


Validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities
(Version 01.0)

Complete this form in accordance with the "Attachment: Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities" at the end of this form.

VALIDATION REPORT ON POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES (PRCs)

Title and reference number of the project activity	Wind Power Project in Maharashtra State, India UNFCCC reference Number: 10164 ¹
Process track	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
Version number of the validation report on PRCs	01
Completion date of the validation report on PRCs	08/09/2017
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design of a registered project activity <input type="checkbox"/> Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017
Project participant(s)	Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited
Host Party	India
Sectoral scope(s), selected methodology(ies), and where applicable, selected standardized baseline(s)	Sectoral Scope 1: Energy Industries (renewable - /non-renewable sources) AMS- I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (Version 17) ²

¹ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1435153630.26/view>

² <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/RSCTZ8SKT4F7N1CFDXCSA7BDQ7FU1X>

Name of DOE	 LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (LGAI Tech. Center S.A)
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report on PRCs	 Juan Sendin Caballero, Applus LGAI Managing Director

SECTION A. Executive summary

The Project Participant, Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited, has proposed to develop a 10.5 MW wind power project at site-Jath, Taluka-Jath, District-Sangli, State-Maharashtra in India. The project activity will generate electricity utilising wind energy and will supply the generated electricity to the regional MSEDCL grid which is under purview NEWNE grid. Export and import to/from the grid by project activity is measured on continuous basis with the 0.2s accuracy meters³. Net electricity exported to the grid is thus calculated from the difference of export and import. In absence of the project activity equivalent amount of electricity would have otherwise been generated by existing and new power plants connected to the emission intensive NEWNE electricity grid. Thus the project activity would result in avoidance of Green House Gases (GHGs) emission and contribute to mitigation of global warming.

Details of commissioning date of all WTGs:

Sl. No	Location	Capacity of WTG (MW)	Commissioning Date*
1	JTH- 247	2.1	08/06/2013
2	JTH- 292	2.1	08/06/2013
3	JTH- 293	2.1	08/06/2013
4	JTH- 294	2.1	11/02/2014
5	JTH- 300	2.1	11/02/2014

* Reference foot note no. 2 is applicable.

The Project Participant has placed the purchase orders to Suzlon Energy Limited and its group companies to supply and install the proposed wind power project. Suzlon shall also provide operation and maintenance services to the project activity.

It is to be noted that, the project participant of the concerned project activity is Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited. However, the ownership has been now transferred to M/s Baidyanath Power Private Limited.

The Business Transfer Agreement between Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited and Baidyanath Power Private Limited was executed on 13th October 2015.

Validation Scope: The scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document (PDD). The PDD is reviewed against the criteria stated in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Marrakech Accords and the relevant decisions by the CDM Executive Board, including the approved baseline and monitoring methodology AMS- I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (Version 17)". The validation was based on the requirements in the Validation and Verification Standard (VVS version 09)

The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the project design document.

Once Applus+ LGAI receives the PDD, it has been made publicly available on the UNFCCC website, which initiates a 30 days global stakeholder consultation (GSC) process. The details of the GSC are included in this report.

Validation Process: The project assessment is based on the "Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard version 09.0 and is conducted using standard auditing techniques to assess the correctness of the information provided by the project participants. Before the assessment begins, members of the team covering the technical scope(s), sectoral scope(s), and relevant host country experience for evaluating the CDM project activity are appointed.

Once the project is made available for the global stakeholder consultation process, the members of the assessment team carried out:

- I A desk review of the project design documentation;
- II Follow-up interviews with project stakeholders;
- III The resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final validation report and opinion.

³ Commissioning certificates is provided for meter accuracy class and commissioning dates of WTGs.

The prepared validation report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality control at the HQ (Accredited office) before being submitted to the CDM-EB.

Appointment of the assessment team

According to the sectoral scopes / technical area and experiences in the sectoral or national business environment, Applus+ LGAI has composed a project validation team in accordance with the appointment rules in Applus+ LGAI. The composition of assessment team has to be approved by the Applus+ LGAI ensuring that the required skills are covered by the team. The four qualification levels for team members that are assigned by formal appointment rules as below:

Leader Auditor (LA)

Auditor (A)

Auditor Trainee (T)

Technical Experts (E)

Internal Technical Review (ITR)

It is required that the sectoral scope / technical area related to the methodology has to be covered by the assessment team.

The detail regarding the assessment team is provided below in section B.1 and B.2 of this report

Document review

The Project Design Document submitted by the Client was reviewed against the approved methodology and other relevant criteria to verify the correctness, credibility, and interpretation of the presented information. Furthermore, a cross-check between information provided and information from other sources has been done. A complete list of all documents and evidence material reviewed is included in Appendix 3 of this report.

Follow-up interviews

A site visit is conducted by Applus+ LGAI performed interviews, telephone conferences, and physical site inspection with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. The detail is provided in section C.2 and C.3 of this report

Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Request

The objective of this phase of the validation was to resolve the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues which need to be clarified for Applus+ LGAI's positive conclusion on the project design document. The Corrective Action Requests and Clarification Requests raised by Applus+ LGAI were resolved during communications between the Client and Applus+ LGAI to guarantee the transparency of the validation process, the concerns raised and responses given are summarized in Appendix 4 below.

The final revised PDD version 02 submitted by PP serves as the basis for the final assessment presented. Additional changes to the project during the validation process are not considered to be significant with respect to the main CDM objectives. The two CDM main objectives are the reduction of anthropogenic GHG emissions and the contribution of sustainable development to the host country.

Internal quality control

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of Interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform.

Conclusion

Applus+ LGAI has performed a validation of the “Wind Power Project in Maharashtra State, India”. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. AMS- I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (Version 17), given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ LGAI with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ LGAI for registration with the UNFCCC.

Applus+ LGAI has received a confirmation from the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development.

By displacing fossil fuel-based electricity with electricity generated from a renewable source, the project results in reductions of CO₂ emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change. An analysis of the investment and technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of annual emission reductions of 16,223 tCO₂e per year.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 09 and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Validation findings
Please refer the Verification report for the Monitoring period 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017									

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
Please refer the Verification report for the Monitoring period 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017					

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk review**

The details of the document observed during the validation process are listed below in Appendix 3 of this report.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: Conducted together with Verification				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
Please refer the Verification report for the Monitoring period 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017				

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
Please refer the Verification report for the Monitoring period 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017						

C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	00	00	00
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	00	00	00
Corrections	00	01	00
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	00	00	00
Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity	00	00	00
Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	00	01	00
Changes to the project design of a registered project activity	00	00	00
Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	00	00	00
Others (please specify)	00	00	00
Total	00	02	00

SECTION D. Validation findings**D.1. Compliance with PDD form**

Means of validation	The guideline for completing CDM form version 8.0 for small scale project activity is checked by the assessment team
Findings	No findings raised for this compliance
Conclusion	The latest version 08 available in the UNFCCC site is used for revision of PDD. CAR is thus closed.

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017
Findings	Project participant remain the same however project ownership changed for the project activity. Please refer CAR 01 in Appendix 4 for the detail closure of the CAR.
Conclusion	Assessment team confirm that the Project Participant is M/s Mahalaxmi

	<p>Commercial Services Private Limited, but the ownership of the project has been transferred to M/s Baidyanath Power Private Limited. To confirm the transfer assessment team checked the Business Transfer Agreement between Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited and Baidyanath Power Private Limited which was executed on 13th October 2015.</p> <p>Assessment team seek PRC change as per Para1 of Appendix 1 of PS version 09. Since, the post registration changes do not require prior Approval by the Board so, DOE assessment on PRC is combined with issuance request for 1st monitoring period of the project.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.6. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017			
Findings	Calibration frequency is changed from the registered approved PDD version 1.9 dated 25/04/2015. CAR01 is thus raised for the same. Please refer Appendix 4 of this report for the closure of the CAR01.			
Conclusion	The calibration details are checked and found correct by the assessment team. The detail of calibration is presented below:			
	33/11 KV, Pachchhapur substation, Pachchhapur Feeder			
	Connected WTGs: JTH292, JTH293, JTH294, JTH247, JTH300			
	Details of Main Meter		Details of Check Meter	
	Serial No	14953743	Serial No	14953564
	Make	Elster	Make	Elster
	Type	A 1800	Type	A 1800
	Accuracy Class	0.2 s	Accuracy Class	0.2 s
	Calibration frequency	Annual	Calibration frequency	Annual
	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity ⁴	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity
	17/07/2014	16/07/2019	17/07/2014	16/07/2019
	Details of new feeder connectivity ⁵			
	110/33 KV Jath Substation, Feeder-1			
	Connected WTGs: JTH292, JTH293, JTH294, JTH247, JTH300			
	Details of Main Meter		Details of Check Meter	
	Serial No	13813605	Serial No	13813606
	Make	Elster	Make	Elster
	Type	A 1800	Type	A 1800
Accuracy Class	0.2 s	Accuracy Class	0.2 s	

⁴ The calibration validity has been mentioned as per revised PDD which considered calibration frequency once in five years as per CEA notification.

⁵ There has been a shift of the feeder connectivity on 01/10/2015 and the new feeder connectivity details are now provided in the table.

	Calibration frequency	Annual	Calibration frequency	Annual							
	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity⁶	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity							
	07/08/2015	06/08/2020	07/08/2015	06/08/2020							
	12/08/2016	11/08/2021	12/08/2016	11/08/2021							
	<p>During the registration of the PDD, calibration frequency was considered annual. However assessment team during the site visit confirms that the annual frequency is not followed onsite and thus opted for post registration change. As per PRC (= Post registration change) change the calibration frequency is now modified as once in a five year which is as per the national guideline⁷ and onsite practice. Moreover, assessment team checked recent CEA(= Central Electricity Authority, Govt of India) guideline (national guideline) and confirms that there were no further amendment to the Gazette Notification of 17th March 2006 by Govt of India regarding calibration frequency. Thus the calibration frequency as mentioned in the revised PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017 is acceptable to the assessment team. Moreover following corrections are done in Monitoring:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SI. No</th> <th>Detail as per Old PDD version 1.9 dated 25/04/2015</th> <th>Detail as per New PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017</th> <th>Reason for change</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Calibration Frequency- once in a year</td> <td>Calibration Frequency- once in five year</td> <td>For the calibration of the meters, the state electricity board follows the Metering Regulations published by Central Electricity Authority, Government of India in 2006 which is once in a five year.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The revision now forms the part of PRC (= Post registration change) change and thus acceptable to the DOE because PP is following national standard. Section B.7.1 of the revised PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017 is now corrected with regard of calibration frequency and thus the same is also acceptable to the assessment team.</p> <p>Assessment team seek PRC change as per Para5 (a) - (Change of calibration frequency is not in the hand of PP) of Appendix 1 of PS version 09. Since, the post registration changes do not require prior Approval by the Board so, DOE assessment on PRC is combined with issuance request for 1st monitoring period of the project.</p>				SI. No	Detail as per Old PDD version 1.9 dated 25/04/2015	Detail as per New PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017	Reason for change	1	Calibration Frequency- once in a year	Calibration Frequency- once in five year
SI. No	Detail as per Old PDD version 1.9 dated 25/04/2015	Detail as per New PDD version 02 dated 29/08/2017	Reason for change								
1	Calibration Frequency- once in a year	Calibration Frequency- once in five year	For the calibration of the meters, the state electricity board follows the Metering Regulations published by Central Electricity Authority, Government of India in 2006 which is once in a five year.								

D.7. Changes to the project design of a registered project activity

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.8. Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

⁶ Though state electricity board does calibration less than calibration interval of once in five years, the calibration validity has been mentioned as per revised PDD which considered calibration frequency once in five years as per CEA notification.

⁷ http://www.aegcl.co.in/Metering_Regulations_Of_CEA_17_03_2006.pdf

Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
-------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

SECTION E. Internal quality control

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform

SECTION F. Validation opinion

Applus+ LGAI has performed a validation of the "Wind Power Project in Maharashtra State, India"⁸. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. AMS- I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (Version 17), given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ LGAI with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ LGAI for registration with the UNFCCC.

Applus+ LGAI has received a confirmation from the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development.

By displacing fossil fuel-based electricity with electricity generated from a renewable source, the project results in reductions of CO₂ emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change. An analysis of the investment and technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of annual emission reductions of 16,223 tCO₂e per year.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 09 and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

⁸ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1435153630.26/view>

Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
BM	Build Margin
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CL	Clarification request
CM	Combined Margin
CMS	Central Monitoring system
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
DR	Document Review
EF	Emission Factor
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming potential
RBI	Reserve Bank Of India
PP	Project Participant
PLF	Plant Load factor

Appendix 1. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Please refer the Verification report for the Monitoring period 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017

Appendix 2. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	NA	Commission certificates of the installed WEGs	The Commission details are as below:	PP

				Sl. No	Location	Capacity of WTG (MW)	Commissioning Date	
				1	JTH- 247	2.1	08/06/2013	
				2	JTH- 292	2.1	08/06/2013	
				3	JTH- 293	2.1	08/06/2013	
				4	JTH- 294	2.1	11/02/2014	
				5	JTH- 300	2.1	11/02/2014	
2	NA	Registered PDD - version 1.9	25/04/2015					PP
		Revised PDD- Version 02	29/08/2017					
3	NA	Emission reduction sheet as per revised PDD version 02	29/08/2017					PP
4	NA	Business Transfer agreement	The Business Transfer Agreement between Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited and Baidyanath Power Private Limited was executed on 13th October 2015.				PP	
5	NA	MR version 01	22/05/2017					PP
		MR version 02	29/08/2017					
6	NA	Emission reduction calculation sheet for the Monitoring period: 24/07/2015 to 01/03/2017	29/08/2017					PP
7	NA	Calibration Certificate of the project WTGs	The calibration details are checked and found correct by the assessment team. The detail of calibration is presented below:				PP	
			33/11 KV, Pachchhapur substation, Pachchhapur Feeder					
			Connected WTGs: JTH292, JTH293, JTH294, JTH247, JTH300					
			Details of Main Meter		Details of Check Meter			
			Serial No	14953743	Serial No	14953564		
			Make	Elster	Make	Elster		
			Type	A 1800	Type	A 1800		
			Accuracy Class	0.2 s	Accuracy Class	0.2 s		
			Calibration frequency	Annual	Calibration frequency	Annual		
			Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity⁹	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity		
			17/07/2014	16/07/2019	17/07/2014	16/07/2019		

⁹ The calibration validity has been mentioned as per revised PDD which considered calibration frequency once in five years as per CEA notification.

			Details of new feeder connectivity¹⁰				
			110/33 KV Jath Substation, Feeder-1				
			Connected WTGs: JTH292, JTH293, JTH294, JTH247, JTH300				
			Details of Main Meter		Details of Check Meter		
			Serial No	13813605	Serial No	13813606	
			Make	Elster	Make	Elster	
			Type	A 1800	Type	A 1800	
			Accuracy Class	0.2 s	Accuracy Class	0.2 s	
			Calibration frequency	Annual	Calibration frequency	Annual	
			Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity¹¹	Date of Calibration	Calibration Validity	
			07/08/2015	06/08/2020	07/08/2015	06/08/2020	
			12/08/2016	11/08/2021	12/08/2016	11/08/2021	

Appendix 3. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1 CAR from this validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	B of the MR and Revised PDD for PRC change.	Date: 24/08/2017
Description of CAR				
The technical details are provided in the MR however the documents are not submitted to assessment team. Corrective action is sought for the same.				
Calibration details are missing in the MR.				
Moreover, assessment team noted PRC change for the 1 st versification however MR is silent about the same. Corrective action is sought for the same.				
The business transfer agreement regarding change of ownership as claimed in the revised PDD is not submitted to the DOE. The version number of the revised PDD is same as that of the registered one. Corrective action is sought for the same.				
Section A.4 and Annex 1 of the revised PDD do not depict the name change as claimed in the revised PDD. Corrective action is sought for the same.				
Project participant response				Date: 29/08/2017

¹⁰ There has been a shift of the feeder connectivity on 01/10/2015 and the new feeder connectivity details are now provided in the table.

¹¹ Though state electricity board does calibration less than calibration interval of once in five years, the calibration validity has been mentioned as per revised PDD which considered calibration frequency once in five years as per CEA notification.

The supporting for the technical details are now submitted to the assessment team.

Calibration details are now provided in the revised MR- version-2.

The details of the PRC change has now been included in the revised MR- version-2.

*The business transfer agreement regarding change of ownership is now submitted to the DOE.
Also, the version number of the revised PDD is now changed and rectified.*

The ownership of the project activity has been transferred from Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited to Baidyanath Power Private Limited. However the project participant of the project activity remains same as Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited. Hence no changes are made in section A.4 and Annex 1 of the revised PDD

Documentation provided by project participant

1. *Technical brochure*
2. *Revised MR- version-2*
3. *Business transfer agreement regarding transfer of ownership.*
4. *Revised PDD*

DOE assessment

Date: 08/09/2017

Following are the observation of the DOE:

1. The technical details as mentioned in the MR Version 02 is checked by the assessment team from the technical manual of the manufacturers and found correct. Moreover, there is no change in technical description as mentioned in the registered PDD. CAR is thus closed.
2. The calibration frequency is changed from once in year to once in a five year as per the national standard and thus the same is acceptable to the DOE. All calibration records are also checked by the assessment team during the onsite visit. PDD version 02 and MR Version 02 is revised in this context and thus the CAR is closed.
3. Section B.2.2 and B.2.5 is included in the revised MR Version 02. The details are acceptable to the DOE and pertains to PRC change. CAR is thus closed.
4. The ownership of the project activity has been transferred from Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited to Baidyanath Power Private Limited. However the project participant of the project activity remains same as Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Private Limited. Hence no changes are made in section A.4 and Annex 1 of the revised PDD. CAR is thus closed.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		