



VERIFICATION / CERTIFICATION REPORT

11.3 MW RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT FOR A GRID SYSTEM BY K.M. POWER (P) LIMITED IN INDIA

(UNFCCC Registration Ref. No. 0750)

Monitoring Period:
24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010

REPORT No. 2010-0528

REVISION No. 01

DET NORSKE VERITAS



VERIFICATION / CERTIFICATION REPORT

Date of first issue: 18 February 2010	Project No.: PRJC-255118-2010-CCS-IND	
Recommended for approval by: Lai Chee Keong	Approved by Michael Lehmann	Organisational unit: DNV Climate Change and Environmental Services
Client: K.M. Power Private Limited		Client ref.: G. Ramnarayan Reddy Managing Director

DET NORSKE VERITAS
CERTIFICATION AS

Veritasveien 1,
1322 HØVIK, Norway
Tel: +47 67 57 99 00
Fax: +47 67 57 99 11
<http://www.dnv.com>
Org. No: NO 945 748 931 MVA

Summary:

Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV) has performed the verification of the emission reductions reported for the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” (UNFCCC Registration Ref. No. 0750) for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.

In our opinion, the GHG emission reductions reported for the project in the revised monitoring report (Version 02) of 14 December 2010 are fairly stated.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved monitoring methodology AMS-I.D (version 09) and the monitoring plan contained in the Project Design Document of 12 October 2006.

Det Norske Veritas Certification AS is able to certify that the emission reductions from the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” during the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010 amount to 12 468 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent.

Report No.: 2010-0528		Subject Group: Environment	
Report title: 11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited			
Work carried out by: Astakala Vidyacharan, Sharmistha Shome			
Work verified by: Lai Chee Keong			
Date of this revision: 18 February 2011	Rev. No.: 01	Number of pages: 14	

Indexing terms	
Key words Climate Change Kyoto Protocol Validation Clean Development Mechanism	Service Area Verification
	Market Sector
	Energy Industry
<div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No distribution without permission from the client or responsible organisational unit</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> free distribution within DNV after 3 years</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Strictly confidential</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Unrestricted distribution</div>	

© 2002 Det Norske Veritas AS

All rights reserved. This publication or parts thereof may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying or recording, without the prior written consent of Det Norske Veritas AS.



<i>Table of Content</i>	<i>Page</i>
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objective	1
1.2 Scope	1
1.3 Description of the project activity	1
1.4 Methodology for determining emission reductions	2
2 METHODOLOGY	2
2.1 Review of documentation	3
2.2 Site visit	4
2.3 Reporting of findings	5
3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS	6
3.1 Remaining issues, CARs, FARs from previous validation / verification	6
3.2 Project implementation	6
3.3 Compliance of monitoring plan with monitoring methodology	6
3.4 Compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan	6
3.5 Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions	11
3.6 Quality of evidence to determine emission reductions	12
3.7 Management system and quality assurance	12
4 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT	13
5 REFERENCES	14
Appendix A Corrective action requests, clarification requests and forward action requests	
Appendix B Curricula vitae of the verification team members	

***Abbreviations***

APTRANSCO	Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited
AP	Andhra Pradesh
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEF	Carbon Emission Factor
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CH ₄	Methane
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNV	Det Norske Veritas
DNA	Designated National Authority
ERU	Emission Reduction Units(s)
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
MP	Monitoring Plan
MR	Monitoring Report
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
NGO	Non-governmental Organisation
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PDD	Project Design Document
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change
VVM	Validation Verification Manual



1 INTRODUCTION

K.M. Power Private Limited has commissioned Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV) to carry out the verification and certification of emission reductions reported for the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” (the project) in the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010. This report contains the findings from the verification and a certification statement for the certified emission reductions.

1.1 Objective

Verification is the periodic independent review and *ex post* determination by a Designated Operational Entity (DOE) of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions that have occurred as a result of the registered CDM project activity during a defined monitoring period.

Certification is the written assurance by a DOE that, during a specific period in time, a project activity achieved the emission reductions as verified.

The objective of this verification was to verify and certify emission reductions reported for the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.

1.2 Scope

The scope of the verification is:

- To verify that actual monitoring systems and procedures are in compliance with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan.
- To evaluate the GHG emission reduction data and express a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data is free from material misstatement.
- To verify that reported GHG emission data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

The verification shall ensure that reported emission reductions are complete and accurate in order to be certified.

1.3 Description of the project activity

Project Parties:	India, Japan, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Title of project activity:	11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited
UNFCCC registration No:	0750
Baseline and monitoring methodology	AMS- <i>I.D</i> (version 09)



Project Participants: K.M. Power Private Limited from India, Noble Carbon Credits Limited & Deutsche Bank AG from UK and Mitsubishi Corporation from Japan

Location of the project activity: Velgodu mandal, Kurnool district, Andhra Pradesh state, India

Project's crediting period: 6 February 2002 (Fixed crediting period of 10 years)

Period verified in this verification: 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010

The project activity is a bundle of three small hydro power projects with an aggregated installed capacity of 11.3 MW, connected to the Andhra Pradesh state electricity grid. The first project, Guntakandala mini hydel scheme (4.0 MW capacity) was commissioned in February 2002. The second project, Velpanuru mini hydel scheme (3.3 MW) was commissioned in November 2002 and the third project, Madhavaram mini hydel scheme (4.0 MW) was commissioned in December 2003. The project utilises the head available in the Nippulavagu natural stream (used as a carrier canal for Kurnool-Cuddapa canal) located in Andhra Pradesh region, for generation of electricity. The projects have a diversion structure for the stream, intake chamber, de-silting chamber, fore bay, and tail race for creating the additional head to run the turbines. The technology used in this project is indigenous.

1.4 Methodology for determining emission reductions

The project's emission reductions are determined as the product of the net electricity exported to the grid in a year and the ex-post monitored grid emission factor (southern regional grid) as the weighted average of current generation mix. According to the validated project design, there are no project emissions and leakage effects associated with the project.

The project has already had CERs issuance for the period starting from 6 February 2002 to 23 March 2009 through four periodic verifications.

2 METHODOLOGY

The verification of the emission reductions has assessed all factors and issues that constitute the basis for emission reductions from the project. These include for each unit:

- Electricity generation - net export to grid and auxiliary consumptions, on a monthly basis
- import of electricity from grid during plant shut downs
- ♦ Grid emission factor as weighted average of current generation mix – per data made available by the CEA.

**Verification team**

Role	Last Name	First Name	Country	Type of involvement						
				Administrative	Desk review	Site visit	Reporting	Supervision of work	Technical review	Sectoral competence
Contract Manager	Astakala	Vidyacharan	India	✓						
Team Leader (Verifier)	Astakala	Vidyacharan	India		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
GHG Auditor	Shome	Sharmistha	India		✓		✓			
Technical reviewer	Lai	Chee Keong	China						✓	✓

Duration of verification

Date of publishing of initial monitoring report 3 September 2010

Preparations: *From 03-09-2010 to 15-09-2010*

On-site verification: *From 03-10-2010 to 04-10-2010*

Reporting, calculation checks and QA/QC: *From 31-10-2010 to 18 February 2011*

2.1 Review of documentation

The monitoring reports / 1/ and the emission reduction calculations, provided in the form of spreadsheets submitted by K.M. Power Private Limited, were assessed as a part of the verification.

In addition to the monitoring documentation provided by the project participants, DNV has reviewed:

- (a) The registered PDD, including the monitoring plan/ 2/
- (b) the corresponding validation report/ 3/;
- (c) Previous verification reports/ 4/;
- (d) The applied monitoring methodology AMS-I.D, version 09/ 6/;
- (e) Relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the CMP and the CDM Executive Board if any/ 8/;
- (f) Any other information and references relevant to the project activity's resulting emission reductions (e.g., annual grid emission factor)/ 18/.
- (g) Other operational documents were also assessed as evidence/ 19/-/ 20/.



2.2 Site visit

On 04 October 2010, DNV carried out site visit at K.M. Power Private Limited. During the site visit, DNV verified the actual operation of the project as described in the PDD / 2/. The instruments used for monitoring electricity in all the three project locations were evidenced, including the calibration records for these instruments and these were found to be in order. Evidence for the reported net generation of electricity was verified i.e., the electricity supplied to the grid minus the electricity consumption of the project (electricity imported from the grid).

The on-site assessment involves

- (a) Assessment of the implementation and operation of the proposed CDM project activity as per the registered PDD;
- (b) Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters;
- (c) Interviews with relevant personnel to confirm that the operational and data collection procedures are implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan in the PDD;
- (d) A cross-check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as plant log books, inventories, purchase records or similar data sources;
- (e) A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the PDD and the selected methodology;
- (f) Review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions;
- (g) Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters.

Interviewed Personnel & Organisation	Interview topics
K.M Power Private Limited: Mr. K.E Hari babu – Executive Director Guntakandala Unit Mr. A. Venkateswara reddy, Plant In-charge Mr. K. Raghavendra, Electrician Yelpanuru Unit Mr. J. Sivaiah, Elect. Engineer Mr. B. Venkateswara Reddy, Electrician Madhavaram Unit Mr. K. Madan mohan Reddy, Plant In-charge Mr. N. Raviendra babu, Elec. Engineer Mr. B. Nageswara bao, Electrician	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Whether the project has been implemented as planned ➤ Calculation of ex-post baseline emission factor ➤ Adherence to monitoring plan established in registered Project Design Document. ➤ Management procedures like internal audits and reviews to minimise uncertainties in data monitoring and data management ➤ Project performance ➤ Calibration of metering equipments



2.3 Reporting of findings

A corrective action request (CAR) is issued, where:

- i. Non-conformities with the monitoring plan or methodology are found in monitoring and reporting, or if the evidence provided to prove conformity is insufficient;
- ii. Mistakes have been made in applying assumptions, data or calculations of emission reductions which will impair the estimate of emission reductions;
- iii. Issues identified in a FAR during validation to be verified during verification have not been resolved by the project participants.

A clarification request (CL) shall be raised if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable CDM requirements have been met.

A forward action request (FAR) is issued for actions if the monitoring and reporting require attention and/or adjustment for the next monitoring period.

One CAR/FAR or CL has been raised during this verification. Also the Monitoring report has been revised by the Project participant to effect minor corrections due to data misstatement in the webhosted Monitoring report.



3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

This section summarises the findings from the verification of the emission reductions reported for the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.

3.1 Remaining issues, CARs, FARs from previous validation / verification

According to previous verification / 4/, no FARs were required to be followed-up during this verification.

3.2 Project implementation

The project has been implemented as planned. The actual project construction activity started on 7 May 2001 with the installation of diversion structure, power canal, penstocks, powerhouse, and power evacuation system and tailrace canal. The first sub-project at Guntakandala site was commissioned on 6 February 2002. Hence the crediting period is chosen as starting from 6 February 2002. The second sub-project at Velpanuru was commissioned in November 2002 and the third at Madhavaram site was commissioned on December 2003. Electricity generation and supply to APTRANSCO grid is enabled through independent transmission lines for each part of the project. Synchronization reports for the three sub-projects have been verified by DNV.

After implementation and commissioning of the project, no changes have been carried out. As part of the site visit DNV was able to confirm that the project implementation is in accordance with the project description contained in registered PDD of 12 October 2006 / 2/.

3.3 Compliance of monitoring plan with monitoring methodology

DNV is able to confirm that the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD of 12 October 2006 / 2/ is in accordance with the approved methodology applied by the project activity, i.e. AMS-I.D (version 09).

3.4 Compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan

The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD of 12 October 2006 / 2/.

As required by the monitoring methodology AMS I.D, version 09 monitoring of parameters essentially comprises for each unit:

- Ex-post grid emission factor for southern India electricity grid.
- Electricity generation
- Electricity export to grid
- Import from grid during off season and auxiliary consumptions



In accordance with AMS-I.D, the baseline for the project activity has been calculated ex-post by determining the CO₂ emissions from the electricity generation from the southern regional grid using the weighted average of current generation mix approach.

The registered PDD considers an emission factor as 739.14 t CO₂/GWh, while estimating ex-ante emission reductions for the period of 2009-2010. In line with the monitoring plan in the registered PDD, the project considered the ex-post monitored grid emission factor (as per CO₂ database from official CEA website / 18/ which is the authentic and reliable source) that was available at the time of receipt and webhosting of the initial monitoring report. Since the emission factor for the year 2009-10 was not available, the emission factor for the most recent year (2008-09) at 749.22tCO₂/GWh has been considered for computing the baseline emissions. This is considered acceptable and conservative.

DNV confirms that the information provided in the monitoring report has been cross-checked with other sources such as plant log books, electricity export records like Joint meter reading certificates issued by the APTRANSCO.

Daily gross generation is recorded in the production log sheets for each location on daily basis. These records have been verified by DNV during site visit. During the chosen verification period the project activity has generated a cumulative quantity of 16 98 3570kWh between all three units.

The export and import of power to/from the grid is monitored continuously using energy meters which are under the purview of the state electricity board and maintained and calibrated by them. The joint meter reading is taken at each individual location and recorded by both representatives of KM Power and the electricity board officials on monthly basis. The Joint meter reading certificates form the basis for verification of the claimed emission reductions. During the verification period the project activity has recorded a cumulative export of 16 66 6100 kWh and electricity import from grid during off seasons and emergencies up to 20 200 kWh.

Though auxiliary consumptions are measured using exclusive meters at each unit, it is also reported as difference of gross electricity and electricity export which also includes the transmission and transformer losses. This is accepted as conservative approach.

It has been observed that the emission reductions verified for the chosen verification period are lower than the ex-ante estimated CERs as per the registered PDD. The lower emission reductions reported are mainly attributed to the unforeseen floods in the project area forcing the stoppage of operations for more than two months during peak season. This is further explained in a table form below.

24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010	Baseline Emissions (tCO _{2e})	Project Emissions (tCO _{2e})	Net Reductions (tCO _{2e})
As per PDD	25 511	0	25 511
As per MR	12 468	0	12 468
percentage of variation	-51.127%	0	-51.127%

The parameters reported, including source, frequency and review criteria as indicated in the monitoring plan were verified to be correct and in line with the validated monitoring plan of the PDD. Necessary management system procedures including responsibility and authority of



monitoring activities have been verified to be consistent with the PDD. Knowledge of personnel associated with the project activity was also found to be satisfactory.

Based on the validated emission factors and actual electricity generation and net power export to grid, the emission reductions have been verified to be 12 468 t CO₂ equivalent for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.

Parameter No.1	Assessment/ Observation
Data / Parameter: (as in monitoring plan of PDD):	Gross Electricity generation (at each location)
Measuring frequency:	Measured hourly and aggregated for daily
Reporting frequency:	Daily
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes.
Type of monitoring equipment:	Two energy Meters (one each for two turbines) located at each location
Is accuracy of the monitoring equipment as stated in the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the accuracy of the monitoring equipment, does the monitoring equipment represent good monitoring practise?	Not specified in PDD. However 0.2 Class accuracy meter for the gross generation, which represents a good practice.
Calibration frequency /interval:	Annual
Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan of the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the frequency of calibration, does the selected frequency represent good monitoring practise?	Not defined in the PDD. But annual calibration represents a good industrial practice and also meets the SSC criteria for calibration interval.
Company performing the calibration:	M/s. Sri Sai Ram Engg. Works, Kurnool performed the calibration of gross generation meters at each location.
Did calibration confirm proper functioning of monitoring equipment? (Yes / No):	Yes. Calibration confirms the proper function of each of monitoring equipment.
Is(are) calibration(s) valid for the whole reporting period?	Yes. The calibration records confirm that the validity of calibration is for whole verification period.
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Data has been cross verified from the daily Log sheets for turbine operations
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	From the daily generation reports
Does the data management (from monitoring equipment to emission reduction calculation) ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes. Plant in-charges of each unit are responsible for proper transfer of data and reporting to management on emission reductions. Periodical quality checks through internal audits are practiced.
In case only partial data are available because activity levels or non-activity parameters have not been monitored in accordance with the registered monitoring plan, has the most conservative assumption theoretically possible been applied or has a request for deviation been approved?	Not applicable



Parameter No.2	Assessment/ Observation
Data / Parameter: (as in monitoring plan of PDD):	Auxiliary electricity (at each location)
Measuring frequency:	Measured hourly and aggregated for daily
Reporting frequency:	Daily
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes.
Type of monitoring equipment:	Energy Meters located at each location
Is accuracy of the monitoring equipment as stated in the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the accuracy of the monitoring equipment, does the monitoring equipment represent good monitoring practise?	Not specified in PDD. However 0.2 Class accuracy meter for the auxiliary electricity, which represents a good practice.
Calibration frequency /interval:	Annual
Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan of the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the frequency of calibration, does the selected frequency represent good monitoring practise?	Not defined in the PDD. But annual calibration represents a good industrial practice and also meets the SSC criteria for calibration interval.
Company performing the calibration:	M/s. Sri Sai Ram Engg. Works, Kurnool performed the calibration of auxiliary meters at each location.
Did calibration confirm proper functioning of monitoring equipment? (Yes / No):	Yes. Calibration confirms the proper function of each of monitoring equipment.
Is(are) calibration(s) valid for the whole reporting period?	Yes. The calibration records confirm that the validity of calibration is for whole verification period.
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Data has been cross verified from the daily Log sheets for turbine operations.
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	From the daily generation reports
Does the data management (from monitoring equipment to emission reduction calculation) ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes. Plant in-charges of each unit are responsible for proper transfer of data and reporting to management on emission reductions. Periodical quality checks through internal audits are practiced.
In case only partial data are available because activity levels or non-activity parameters have not been monitored in accordance with the registered monitoring plan, has the most conservative assumption theoretically possible been applied or has a request for deviation been approved?	Not applicable



Parameter No.3	Assessment/ Observation
Data / Parameter: (as in monitoring plan of PDD):	Electricity Export and Import (at each location)
Measuring frequency:	Measured continuously and aggregated for monthly
Reporting frequency:	Monthly
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. Joint Meter Readings Reports certified by APTRANSCO officials
Type of monitoring equipment:	Tri-vector Meters located at connected substation in each location
Is accuracy of the monitoring equipment as stated in the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the accuracy of the monitoring equipment, does the monitoring equipment represent good monitoring practise?	Not specified in PDD. However 0.2 Class accuracy meter for the Export electricity meter, which represents a good practice.
Calibration frequency /interval:	Annual
Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan of the PDD? If the PDD does not specify the frequency of calibration, does the selected frequency represent good monitoring practise?	Not defined in the PDD. But annual calibration represents a good industrial practice and also meets the SSC criteria for calibration interval. Meters are replaced every year by APTRANSCO officials as a procedure with calibrated meters and removed meters are calibrated annually and kept ready for use.
Company performing the calibration:	Govt of India, STQC, Electronic Test and Development Centre Hyderabad, performed the calibration of export electricity meters at each location.
Did calibration confirm proper functioning of monitoring equipment? (Yes / No):	Yes. Calibration confirms the proper function of each of monitoring equipment.
Is(are) calibration(s) valid for the whole reporting period?	No. Calibration of meters used during certain period was delayed at least for a period up to one month for each of the meter at every location. However subsequent calibration of these meters conforms to the accuracy limits, in line EB52 annex60 guidance emission reductions were adjusted accordingly. This is further explained in this section below:
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Data has been cross verified from the Joint meter reading certificates jointly signed by APTRANSCO authorities and KM Power plant representatives
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	From the daily reports and also sales invoices raised by KM Power.
Does the data management (from monitoring equipment to emission reduction calculation) ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes. Plant in-charges of each unit are responsible for proper transfer of data and reporting to management on emission reductions. Periodical quality checks through internal audits are practiced.
In case only partial data are available because activity levels or non-activity parameters have not been monitored in accordance with the registered monitoring plan, has the most conservative assumption theoretically possible been applied or has a request for deviation been approved?	Not applicable



It has been verified that the export/import meters used in each unit were or certain specified period not covering the valid calibration period. Hence CAR has been raised to address the delayed calibration period in line with the EB 52 annexure 60 guidance/ 8/. A brief summery on the validity of these calibrations has been added in below table:

Unit location	Meter No.	Period of usage of the meter	Calibration Validity	comments
Guntakandala	1988435	24 March 2009 to 11 November 2009	20 October 2008 to 19 October 2009. Another calibrated meter (serial no. 01988419) / 15/ has been installed after this period.	There was no generation during delayed calibration period of 20 October 2009 to 11 November 2009 as the plant was under maintenance from 2 October 2009 to 9 December 2009 due to equipment repair due to floods in the area.
Velpanur	7033700	24 March 2009 to 23 December 2009	18 November 2008 to 17 November 2009 Another calibrated meter (serial no. 01988435) / 16/ has been installed after this period.	There was nominal export of 700 kWh and grid import of 900 kWh during delayed calibration period of 18 November 2009 to 23 December 2009 as the plant was under maintenance from 2 October 2009 to 18 December 2009 due to equipment repair due to floods in the area. Equivalent emissions reductions were adjusted for this month. 1tCO ₂ has been deducted.
Madhavaram	1988419	24 March 2009 to 24 April 2009	15 April 2008 to 14 April 2009 Another calibrated meter (serial no. 06607932)/ 17/ has been installed after this period.	The plant was taken for shutdown from 9 April 2009 onwards due to lean season. This has been verified from the plant records. Hence only import electricity of 1300 kWh was recorded during the delayed calibration period of 15 April 2009 to 24 April 2009. Hence equivalent emission reduction up to 1tCO ₂ has been adjusted in the CERs claimed.

3.5 Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions

No significant reporting risks have been identified for the data reported. All the data required for emission reduction calculations are manually recorded in log sheets once in each shift i.e., after every 8 hours for each project individually. These are then transferred to spreadsheets for emission reduction calculations.

All other data are culled out either from the log books or daily power generation reports. Log books are having provisions to indicate forced and planned shutdowns of projects.

It has been noticed that there has been delay in calibration of export meters for few days. However this does not have any impact on emission reductions claimed as during the delayed period of calibration it is verified that the plants were under shutdown due to lack of



availability of water. Daily power generation data (including total power and auxiliary power) is monitored and recorded from duly calibrated energy meters, and APTRANSCO officials monitor the export/import power meters (0.2 class accuracy) on monthly basis. All the power generation, export data are maintained daily in electronic as well as hard print form, and have been assessed for correctness.

3.6 Quality of evidence to determine emission reductions

The emission reductions reported per month in the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010 was verified to be 12 468t CO₂e.

Sufficient evidence was presented for the reported net emission reductions.

3.7 Management system and quality assurance

K.M. Power Private Limited has established management procedures and implemented effectively to ensure that the process is consistent. The procedures cover management responsibilities, data monitoring procedures, training procedures, periodical internal audits, management reviews and corrective actions in case of any deviations effectively. Calibration process is followed as per defined procedures and carried out annually and the calibration certificates of the instruments used for data monitoring and recording were also verified during the site visit.



4 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” (UNFCCC Registration Reference No. 0750) for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is DNV's responsibility to express an independent verification statement on the reported GHG emission reductions from the project.

DNV conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring methodology AMS-I.D (version 09), the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document of 12 October 2006 and the monitoring report (Version 02) dated 14 December 2010. The verification included i) checking whether the provisions of the monitoring methodology and the monitoring plan were consistently and appropriately applied and ii) the collection of evidence supporting the reported data.

DNV's verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. DNV planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that DNV considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” (UNFCCC Registration Ref. No. 0750) for the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010 are fairly stated in the monitoring report (Version 02) dated 14 December 2010.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology AMS-I.D (version 09) and the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD of 12 October 2006.

Det Norske Veritas Certification AS is able to certify that the emission reductions from the “11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited ” during the period 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010 amount to 12 468 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent.

Hyderabad and Oslo, 18 February 2011

Astakala Vidyacharan
Verifier
DNV Hyderabad, India

Michael Lehmann
Director of Services and Technologies
Det Norske Veritas Certification AS



5 REFERENCES

Documents provided by the Project Participants that relate directly to the GHG components of the project. These have been used as direct sources of evidence for the periodic verification conclusions, and are usually further checked through interviews with key personnel.

- / 1/ K.M. Power Private Limited: "11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited" Monitoring report version 01 dated 20 July 2010 and version 02 dated 14 December 2010 and excel sheet calculations.
- / 2/ K.M. Power Private Limited: CDM PDD for "11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited" version 02 dated 12 October 2006.
- / 3/ DNV Validation report for "11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited". Report No. 2006-9064 dated 28 October 2006.
- / 4/ Verification/Certification report for "11.3 MW Renewable Energy project for a grid system by K.M. Power (P) Limited". Report No. 2009-0256 dated 06 August 2009

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

- / 5/ CDM Executive Board: Validation and Verification Manual. Version 01.2
- / 6/ Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities: *Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories* - AMS-ID, version 9
- / 7/ Joint Meter reading certificates issued by APTRANSCO authorities and monthly sales invoices raised by KM Power for the electricity exported during each month for the verification period covering all months for each unit.
- / 8/ EB52 annex 60: Guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements version 01.
- / 9/ Gross electricity meters at Guntakandala unit (meter serial no. A3211033 & A3211032), calibrated by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool, dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 15 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.
- / 10/ Gross electricity meters at Velpanur unit (meter serial no. A3220424 & A3220426), calibrated by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool, dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 16 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.
- / 11/ Gross electricity meters at Madhavaram unit (meter serial no. A32300614 & A32300613 calibrated on dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 17 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 9 February 2010 and (meter serial no. B3281357 & B3281359) calibrated on 07 February 2010 covering the verification period from 9 February 2010 to 23 March 2010 by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool.
- / 12/ Auxiliary Electricity meter at Guntakandala unit (serial No.F40/853-502) calibrated by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool, dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 15 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010
- / 13/ Auxiliary Electricity meter at Velpanur unit (serial No.F40/853-502) calibrated by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool, dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 16 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 23 March 2010.
- / 14/ Auxiliary Electricity meter at Madhavaram unit (meter serial no.F40/1536-603 calibrated on dated 1) 5 January 2009 2) 17 July 2009 covering the verification period from 24 March 2009 to 9 February 2010 and (meter serial no.191747/1040806-4909) calibrated on 07 February 2010



- covering the verification period from 9 February 2010 to 23 March 2010 by M/s. Sai Ram Engineering works, Kurnool.
- / 15/ Export/Import Electricity Meter for Guntakandala Unit (serial no. 01988435) calibrated on dated 20 October 2008 and used for the verification period covering from 24 March 2009 to 11 November 2009 and (serial no. 01988419) calibrated on dated 19 October 2008 and used for the verification period covering from 11 November 2009 to 23 March 2010 by Govt of India, STQC, Electronic Test and Development Centre Hyderabad.
 - / 16/ Export/Import Electricity Meter for Velpanur Unit (serial no. 07033700) calibrated on dated 18 November 2008 and used for the verification period covering from 24 March 2009 to 23 December 2009 and (serial no. 01988435) calibrated on dated 13 November 2009 and used for the verification period covering from 23 December 2009 to 23 March 2010 by Govt of India, STQC, Electronic Test and Development Centre Hyderabad.
 - / 17/ Export/Import Electricity Meter for Madhavaram Unit (serial no. 01988419) calibrated on dated 15 April 2008 and used for the verification period covering from 24 March 2009 to 24 April 2009 and (serial no. 06607932) calibrated on dated 1 April 2009 and used for the verification period covering from 24 April 2009 to 23 March 2010 by Govt of India, STQC, Electronic Test and Development Centre Hyderabad.
 - / 18/ Official CEA website:
<http://www.cea.nic.in/planning/c%20and%20e/Government%20of%20India%20website.htm>
 - / 19/ Daily Generation records (log sheets and daily reports) including down times
 - / 20/ Production log records, Maintenance records, Internal calibration records, Internal audit reports

- oOo -

APPENDIX A

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS, CLARIFICATION REQUESTS AND FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS

Corrective action requests

CAR ID	Corrective action request	Response by Project Participants	DNV's assessment of response by Project Participants
CAR 1	From the webhosted monitoring report and the calibration records, it has been concluded that there has been delay in calibration of export/import meter for all three units for certain period. Issue has to be addressed in line with EB 52 annexure 60 and revised monitoring report should be submitted accordingly.	There is a delay in calibration of meters for each location for certain period. The issue is adequately addressed in the revised monitoring report. Equivalent emission reductions are deducted as the subsequent calibration of these meters confirms that the error is within the specified limits. The calibration certificates are submitted for DOE verification.	Issue of delayed calibration has been properly addressed in the revised monitoring report. Since subsequent calibration of these meters conforms to the accuracy limits, emission reductions equivalent to accuracy level of 0.2% have been adjusted which resulted in deduction of 2tCO ₂ e. CAR Closed.

Clarification requests

CAR ID	Corrective action request	Response by Project Participants	DNV's assessment of response by Project Participants
CL 1	No Clarification requests raised		

Forward action requests from previous verification

FAR ID	Forward action request	Summary of how FAR has been addressed in this reporting period	Assessment of how FAR has been addressed
FAR 1	NO Forward action request raised.		

APPENDIX B

CURRICULA VITAE OF THE VERIFICATION TEAM MEMBERS

Astakala Vidyacharan, Auditor, DNV, India. He is a chemical engineer and prior to joining DNV in 2005, has had 11 years of direct work experience in various chemical industries. His work experience covers 4 years in project implementations in pesticide and fine chemical industries, including environment management activities; 7 years in process operations of pesticide, natural products and fine chemical industries.

He has received extensive training in the CDM validation and verification process. He is an appointed validator for the CDM validation and verification program of DNV and has performed validation of several CDM projects. He is also a trained auditor for GHG accounting standards and involved audit of Corporate GHG accounting. He is a qualified ISO9001, ISO 14001 Lead auditor and OHSAS 18001 auditor who has performed several audits for various industrial sectors under these management systems.

His qualification, industrial experience and experience in CDM facilitate him to assess renewable energy based on Hydro and Biomass, Energy Efficiency sectors, in particular to sufficient degree.

Sharmistha Shome, GHG Auditor, DNV Bangalore, India holds a Master's Degree in Energy Systems. Her educational qualification covers the fields of sustainable development, power plant technology, renewable energy technology, performance of thermal & electrical utilities and project financing.

She has experience of around 3 years in validation and verification of CDM projects/JI and other 3rd party validation/verification services. She has completed the ISO14001 EMS Lead Auditor course.

Lai Chee Keong holds a Bachelor Degree in Applied Science majoring in Environmental Biology, a Master Degree in Environmental Engineering and a Post Graduate Diploma in Accounting and Finance. He possesses a combined Asian & International experience of more than 16 years in the field of environmental consulting and environmental auditing. His experience also covers the fields of environmental management and environmental impact assessment for various on-shore industries such as petro-chemical plants, general chemical plants, residential developments and industrial park developments.

He has also been actively involved in Management System audits such as ISO 9001, ISO 14001 and OHSAS 18001 standards in various industrial sectors for more than 7 years in DNV.

He has experience of more than 5 years in validation and verification of numerous CDM projects in DNV in Asia including those in South East Asia and China.

His qualification, industrial and investment experience and experience in CDM demonstrate him sufficient sectoral competence in "Energy Generation from Renewable Energy Sources", "Waste Handling and Disposal" and "Animal Waste Management".