



## CDM Form to submit verification and certification reports and request issuance

(paragraphs 62h, 63 and 64 of CDM modalities and procedures)

### Section 1, 2 and 3 below are to be completed by the DOE

The DOE declares by submitting this signed form and its supporting documentation that in undertaking the verification, certification and request for issuance of CERs for this CDM project activity, it has no financial interest related to the CDM project activity and that undertaking such a verification, certification and request for issuance of CERs does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of a DOE under the CDM.

### Section 1: Request for issuance of CERs

Name of the designated operational entity (DOE)	ERM CVS
Title of the CDM project activity (reference number)	Transalloys Manganese Alloy Smelter Energy Efficiency Project (1027)
Is it a small-scale activity?	Yes / <u>No</u> (underline as applicable)
Period covered by this request (Start date / end date)	01 Jul 2009 - 28 Feb 2010
Total verified and certified emission reductions (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	82934
We herewith certify that, during the above specified time period, the CDM project activity achieved the above specified verified amount of reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources	Date: 16 Dec 2010
	Name of DOE representative: <u>A. Edwards</u>
	Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>

### Section 2: Verification report submission related to above request for issuance

The project documentation provided by project participants is in accordance with the requirements of the registered CDM project design document and relevant provisions of decisions COP/COP/MOP and their annexes (para. 62 (a) of CDM M&P)	<u>Yes</u> / No (underline as applicable)
	n/a
The DOE conducted on-site inspections (para. 62 (b) of CDM M&P)	<u>Yes</u> / No (underline as applicable)
	Section 3
The DOE used additional data from other sources (para. 62 (c) of CDM M&P)	<u>Yes</u> / No (underline as applicable)
	Section 3 and Annex 1

<b>The DOE reviewed monitoring results and verified that the monitoring methodologies for the estimation of reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources have been applied correctly and the documentation submitted by project participants to the DOE is complete and transparent (para. 62 (d) of CDM M&amp;P)</b>	<u>Yes</u> / No (underline as applicable)
	Sections 4, 5 and 6
<b>The DOE recommended to the project participants appropriate changes to the monitoring methodology for any future crediting period (para. 62 (e) of CDM M&amp;P)</b>	Yes / <u>No</u> (underline as applicable)
	n/a
<b>The DOE identified and informed the project participants of any concerns related to the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with the registered CDM project design document and project participants addressed the concerns and supplied relevant additional information. (para. 62 (g) of CDM M&amp;P)</b>	Yes / <u>No</u> (underline as applicable)
	n/a
<b><i>Section 3: Documents submitted in relation to Sections 1 and 2</i></b>	
<b>The certification report is attached:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>The verification report is attached:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Reference number of the Monitoring Report made public:</b>	7NBC1FFAJE2IS0QVJ2O6SFGJROJ3VI
<b>A list of documents submitted (in addition to the verification and certification report) is attached</b>	EcoSecurities Transalloys 3rd Monitoring Report