



**Validation report form for post-registration changes for
CDM project activities
(Version 03.0)**


Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.

BASIC INFORMATION

Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity	9 MW Biomass Power Project at Yedlapur Village in Raichur District, Karnataka, India (UNFCCC reference number: 9430 ¹)
Process track	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
Version number of the validation report	01
Completion date of the validation report	26/02/2021
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	04
Project participants	M/s Raichur Bioenergies Private Limited
Host Party	India
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	Selected Methodology: AMS.I.D." Grid connected renewable electricity generation" Version 17. Standardized baseline: Not Applicable
Mandatory sectoral scopes	01

¹ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/TUEV-RHEIN1356866955.46/view>

² Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable	NA
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE	LGAJ Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) UNFCCC Ref. No.: E-0032
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report	Mr. Agustín Calle de Miguel <i>Applus+ Certification CDM Technical Manager</i> Signature: 

SECTION A. Executive summary

The main purpose of this project activity is to generate clean form of electricity through burning of biomass which is renewable source of energy. M/s Raichur Bioenergies Private Limited (RBPL) is the promoter of the proposed project activity.

The project activity is a 9 MW Biomass Power Project located near Yedlapur Village in Raichur District of Karnataka, India. The project activity is in line with the sustainable development priority of the country. The electricity generated from the project is exported to the regional electricity grid and sold to the state electricity utility.

Validation Scope: The scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document (PDD). The PDD is reviewed against the criteria stated in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Marrakech Accords and the relevant decisions by the CDM Executive Board, including the approved baseline and monitoring methodology AMS I.D. version 17.0. The validation was based on the requirements in the Validation and Verification Standard (VVS version 02 for the project activity)

The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the project design document.

Validation Process: The project assessment is based on the "Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard version 2.0 for the project activity and is conducted using standard auditing techniques to assess the correctness of the information provided by the project participants. Before the assessment begins, members of the team covering the technical scope(s), sectoral scope(s), and relevant host country experience for evaluating the CDM project activity are appointed.

Following are the task performed by the assessment team:

- I A desk review of the project design documentation;
- II Follow-up interviews with project stakeholders;
- III The resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final validation report and opinion.

The prepared validation report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality control at the HQ (Accredited office) before being submitted to the CDM-EB.

In order to ensure transparency, assumptions must be clear and stated explicitly and background material must also be referenced. Applus+ Certification has developed a specific checklist customized for the project. The checklist demonstrates, in a transparent manner, the project criteria (requirements), discussion on each criterion by the assessment team, and the results from validating the identified criteria.

Appointment of the assessment team

According to the sectoral scope / technical area and experience in the sectoral or national business environment, LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) has composed a project assessment team in accordance with the appointment rules in the internal Quality Management System of LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification).

The composition of audit team shall be approved by the LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) ensuring that the required skills are covered by the team.

The four qualification levels for team members that are assigned by formal appointment rules are as presented below:

- Lead Auditor (LA).
- Auditor (A) / Auditor in Training (AiT).
- Technical Expert (TE).
- Technical Reviewer (TR).

The sectoral scope / technical area knowledge linked to the applied methodology/ies shall be covered by the assessment team.

Name	Role	SS Coverage	TA Coverage	Financial aspect	Host country experience
Mr. Pankaj Kumar	LA/TE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Mr. Simon Shen	TR	YES	YES	NA	NA

Document review

The Project Design Document submitted by the Client was reviewed against the approved methodology and other relevant criteria to verify the correctness, credibility, and interpretation of the presented information. Furthermore, a cross-check between information provided and information from other sources has been done. Please refer Appendix 3 of this report.

Follow-up interviews

Applus+ Certification performed interviews, telephone conferences with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review.

Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Request

The objective of this phase of the validation was to resolve the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues which need to be clarified for Applus+ Certification's positive conclusion on the project design document. The Corrective Action Requests and Clarification Requests raised by Applus+ Certification were resolved during communications between the Client and Applus+ Certification to guarantee the transparency of the validation process, the concerns raised and responses given are summarized in Appendix 4 below.

The final revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021 submitted by PP serves as the basis for the final assessment presented. Additional changes to the project during the validation process are not considered to be significant with respect to the main CDM objectives. The two CDM main objectives are the reduction of anthropogenic GHG emissions and the contribution of sustainable development to the host country.

Internal quality control

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform.

Conclusion

Applus+ Certification has performed a validation of the "9 MW Biomass Power Project at Yedlapur Village in Raichur District, Karnataka, India". The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. ACM 0002 version 12.3.0) given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ Certification for registration with the UNFCCC.

Applus+ Certification has received a confirmation from the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 2.0 for the project activity and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Lead Auditor /Technical Expert	O R	Kumar	Pankaj	True Quality Certifications private Limited- Outsourced entity	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1	Technical reviewer	EI	Shen	Simon	Applus+ Certification
2.	Approver	IR	Calle de Miguel	Agustín	Applus+ Certification

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/document review**

The details of the document observed during the validation process are listed below in Appendix 3 of this report.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: n/a				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
n/a				

Applus+ Certification has found that the onsite inspection is not mandatory and not required due to the nature of the proposed changes to be conducted as per the following criteria:

- According to the VVS for PAs version 02.0 Para 301 on site inspection required in line with para 30 and 31 of VVS for Pas, ver. 2.0 only when there is change in project design which is not the case in this PRC request, hence not applicable. Te DOE finds that there is no situation for these proposed changes in the PDD that makes the onsite visit mandatory, hence the DOE considers the inspection as optional and has determined alternative methods for the Validation assessment.

Applus+ Certification has used the following alternative methods for the validation of the proposed changes on the PDD

- Skype interviews and calls with the PP representatives.
- Publicly available information of the project activity.
- Other interactions with the PP representatives (mails and documents sharing).

Applus+ Certification has found the PP representatives to be available and in possession of any knowledge and related evidence that the DOE needs to perform this Validation of PRC assessment and considers such mean of validation enough to ensure the scope of the latter and its compliance with the CDM rules and requirements.

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Sundar	Shyam	Raichur Bioenergies Private Limited	27/01/2021 Continuously during the Validation process	Confirm description, implementation and operation of the PA and its procedures for operation and data collection. Purpose of the Post-Registration Changes, types and PDD modifications.	Mr. Pankaj Kumar
2.	Rao	Anjali	Consultant, EKI			

C.4. Sampling approach

Not Applicable.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	00	03	00
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	00	00	00
Corrections	00	00	00
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	00	00	00
Inclusion of a monitoring plan	00	00	00
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	00	00	00
Changes to the project design	00	00	00
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	00	00	00
Others (please specify)	00	00	00
Total	00	03	00

SECTION D. Validation findings

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	The guideline for completing CDM form version 11.0 for project activity is checked
----------------------------	--

	by the assessment team.
Findings	No findings raised for this compliance
Conclusion	The latest version 11.0 available in the UNFCCC site is used for the revision of PDD. The project activity description is in accordance to the PDD form and thus the same is acceptable to the assessment team. Validation team confirms that PP has used latest version of CDM PDD form and information provided is in compliance with requirements of para 279 of VVS PA, 2.0.

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021
Findings	No CAR is raised in this section
Conclusion	<p>Following corrections were carried out in the revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Due to new template format of the PDD version 11.0 of UNFCCC following text are added : <p>Section A.1 – Name of Host country DNA corrected in line with CAR 01 raised by validation team</p> <p>Section A.6 has been updated as per the latest PDD template. Assessment team confirms that the proposed CDM project activity is registered as a CDM project activity with UN reference number as UN:9430. This project activity is not included as a component project activity (CPA) in a registered CDM programme of activities (PoA). The proposed CDM project activity was not a CPA that has not been excluded from a registered CDM PoA. This is a registered CDM project activity whose first crediting period is ongoing and project exists in the same geographical location as the proposed registered CDM project activity.</p> <p>The contact information of additional Project participant is updated in Appendix 1 and section A.4. The addition of PP information as mentioned in the revised PDD is in line with revise MoC as per UNFCCC project page and thus the correction is acceptable to the assessment team.</p> <p>Appendix 7 as per new PDD template is now incorporated which describe the PRC changes applied to the registered PDD version 02. The changes are acceptable to the assessment team as it complies with the requirement of Appendix of CDM PS version 02.0 Para1(c).</p>

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021
Findings	No CAR is raised in this section
Conclusion	<p>Validation team assessed the PDD, Ver. 4.0 dated 28/01/2021 and confirmed rationale provided for change in start date of crediting period.</p> <p>PP has provided chronology of events in sec. B.5 of the PDD, and provided justification that there was delay in commissioning of the plant and reason why start date of crediting period need to be changed. The project activity was expected to commission on 01/04/2014 but due to cumulative time lag the commissioning of project activity got delayed and finally project activity is commissioned on 01/02/2016. The proposed change to the start date of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity is between one and two years for a registered CDM project activity, VVB confirmed that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline,</p>

	<p>hence change in start date of crediting period is in compliance with para 235 of PS, ver. 2.0</p> <p>Validation team checked all the supporting documents and commissioning certificates and confirm that justification provided by PP for the change of start date of crediting period is appropriate and can be accepted.</p>
--	--

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021
Findings	No CAR is raised in this section
Conclusion	<p>Following are the observation of the DOE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PP has made permanent changes in sec. B.6.2 and sec. B.6.3 to include parameter "Percentage of moisture in biomass residue (wet basis) as ex-ante parameter instead of ex-post monitoring parameter. As per monitoring methodology AMS I.D "The moisture content of biomass of homogeneous quality shall be determined ex ante. The weighted average should be calculated and used in the calculations" . Validation team confirmed that value of moisture in biomass residue (wet basis) fixed ex ante for entire crediting period is in line with applied methodology. PP modified the section B.7.1 to revise the IPCC default value of parameter NCV . The source for NCV of coal has been revised to IPCC default values. Since values are not provided by fuel supplier in Invoices, IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories is used as source for NCV of coal. The value for NCV of coal is revised from 3,500 kCal/kg to 32.2 TJ/Gg based on IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval. Validation team confirmed the approach adopted is in line with applied methodology. PP modified the section B.7.3 to revise the IPCC default value of parameter EFCO2. The default value of parameter "Default CO2 emission factor for coal " has been changed from 98,300 Kg/TJ to 101,000 Kg/TJ at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provide in Table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories. Validation team confirmed the approach adopted is in line with applied methodology as this is conservative value. PP made modifications in sec. B.6.1 and B.6.3 regarding applicability conditions for considering project emissions. As per methodological tool "Project and leakage emissions from biomass" version 4, para 33 "Small scale project activities may, unless otherwise required by the methodology, neglect this source of emissions if the transportation distance is less than 200 km". For project activity, the biomass transportation is within 200 Km, hence project emissions are not applicable for the project activity. The biomass transportation distance will be monitored and if that distance is more than 200 Km, below methodological tool to be followed. The PDD relevant sections are

	updated regarding project emissions due to fossil fuel consumption due to transportation of biomass. Validation team confirms the approach adopted is in accordance with applied methodology and corresponding tools to calculate project emissions due to transportation.
--	--

D.7. Changes to the project design

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Findings	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
Conclusion	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

Applus+ Certification has performed a validation of the "9MW Biomass Power Project at Yedlapur Village in Raichur District, Karnataka, India". The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. AMS.I.D." Grid connected renewable electricity generation" Version 17. given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ Certification for registration with the UNFCCC.

By displacing fossil fuel-based electricity with electricity generated from a renewable source, the project results in reductions of CO₂ emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change. An analysis of the investment and technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of annual emission reductions of 40,540 tCO_{2e} per year.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 2.0 for the project activity and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the approval of post registration changes in the PDD as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
BM	Build Margin
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CL	Clarification request
CM	Combined Margin
CMS	Central Monitoring system
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DCS	Distributed control system
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
DR	Document Review
EF	Emission Factor
EMA	Energy Market Authority (EMA)
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming potential
IPCC	The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
MMBTU	One million British Thermal Units
PP	Project Participant
PLF	Plant Load factor

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

1. Mr. Pankaj Kumar has done M. Sc in Environment Management from Forest Research Institute, Dehradun and B. Sc. (Hons.) in Environment & Water Management from Magadh University, Bihar, India. He has also done Post Graduate Diploma in Environmental Law from NLSIU, Bangalore.

He has more than 12 years of working experience in GHG Assessments and has participated during his career in Agencies and DOEs like MITCON, Agrinergy, Carbon Check and is empanelled with Applus+ Certification since 2015 for the performance of CDM/VCS/GS project assessments.

He has extensive experience in the Renewable, Waste Management and Energy Demand Scopes of UNFCCC CDM and has done more than 100 Validations and Verifications of PAs and PoAs as Lead Auditor, Technical Expert and Technical Reviewer, mainly in Asia, Africa, USA, Asia Pacific and Americas under CDM, Verified Carbon Standard, Gold Standard & Social Carbon Standard, Brazil.

He is an experienced, qualified and result oriented Environment and climate change professional having 16 yrs. of relevant experience in Climate Change (Mitigation & Adaptation), Environmental Due Diligence, Disaster Risk Reduction, Climate finance, adaptation planning, capacity building, validation and verification of GHG project. He can also provide technical support for environmental investigative, remedial projects involving air, water and soil, Waste management, EIA, Environmental Compliance, ISO 14001, OHSAS 18001, GHG accounting (ISO 14064) and Carbon foot printing.

2. Mr. Simon Shen (Master's Degree in Thermal Energy Engineering, Bachelor's Degree in Environmental Engineering) is an Auditor appointed by Applus+ LGAI for the GHG project assessment, auditing and technical review.

He has more than 6 years of work experience in CDM/GS4GG/VCS project assessment and review with Applus+, apart from the years of experience working as GHG Auditor and ISO 9001/14001 in TUV SUD for 3.5 years before he joined Applus+.

Mr. Simon Shen has extensive experience also as former Applus+ Shanghai CDM Technical Manager.

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	NA	Revised PDD version 04	Revised PDD version 04 dated 28/01/2021	PP
2	NA	Commissioning certificates of Power plant	Commissioning certificates	PP
3	NA	Lab report for NCV analysis	-	PP
4	NA	AMS-I.D., ver. 17 – Grid connected renewable electricity generation	UNFCCC webpage	UNFCCC
5	NA	Tool to calculate project or leakage CO2 emissions from fossil fuel consumption, ver. 2.0, EB 41, Annex 11	UNFCCC webpage	UNFCCC
6	NA	Tool to calculate project and leakage emissions from biomass, ver. 4, EB 96, Annex 8	UNFCCC webpage	UNFCCC
7	NA	Tool for project and leakage emissions from transportation of freight, ver. 1.0, EB 63, Annex. 10	UNFCCC webpage	UNFCCC
8	NA	IPCC Guidelines on national GHG inventories, 2006.	IPCC	IPCC

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. ~~CLs from this validation~~

CL ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CL			
Project participant response			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant			

DOE assessment	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
-----------------------	-------------------------

Table 2. CARs from this validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	A.1	Date: 27/01/2021
Description of CAR				
1. In sec. A.1, name of host country DNA is incorrect.				
2. On page 12 and 13 of PDD, there is some formatting error. Corrective action required				
Project participant response				Date: 28/01/2021
1. In sec. A.1, name of host country DNA is now being corrected in this submission.				
2. The formatting error observed in page 12 and 13 of the CDM PDD has been rectified in this submission.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
CDM PDD V04				
DOE assessment				Date: 08/02/2021
1. PP has now corrected the name of host country DNA name in sec. A.1 of revised PDD, ver. 04 dated 28/01/2021. Comment closed.				
2. Formatting error on page 12 and 13 rectified in revised PDD, ver. 04 dated 28/01/2021. Comment closed.				

CAR ID	02	Section no.	B.6	Date: 27/01/2021
Description of CAR				
Sec. B.6 of the PDD seems to be incomplete. Corrective action required				
Project participant response				Date: 28/01/2021
Sec B.6 of the PDD has been modified in this submission.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
CDM PDD V04				
DOE assessment				Date: 08/02/2021
PP has rectified typo error in sec. B.6 of revised PDD, ver. 04 dated 28/01/2021. Comment closed.				

CAR ID	03	Section no.	B.6.1	Date: 27/01/2021
Description of CAR				
In sec. B.6.1, in the PRC description provided under "project emission due to transportation of biomass residues to the project site", ver. 18 of AMS I.D. referred which is not consistent with the version mentioned in other sections of the PDD.				
Project participant response				Date: 28/01/2021
The typo- error observed in sec. B.6.1 of the PDD now being rectified which is Version 17 of AMS I.D and is also made consistent throughout the PDD				
Documentation provided by project participant				
CDM PDD V04				
DOE assessment				Date: 08/02/2021
Typo error related to applicable versión of methodology rectified by PP in revised PDD, ver. 04 dated 28/01/2021. Comment closed				

Table 3. FARs from this validation

FAR ID	xx	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				

DOE assessment	Date: DD/MM/YYYY

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (CDM-EB93-A05-STAN);• Make editorial improvements.
02.0	31 October 2017	Revision to align with the requirements in the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0).
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		