



**Validation report form for post-registration changes for  
CDM project activities  
(Version 03.0)**


*Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.*

**BASIC INFORMATION**

<b>Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity</b>	27.3 MW Wind energy farm at Mokla Rajasthan by HZL (UNFCCC reference number: 7873 <sup>1</sup> )
<b>Process track</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
<b>Version number of the validation report</b>	01
<b>Completion date of the validation report</b>	22/10/2020
<b>Type(s) of PRCs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <sup>2</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
<b>Version number of PDD to which this report applies</b>	04
<b>Project participants</b>	M/s Hindustan Zinc Limited (India) EKI Energy Services Limited (Australia)
<b>Host Party</b>	India
<b>Applied methodologies and standardized baselines</b>	Selected Methodology: Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (ACM0002, Version 12.3.0) <b>Standardized baseline:</b> Not Applicable
<b>Mandatory sectoral scopes</b>	01

<sup>1</sup> <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1351155785.18/view>

<sup>2</sup> Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

<b>Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable</b>	NA
<b>Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</b>	LGAJ Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) UNFCCC Ref. No.: E-0032
<b>Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report</b>	Mr. Juan Sendín Caballero <i>Applus+ Certification Business Unit Managing Director</i> Signature: 

## SECTION A. Executive summary

The main purpose of this project activity is to generate clean form of electricity through renewable wind energy source. M/s Hindustan Zinc Limited is the promoter of the proposed project activity.

The project activity is a 27.3 MW wind power project in Rajasthan, India, consisting of 13 WTGs of individual capacity 2.1 MW, sourced from Suzlon Energy Limited. The project activity is in line with the sustainable development priority of the country. The electricity generated from the project is exported to the regional electricity grid and sold to the state electricity utility.

**Validation Scope:** The scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document (PDD). The PDD is reviewed against the criteria stated in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Marrakech Accords and the relevant decisions by the CDM Executive Board, including the approved baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002 version 12.3.0. The validation was based on the requirements in the Validation and Verification Standard (VVS version 02 for the project activity)

The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the project design document.

**Validation Process:** The project assessment is based on the "Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard version 2.0 for the project activity and is conducted using standard auditing techniques to assess the correctness of the information provided by the project participants. Before the assessment begins, members of the team covering the technical scope(s), sectoral scope(s), and relevant host country experience for evaluating the CDM project activity are appointed.

Following are the task performed by the assessment team:

- I A desk review of the project design documentation;
- II Follow-up interviews with project stakeholders;
- III The resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final validation report and opinion.

The prepared validation report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality control at the HQ (Accredited office) before being submitted to the CDM-EB.

In order to ensure transparency, assumptions must be clear and stated explicitly and background material must also be referenced. Applus+ Certification has developed a specific checklist customized for the project. The checklist demonstrates, in a transparent manner, the project criteria (requirements), discussion on each criterion by the assessment team, and the results from validating the identified criteria.

### **Appointment of the assessment team**

According to the sectoral scope / technical area and experience in the sectoral or national business environment, LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) has composed a project assessment team in accordance with the appointment rules in the internal Quality Management System of LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification).

The composition of audit team shall be approved by the LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) ensuring that the required skills are covered by the team.

The four qualification levels for team members that are assigned by formal appointment rules are as presented below:

- Lead Auditor (LA).
- Auditor (A) / Auditor in Training (AiT).
- Technical Expert (TE).
- Technical Reviewer (TR).

The sectoral scope / technical area knowledge linked to the applied methodology/ies shall be covered by the assessment team.

Name	Role	SS	TA	Financial	Host country
------	------	----	----	-----------	--------------

		Coverage	Coverage	aspect	experience
Mr. Sukanta Das	LA/TE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Mr. Simon Shen	TR	YES	YES	NA	NA

### **Document review**

The Project Design Document submitted by the Client was reviewed against the approved methodology and other relevant criteria to verify the correctness, credibility, and interpretation of the presented information. Furthermore, a cross-check between information provided and information from other sources has been done. Please refer Appendix 3 of this report.

### **Follow-up interviews**

Applus+ Certification performed interviews, telephone conferences with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review.

### **Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Request**

The objective of this phase of the validation was to resolve the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues which need to be clarified for Applus+ Certification's positive conclusion on the project design document. The Corrective Action Requests and Clarification Requests raised by Applus+ Certification were resolved during communications between the Client and Applus+ Certification to guarantee the transparency of the validation process, the concerns raised and responses given are summarized in Appendix 4 below.

The final revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020 submitted by PP serves as the basis for the final assessment presented. Additional changes to the project during the validation process are not considered to be significant with respect to the main CDM objectives. The two CDM main objectives are the reduction of anthropogenic GHG emissions and the contribution of sustainable development to the host country.

### **Internal quality control**

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform.

### **Conclusion**

Applus+ Certification has performed a validation of the "27.3 MW Wind energy farm at Mokla Rajasthan by HZL". The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. ACM 0002 version 12.3.0) given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ Certification for registration with the UNFCCC.

Applus+ Certification has received a confirmation from the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 2.0 for the project activity and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

**SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver****B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Lead Auditor /Technical Expert	O R	Das	Sukanta	True Quality Certifications private Limited- Outsourced entity	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

**B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1	Technical reviewer	EI	Shen	Simon	Applus+ Certification
2.	Approver	IR	Sendin Caballero	Juan	Applus+ Certification

**SECTION C. Means of validation****C.1. Desk/document review**

The details of the document observed during the validation process are listed below in Appendix 3 of this report.

**C.2. On-site inspection**

Duration of on-site inspection: Please refer Verification report				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
Please refer Verification report				

**C.3. Interviews**

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
Please refer Verification report						

**C.4. Sampling approach**

Not Applicable.

**C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised**

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	00	00	00

Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	00	00	00
Corrections	00	00	00
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	00	00	00
Inclusion of a monitoring plan	00	00	00
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	00	01	00
Changes to the project design	00	00	00
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	00	00	00
Others (please specify)	00	00	00
<b>Total</b>	00	01	00

## SECTION D. Validation findings

### D.1. Compliance with PDD form

<b>Means of validation</b>	The guideline for completing CDM form version 11.0 for project activity is checked by the assessment team.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings raised for this compliance
<b>Conclusion</b>	The latest version 11.0 available in the UNFCCC site is used for the revision of PDD. The project activity description is in accordance to the PDD form and thus the same is acceptable to the assessment team.

### D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

<b>Means of validation</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Findings</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Conclusion</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

### D.3. Corrections

<b>Means of validation</b>	Assessment team checked the registered PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020
<b>Findings</b>	No CAR is raised in this section
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>Following corrections were carried out in the revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to new template format of the PDD version 11.0 of UNFCCC following text are added :</li> </ol> <p><b>Cover page:</b> Assessment team confirms that Completion of additional fields namely Project participant(s), Host Party, Sectoral scope and selected methodology(ies), mandatory and conditional sectoral scope and Estimated amount of annual average GHG emission reductions is in line with new PDD template. Also section F as per the new PDD template is now included which mentions the details of the Host country approval. The project obtained Host Country Approval from MoEFCC vide letter number 4/6/2012-CCC dated 14/09/2012. The same is checked from the UN home page as well (<a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1351155785.18/view">https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1351155785.18/view</a>) and found correct.</p> <p><b>Section A.6 has been updated as per the latest PDD template.</b> Assessment team confirms that the proposed CDM project activity is registered as a CDM project activity with UN reference number as UN:7873. This project activity is not included as a component project activity (CPA) in a registered CDM programme of activities (PoA). The proposed CDM project activity was not a CPA that has not been excluded from a registered CDM PoA. This is a registered CDM project activity whose first crediting period is ongoing and project exists in the same</p>

	<p>geographical location as the proposed registered CDM project activity.</p> <p><b>Section A.7 has been updated as per the latest PDD template:</b> The capacity of the project activity being 27.3 MW which is more than 15 MW type 1 limit and thus it qualifies as large scale project activity. Therefore section A.7 i.e. De-bundling criteria is not applicable for the present project activity.</p> <p><b>The contact information of additional Project participant is updated in Appendix 1 and section A.4.</b> The addition of PP information as mentioned in the revised PDD is in line with revised MoC as per UNFCCC project page and thus the correction is acceptable to the assessment team.</p> <p><b>Appendix 7</b> as per new PDD template is now incorporated which describes the PRC changes applied to the registered PDD version 03. The changes are acceptable to the assessment team as it complies with the requirement of Appendix of CDM PS version 02.0 Para1(c).</p>
--	--

#### D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

<b>Means of validation</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Findings</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Conclusion</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

#### D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

<b>Means of validation</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Findings</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Conclusion</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

#### D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

<b>Means of validation</b>	Assessment team checked the revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020			
<b>Findings</b>	CAR 01 was raised during the validation process and closed successfully. Please refer Appendix 4 of this report for the detail closure of the CAR			
<b>Conclusion</b>	Following are the observations of the DOE			
	<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Detail as per Old PDD version 03 dated 28/09/2012</b>	<b>Detail as per New PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020</b>	<b>Reason for change</b>
	1.	<p>For the Parameter: <b>EG<sub>PJ,y</sub></b> : Net electricity supplied by the WTGs in the project activity to the grid.</p> <p>In the Old registered PDD <b>“Purpose of data”</b> was not the part of old template</p> <p>In the Old registered PDD <b>“Additional comment”</b> was not the part of old template</p>	<p>“Purpose of data” for the parameter is now added as per the new template requirement.</p> <p>“Additional comment” for the parameter is now added as per the new template requirement.</p>	<p>The purpose of the Data for the parameter is now added as per the requirement of the latest template version 11.0. The parameter is used to monitor the baseline emission.</p> <p>The Additional comment for the parameter is now added as per the requirement of the latest template version 11.0. The data will be kept for two years after the crediting period or</p>

				from last issuance.
	2	<p>For all other parameter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>EG<sub>p export,y</sub></b> : Electricity exported to the state electricity board by the project activity</li> <li>2. <b>EG<sub>p import,y</sub></b>: Electricity imported from the state electricity board by the project activity</li> <li>3. <b>X</b>: Sum of Gross electricity generated by all WEGs connected to substation</li> <li>4. <b>Y</b>: Sum of Gross electricity generated by all WEGs owned by PP in project activity</li> <li>5. <b>A</b>: Total electricity exported to the grid measured at the substation</li> <li>6. <b>B</b>: Total electricity imported from the grid measured at the substation</li> </ol> <p>In the Old registered PDD “<b>Purpose of data</b>” was not the part of old template</p> <p>In the Old registered PDD “<b>Additional comment</b>” was not the part of old template</p>	<p>“Purpose of data” for all the parameter is now added as per the new template requirement.</p> <p>“Additonal comment” for all the parameter is now added as per the new template requirement.</p>	<p>The purpose of the Data for all the parameter is now added as per the requirement of the latest template version 11.0. All the parameter is use to monitor the baseline emission.</p> <p>The Additional comment for all the parameter is now added as per the requirement of the latest template version 11.0. The data will be kept for two years after the crediting period or from last issuance.</p>



	3	<p>For the Parameter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>X:</b> Sum of Gross electricity generated by all WEGs connected to substation</li> <li>2. <b>Y:</b> Sum of Gross electricity generated by all WEGs owned by PP in project activity</li> </ol> <p>In the Old PDD in QA/QC procedure it is mentioned that :</p> <p>These WEG controller meters would be tested for accuracy once in three years. These WEG controller meters would be tested for accuracy once in three years.</p>	<p>These WEG controller meters are factory calibrated and does not require further calibration.</p>	<p>Assessment team checked the Manufacturers declaration and found that WEG controller meters are factory calibrated and does not require further calibration. The same is thus acceptable to the assessment team. The correction in the QA/QC procedure for the revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020 is thus acceptable to the assessment team. The revision is in accordance with Appendix 1 (c) and 1 (d) of project standard version 02.0 and have no impact on the below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The applicability and application of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents with which the project activity has been registered;</li> <li>(ii) The additionality of the project activity;</li> <li>(iii) The scale of the project activity.</li> </ol>
--	---	---	---	--

**D.7. Changes to the project design**

<b>Means of validation</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Findings</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Conclusion</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

**D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

<b>Means of validation</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
<b>Findings</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.

<b>Conclusion</b>	The post registration changes do not fall under this category.
-------------------	--

## **SECTION E. Internal quality control**

As final step of a validation of the final documentation including the validation report and the checklist have to undergo an internal quality control by the technical review committee, i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the head of the technical review committee or the deputy. In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team approval can only be given by the other one to avoid any conflict of interest.

After confirmation of the PP the validation opinion and relevant documents are submitted to the EB through the UNFCCC web-platform.

## **SECTION F. Validation opinion**

Applus+ Certification has performed a validation of the “27.3 MW Wind energy farm at Mokla Rajasthan by HZL”. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria, e.g. ACM 0002 version 12.3.0 given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Applus+ Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by Applus+ Certification for registration with the UNFCCC.

Applus+ Certification has received a confirmation from the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development.

By displacing fossil fuel-based electricity with electricity generated from a renewable source, the project results in reductions of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change. An analysis of the investment and technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of annual emission reductions of 44,627 tCO<sub>2e</sub> per year.

The validation has been performed following the requirements of the latest version of the CDM VVS version 2.0 for the project activity and on the basis of the contractual agreement. The single purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM/UNFCCC project cycle.

## Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
BM	Build Margin
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CL	Clarification request
CM	Combined Margin
CMS	Central Monitoring system
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DCS	Distributed control system
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
DR	Document Review
EF	Emission Factor
EMA	Energy Market Authority (EMA)
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming potential
IPCC	The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
MMBTU	One million British Thermal Units
PP	Project Participant
PLF	Plant Load factor

## Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

1. Mr. Sukanta DAS, has done M. SC in (Electronics and Photonics) and M. Tech in (Energy technology) from Tezpur Central University/ Indian Institute of technology Bombay in India. He is a certified lead auditor for ISO 14001 EMS LA and ISO 9001 QMS LA from International registry for Certified Auditors (IRCA) and Certified Lean Management practitioner from Quality Council of India (QCI). He has more than 10 years of working experience at TUV NoRD/ Re-consult/CRA/ Applus+ Certification under various categories of projects stating from Renewable to waste to supercritical projects. He was JI/ CDM Lead Assessor in TUV NoRD and was involved in more than 100 CDM validation and verifications activities in Gold Standard, VCS, CDM projects as a team leader/technical reviewer / validator / verifier covering the sectoral scope 1, 13 technical areas

1.2/1.1/13.1. Currently he is associated with True Quality Certifications Private Limited and is empanelled with Applus+ Certification to carry out GHG audit.

2. Mr. Simon Shen (Master Degree in Thermal Energy Engineering, Bachelor Degree in Environmental Engineering) is a Lead Auditor appointed by Applus+ LGAI for the GHG project assessment. He is based in Shanghai. He has several years of work experience in environmental protection field. Before he joined Applus+ LGAI, he had been worked for TÜV SÜD as a GHG Validator/Assessment team and ISO 9001/14001 Lead Auditor for 3.5 years

### Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	NA	Revised PDD version 04	Revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020	PP
2	NA	Declaration from the WTGs Manufacturer.	Declaration from the Manufacturer (Suzlon)	PP

### Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. ~~CLs from this validation~~

CL ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of CL</b>			
<b>Project participant response</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>			
<b>DOE assessment</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>

Table 2. CARs from this validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	D.6	Date: 14/10/2020
<b>Description of CAR</b>				
As per the registered version 03 for the Parameter X and Y WEG controller meters would be tested for accuracy once in three years. Corrective action is sought for the same.				
<b>Project participant response</b>				<b>Date: 15/10/2020</b>
The Post registration changes (PRC) are requested during current monitoring plan for the parameter X and Y. PP is hereby submitting Manufacturers declaration and confirm that the WEG controller meters are factory calibrated and does not require further calibration.				
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>				
Revised PDD version 04 Declaration from the Manufacturer.				
<b>DOE assessment</b>				<b>Date: 15/10/2020</b>
Following are the observation of the DOE: Assessment team checked the Manufacturers declaration and found that WEG controller meters are factory calibrated and does not require further calibration. The same is thus acceptable to the assessment team. The correction in the QA/QC procedure for the revised PDD version 04 dated 15/10/2020 is thus acceptable				

to the assessment team. The revision is in accordance with Appendix 1 (c) and 1 (d) of project standard version 02.0 and have no impact on the below:

- (i) The applicability and application of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents with which the project activity has been registered;
- (ii) The additionality of the project activity;
- (iii) The scale of the project activity.

PRC change is thus acceptable to the assessment team and CAR is therefore closed.

**Table 3. FARs from this validation**

<b>FAR ID</b>	<b>xx</b>	<b>Section no.</b>	<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
<b>Description of FAR</b>			
<b>Project participant response</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>			
<b>DOE assessment</b>			<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>

- - - - -

**Document information**

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (CDM-EB93-A05-STAN);</li><li>• Make editorial improvements.</li></ul>
02.0	31 October 2017	Revision to align with the requirements in the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0).
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		