas Grid as of June 2019</li> </ul>	<a href="https://www.doe.gov.ph/electric-power/2015-2017-national-grid-emission-factor-ngef">https://www.doe.gov.ph/electric-power/2015-2017-national-grid-emission-factor-ngef</a> <a href="https://www.doe.gov.ph/sites/default/files/pdf/electric_power/power_situationer/2016_philippine_power_situation_report.pdf">https://www.doe.gov.ph/sites/default/files/pdf/electric_power/power_situationer/2016_philippine_power_situation_report.pdf</a> <a href="https://www.doe.gov.ph/electric-">https://www.doe.gov.ph/electric-</a>	Other

No	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
.			- List of existing off-grid power plants Luzon, Visayas and Mindanao grid as of June 2019	<a href="#">power/2015-2017-national-grid-emission-factor-ngef?q=list-existing-power-plants</a>	
35.	<b>/MoA/</b>		Memorandum of Agreement between Biotech Farms and Land Bank of the Philippines on Purchase of CERs dated 28/10/2009		CME
36.	<b>/FL/</b>	LPB	Certification letter by Land Bank of the Philippines on amount of outstanding loan balance as of 31/12/2019 dated 11/02/2020		CME
37.	<b>/COVID/</b>	-	EB announcement on relaxation of mandatory onsite inspections considering the COVID-19 pandemic	<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/newsroom/latestnews/releases/2020/01041_in dex.html">https://cdm.unfccc.int/newsroom/latestnews/releases/2020/01041_in dex.html</a>	-

## Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID	01	Section no.	D.6.3	Date	12/09/2020
<b>Description of CL</b>					
Following clarifications are requested w.r.t. the installed capacities:					
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Process and instrument diagram shows 2 x J320, 4xJ420, 1 Capstone CR1000 and 1 Capstone CR800 engine. Therefore, clarify which engines are currently installed and which ones are in use and operation. Further, pls clarify if the ones which are installed but not used are still connected to the biogas system.</li> <li>Finally, clarification is requested on the exact date when the installed capacity has been increased to determine the date from which this change is applicable. As per CPA-DD appendix 6 it is stated that the monitoring of the change started 17/09/2018 whereas the commissioning report for the 4 Jenbacher J420 engines is signed on 15/07/2019. The 1,000 kW max output was already stated in CPA-DD version 5 dated 18/05/2015 submitted under PRC-5979- pg 15 under related eligibility criterion on max allowed output capacity of 15 MW and ER calculation pg 26.</li> </ol>					
<b>CME response (1st round)</b>				<b>Date</b>	14/09/2020
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We note the following engines installed and still connected to the biogas digester: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2 x J320 (Serial Nos. 1180055 and 1180074);</li> <li>4 x J420 (Serial Nos. 1388523; 1388546; 1388580; and 1388627);</li> <li>1 x Capstone CR1000 (Serial No. 007188); and</li> <li>1 x Capstone CR800 (Serial No. 006694).</li> </ul> Of these, Capstone CR800 (Serial No. 006694) though still connected with the biogas system, is not used (not recording), and not in operation. The Capstone C800 has not been in use since July 2016. The rest of the above engines are installed, still in use, and in operation. </li> <li>The completion of the phased increase described is on 15/07/2019 when the 4 x Jenbacher J420 engines were commissioned; this may be taken as date when the installed capacity has been</li> </ol>					

increased. The 17/09/2018 date denotes the start of monitoring (using SCADA system, earlier installed August 2018) involving CR1000 and 2 x J420 engines (already installed since December 2017).

The ER calculation spreadsheet has been revised accordingly. The following sections of PoA5979-CPA2-DD ver. 8 have also been revised accordingly: Title Page; A.1; B4.2 (EG<sub>y</sub>); B4.3 (pp.25-27); B4.4; and Appendix 6.

**Documentation provided by CME**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes in CPA-DD	Section(s): Title Page; A.1; B4.2 (EG <sub>y</sub> ); B4.3 (pp.25-27); B4.4; and Appendix 6	New version No.: 8
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes in XLS	Worksheet(s): ER calculation	New version No.: 3
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:		

**DOE assessment (1<sup>st</sup> round)**
**Date** 14/09/2020

1. Ok. Clarification has been provided w.r.t. which engine(s) is/are in use and which are connected and not used. This is in line with commissioning certificates provided.
2. Ok. The capacity increase for 4 x J420 occurred on 15/07/2019 and therefore the ex-ante estimation and ER spreadsheet have been adjusted accordingly to this date.

**Conclusion**
*Tick the appropriate checkbox*

- ☐ Additional action should be taken (finding remains open)
- ☒ The finding is closed

**Table 2. CARs from this validation**

Table 11: CARs with finding validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	Title page	Date	12/09/2020
Description of CL					
Title page: Title page refers to Biotech Farms for CME however the CME as per related UNFCCC PoA webpage is Landbank of the Philippines. Biotech farms is the related CPA implementer. Pls revise accordingly.					
CME response (1st round)				Date	14/09/2020
The CPA-DD has been revised; 'Land Bank of the Philippines' now indicated as CME in Title Page.					
Documentation provided by CME					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes in CPA-DD	Section(s): Title page		New version No.: 8		
<input type="checkbox"/> Changes in XLS	Worksheet(s):		New version No.:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:					
DOE assessment (1st round)				Date	14/09/2020
Ok. The title page of the CPA-DD has been corrected accordingly and states now the CME and not the CPA Implementer.					
Conclusion <i>Tick the appropriate checkbox</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Additional action should be taken (finding remains open) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The finding is closed			

CAR ID	02	Section no.	General	Date	15/09/2020
<b>Description of CL</b>					
Inconsistencies have been identified in the CPA-DD as following: A.4 provides the name of the CPA implementer whereas the CME is to be provided. B.1: the link <a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/XA6RFBK3QM9T8S6ELI0V4P8SY8RR2U">https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/XA6RFBK3QM9T8S6ELI0V4P8SY8RR2U</a> refers to AMS-I.E. Pls clarify as this methodology is not applied. B.4.2: EG <sub>y</sub> : the updated values due to the change in capacity have not been considered in Appendix 6. Pls clarify. B.4.3: DD refers that leakage is set to zero as CPA does not involve replacement of equipment: however, please see §17 of AMS-III.D. B.4.4: Pls clarify why table refers to "7 years" as "year" is already given in general description.  Appendix 6: Please clarify why the change in flare is not considered Pls clarify why previous PRC approved on 14/08/2017 (5979-P1-0002-CP1) is not considered in appendix 6.					
<b>CME response (1<sup>st</sup> round)</b>				<b>Date</b>	16/09/2020
The CPA-DD has been revised w.r.t. all related issues raised.					
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes in CPA-DD	Section(s): Title page		New version No.: 9		
<input type="checkbox"/> Changes in XLS	Worksheet(s):		New version No.:		

<input type="checkbox"/> Other:		
<b>DOE assessment (1<sup>st</sup> round)</b>	<b>Date</b>	17/09/2020
<p>Ok. A.4 provides name of CME.</p> <p>Ok. B.1 the link has been exchanged by the correct link to AMS-I.F: v2.</p> <p>Ok. Appendix 6 considers now the updated values for parameter EGy as a correction.</p> <p>Ok. Statement has been revised in line with related methodology. No leakage is required as per methodology.</p> <p>Ok. "year" has been deleted as double.</p> <p>Ok. Provided now in Appendix 6 and also started in section B.5.3 "During the crediting period, the enclosed flare (100 m3/hr) was replaced with a larger enclosed flare (1,500 m3/hr)."</p> <p>Ok. All issues as per previous PRC have been considered now.</p> <p>Not ok. B.5.1: At QA/QC of Parameter W<sub>site</sub> newly specification is provided. Pls clarify as this is inconsistent to generic CPA-DD.</p> <p>Not ok. Following issues have identified w.r.t. section F:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Please clarify why in latest CPA-DD it is referred to in several ECs to "livestock farm" whereas the CPA-DD v6 and generic CPA-DD refer to "Piggery farm". Hence, inconsistent.</li> <li>b) EC4: Clarify why reference to (<a href="http://www.weather.gov.ph">www.weather.gov.ph</a>) has been deleted. This is inconsistent with generic DD and previous DD.</li> <li>c) The list of eligibility criteria is inconsistent with the generic CPA-DD and previous CPA-DD list. Please clarify why it has been extended by EC25-29.</li> <li>d) Based on a) to c), please clarify why this is not considered a PRC as per PoA-PS §238 (b) and PoA-VVS §270 (b).</li> </ul>		
<b>CME response (2<sup>nd</sup> round)</b>	<b>Date</b>	18/09/2020
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- On clarification raised on Section B.5.1 (QA/QC of Parameter W<sub>site</sub>), entry deleted to make consistent with generic CPA-DD.</li> <li>- Following issues have identified with respect to section F:</li> <li>a.) "Livestock farms" revised to "Piggery farms" (see EC2 and EC3) to make consistent with reference CPA-DDs.</li> <li>b.) Reference to <a href="http://www.weather.gov.ph">www.weather.gov.ph</a> was deleted because link is now connecting to a wholly unrelated website. The replacement link: <a href="http://bagong.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/information/climate-philippines">http://bagong.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/information/climate-philippines</a> - is the correct, updated website link as far as can be ascertained.</li> <li>c.) This list was erroneously added; EC25-EC29 now deleted to replicate the information from corresponding generic CPA-DD.</li> <li>d.) With changes/revisions done relative to a.) and c.), clarification d.) now does not apply; with change done relative to b.), this may be considered a correction and already duly noted/included in Appendix 6.</li> </ul>		
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes in CPA-DD	Section(s): Title Page; B.5.1; and F	New version No.: 10
<input type="checkbox"/> Changes in XLS	Worksheet(s):	New version No.:
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:		
<b>DOE assessment (2<sup>nd</sup> round)</b>	<b>Date</b>	18/09/2020
<p>B.5.1: Ok. Related QA/QC has been deleted and is now in line with previous, latest registered CPA-DD version 6.</p> <p>Section F:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ok. CPA-DD has been corrected to Piggery farms as per related latest CPA-DD</li> <li>- Ok. DOE checked related link and link to <a href="http://www.weather.gov.ph">www.weather.gov.ph</a> is no longer available and is replaced by bagong website link. Related correction is therefore considered correct and applicable and leads to accurate description of the EC.</li> <li>- Ok. Related additional added ECs have been deleted now and List of eligibility criteria are now consistent with latest registered CPA-DD version 6.</li> </ul>		

- Ok. As assessed above, issues are either deleted and considered for this PRC or are corrections. Therefore, no further issue to be considered as per PoA-PS §238 (b) or PoA-VVS §270 (b).

However, the CPA-DD is not in correct track-change version as required for submission.

**CME's response****Date:** 21/09/2020

CPA-DD accordingly adjusted.

**Documentation provided by CME**

CPA-DD version 11

**DOE assessment****Date:** 21/09/2020

Ok. CPA-DD has been corrected. All information not presented in previous DD has been provided in track change mode.

All outstanding issues have been resolved this finding is closed.

**Conclusion**

*Tick the appropriate checkbox*

- ☐ Additional action should be taken (finding remains open)  
☒ The finding is closed

**Table 3. FARs from this validation**

FAR ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of FAR</b>			
NA			
<b>CME's response</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>			
<b>DOE assessment</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>

- - - - -

**Document information**

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for programmes of activities” (CDM-EB93-A08-STAN);</li><li>• Make editorial improvements.</li></ul>
01.0	29 December 2017	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, component project activity, validation report		