



Xingtong Li/CHN/VERITAS


2012/05/29 09:31

To CDM Project Registration <cdmregistration@unfccc.int>

cc Qin Huang/CHN/VERITAS@VERITAS, Robin

Wang/CHN/VERITAS@VERITAS

bcc Wei WW Wang/CHN/VERITAS

Subject Re: Request For Issuance Incomplete for Shandong
Huaneng Shouguang 49.5MW Wind Farm Project (3391) 

[Ref](#)

Dear UNFCCC secretariat,

The Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH) was informed on 23/05/2012 that the request for issuance of CDM project "Shandong Huaneng Shouguang 49.5MW Wind Farm Project "(Ref. No.3391) covering the period of 25/12/2010 to 24/12/2011 is incomplete because of one question received from the Secretariat. We would like to provide further clarifications on this issue to you.

"Scope: *The verification report does not state that the monitoring has been carried out in accordance with registered or the accepted revised monitoring plan. (VVM v.1.2 para 206 & 221(d))*

Issue: *The monitoring report page 7 states that the net electricity output to the grid was monitored with M1 and the project owner crosschecked it with M2 at the project site. This contradicts the approved monitoring plan (registered PDD page 27) which states that the reading of M2 will be monitored continuously and cross-checked with the reading of M1. In the spreadsheet, it is observed that the smallest of the two readings and the transaction receipt is used for the emission reduction calculations. Verification report does not indicate which of the two meter readings was used for the emission reduction calculations. The Project Participant and the DOE are requested to address this inconsistency, in particular, to make it clear how the amount of net electricity exported to the grid that is used for the emission reduction calculations was determined and if it is in line with the monitoring plan."*

Clarification from BVCH

With respect to the Monitoring Plan in the registered PDD:

As per the Diagram of the Grid connection in Section B.7.2 of the registered PDD, two bidirectional meters, main meter (M1) and main check meter (M2), have been installed for the Project.

In Section B.7.1, it is indicated that "Source of data to be used" is "measured by a bidirectional meter M1" for both parameters of EGout,y and EGin,y. Thus M1 is planned to be the main meter according to the registered monitoring plan.

And it is also indicated in Section B.7.1 of registered PDD that "description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied" is "the readings of meter M2 will be monitored continuously and recorded monthly, and then crosschecked with the readings of meter M1 installed and owned by the grid company" and similar sentence can be found in Page 29 of Section B.7.2. In the context of the monitoring plan, we can conclude that the M2 (check meter) will be applied as the source of crosscheck data, which will be crosschecked with the data of M1 (main meter). And as per "QA/QC procedures to be applied" in the registered monitoring plan, data measured by meters will be double checked by the sale receipts.

With respect to the Verification Report:

In Section 3.2 of verification report (page 10), the verification team has checked the

diagram of Power Connection System, and confirmed that M1 is the main meter to measure the electricity supplied to and purchase from the grid and that M2 is the check meter to check the record data of the main meter. It is fully consistent with registered monitoring plan.

And in sections 3.4 (Page 11), it has been clarified that "The net electricity supplied to the grid (EGy) by the Project is the electricity exported to the grid minus the electricity imported from the grid by the Project which is measured by the bidirectional main meter". Furthermore in the Table 3 and Table 4 in section 3.5 of the report, the crosschecking procedure can be reflected for the meter reading of main meter M1 with the check meter M2, and meter readings with the sales receipts. After crosschecking, the conservative value has been applied for emission reductions calculation. The descriptions in the verification report are clear and fully consistent with the registered monitoring plan and actual monitoring activity.

In conclusion, we understand the issue raised, but we are able to conclude that the monitoring implemented is consistent with the registered monitoring plan and that the emission reductions calculation is fully consistent with the monitoring plan too. We hope the clarification will find acceptance by the UNFCCC secretariat.
In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we can assist you.

Yours faithfully,
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

Best Regards!

(Tony) Li Xingtong, CDM Lead Verifier
BVC China, Beijing Office
Tel: +86-10-59683690
Fax: +86-10-59683666
Mobile: +86 138 1119 6163

Robin Wang/CHN/VERITAS

----- Forwarded by Robin Wang/CHN/VERITAS on 2012-05-24 14:37 -----



CDM Project Registration
<cdmregistration@unfccc.int>

2012-05-23 23:50

To Flavio Gomes/GBR/VERITAS@VERITAS, Ashok
Mammen/USA/VERITAS@VERITAS, Flavia
Resende/BRA/VERITAS@VERITAS, Robin
Wang/CHN/VERITAS@VERITAS, Sanjay
Patankar/IND/VERITAS@Veritas, Ram
Desai/SGP/VERITAS@VERITAS, Abderrahim
Boutaleb/MAR/VERITAS@VERITAS, Cer
Nairobi/KEN/VERITAS@VERITAS,
ClimateChangeOpsUKMail@VERITAS, HB
Muralidhar/IND/VERITAS@Veritas, Usman
Haider/ARE/VERITAS@VERITAS, SSCCDMINMail@VERITAS
cc kansaikankyou@a3.kepco.co.jp,
kadono.naoyoshi@b3.kepco.co.jp,
yuhong_song@hneep.com.cn, ruixuan_liu@hneep.com.cn
Subject Request For Issuance Incomplete for Shandong Huaneng
Shouguang 49.5MW Wind Farm Project (3391)

Ref

PLEASE CONFIRM IMMEDIATELY THE RECEIPT OF THIS MESSAGE BY REPLY E-MAIL.
THANK YOU

=====

Reference: Request For Issuance Incomplete for "Shandong Huaneng Shouguang 49.5MW Wind Farm Project" – Ref No. 3391

=====

Dear BVCH,

Please be informed that your request for issuance of CDM project activity Shandong Huaneng Shouguang 49.5MW Wind Farm Project (3391) covering the period of 25 December 2010 to 24 December 2011 is incomplete for the following reason(s):

Scope: The verification report does not state that the monitoring has been carried out in accordance with registered or the accepted revised monitoring plan. (VVM v.1.2 para 206 & 221(d))

Issue: The monitoring report page 7 states that the net electricity output to the grid was monitored with M1 and the project owner crosschecked it with M2 at the project site. This contradicts the approved monitoring plan (registered PDD page 27) which states that the reading of M2 will be monitored continuously and cross-checked with the reading of M1. In the spreadsheet, it is observed that the smallest of the two readings and the transaction receipt is used for the emission reduction calculations. Verification report does not indicate which of the two meter readings was used for the emission reduction calculations. The Project Participant and the DOE are requested to address this inconsistency, in particular, to make it clear how the amount of net electricity exported to the grid that is used for the emission reduction calculations was determined and if it is in line with the monitoring plan.

Please submit revised documentation addressing the points above by logging into your CDM account and uploading directly to the record for this project.

Yours sincerely,
CDM team
UNFCCC secretariat