



Monitoring report form
(Version 05.1)

MONITORING REPORT

Title of the project activity	Aurá Landfill Gas Project	
UNFCCC reference number of the project activity	0888	
Version number of the monitoring report	1	
Completion date of the monitoring report	23/01/2017	
Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period	Monitoring Period Number 13. From 01/07/2016 to 31/12/2016 (both days included)	
Project participant(s)	Brazil: Conestoga-Rovers & Associados Engenharia S/A Norway: Nordic Environment Finance Corporation	
Host Party	Brazil	
Sectoral scope(s)	Scope 13: Waste handling and disposal	
Selected methodology(ies)	ACM0001- Consolidated baseline methodology for landfill gas project activities – ver 4	
Selected standardized baseline(s)	Not applicable	
Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks for this monitoring period in the registered PDD	142,420 tCO ₂ e Calculated on a pro-rata basis of 184 days of monitoring in the year 2016 (between the 01 July 2016 to 31 December 2016)	
Total amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks achieved in this monitoring period	GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks reported up to 31 December 2012	GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks reported from 1 January 2013 onwards
	Not applicable	135,373 tCO ₂ e

SECTION A. Description of project activity

A.1. Purpose and general description of project activity

>>

The Aurá Landfill Gas Project has been developed at the Aurá Landfill (Site), originally called the Aterro Sanitário do Aurá. The Site has received non-hazardous solid municipal, industrial, commercial, institutional, and some agricultural wastes for approximately 16 years. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) are generated by the anaerobic decomposition of the above-noted wastes placed at the Site. These compounds are then passively emitted to the atmosphere.

Purpose of the Project Activity

The purpose of the project activity is to collect landfill gas (LFG) at the Aurá Landfill and combust the extracted LFG over a ten year-period, utilizing a high efficiency enclosed flare, thereby reducing greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and generating Certified Emission Reductions (CERs).

Installed Technology and Equipment

The project involved the construction of a LFG collection system consisting of horizontal trenches and vertical LFG extraction wells, centrifugal blower(s), and all other supporting mechanical and electrical subsystems and appurtenances necessary to collect the LFG.

The LFG collected from the Site is combusted in an enclosed LFG flare with full process controls and instrumentation installed and operating. The state-of-the-art flare is capable of providing sufficient temperature and retention time of the extracted LFG for complete destruction of hydrocarbons.

Relevant dates for the project activity

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) started design activities in late 2005 and construction a year later in 2006. Constructed by February 2007 and ready for commissioning, the first monitoring period was from April 30, 2007 to September 30, 2008. The project was registered at UNFCCC on April 30, 2007.

Total GHG emission reductions achieved in this monitoring report

The Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) volume claimed for the monitoring period extending from July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016 is 135,373 t CO₂e.

A.2. Location of project activity

>>

The project activity is located at:

Host country: Brazil

State: Pará

Municipality: Belém

Physical location:

The Aurá Landfill is located 19 kilometers (km) from the center of the City of Belém, State of Pará, Brazil, and is 8 km from the center of the City of Ananindeua. The entire Site covers an area of 120 hectares (ha) and the waste fill area of the Site is approximately 30 ha in size. The Site is located west of Curupeté Creek and east of the Parque Ambiental de Belém.

UTM Coordinates: 22 M 790853 mE and 9843207 mS.

A.3. Parties and project participant(s)

Party involved ((host) indicates a host Party)	Private and/or public entity(ies) project participants (as applicable)	Indicate whether the Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant (yes/no)
Brazil (host)	Conestoga-Rovers & Associados Engenharia S/A (private entity)	No
Norway	Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (private entity)	No

A.4. Reference of applied methodology and standardized baseline

>>

The approved baseline and monitoring methodology applied to this project is the approved ACM0001 – Consolidated Baseline Methodology for Landfill Gas Project Activities- Version 4.0¹.

This methodology also refers to the following tool:

- “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”, version 5.0;
<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools/am-tool-07-v5.0.pdf>

A.5. Crediting period of project activity

>>

The crediting period for this project is from April 30, 2007 to April 29, 2017 (fixed-10 years). This is the 13th consecutive monitoring period corresponding to July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

A.6. Contact information of responsible persons/entities

>>

Conestoga-Rovers & Associados Engenharia S/A
 Av. Adolfo Pinheiro, 1000, 10andar, conj 101.
 São Paulo- SP. Brazil
 Zip Code: 04734-002
 Email: fpileggi@craengenharia.com.br
 Contact Person: Flavia Pileggi
 +55 11 99956 4111

SECTION B. Implementation of project activity**B.1. Description of implemented registered project activity**

>>

The technology used to gather the LFG is a grid of horizontal gas extraction wells within the landfill, connected to a centralized blower system used to induce vacuum. Upon collection of the LFG, the methane component of the LFG is combusted in a state-of-the-art high-efficiency

¹ Available at:

https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/C/D/M/CDMWF_AM_TX29WGSXE4781NKGQGCDPTHM2F3V3D/ACM0001%20_ver4_.pdf?t=bGN8bnNudTQzfDBh0V-1GB_SDjy9uaHaTM-O

enclosed flare. The Global Warming Potential (GWP) of the LFG is reduced by the destruction of the methane portion of the LFG.

The LFG management system is comprised of the following three major components:

1. LFG management facility - houses mechanical and electrical components required for the extraction and delivery of LFG for disposal by flaring
2. LFG collection field - removes LFG from the wastes within the limit of waste and includes trenches and collection piping to convey LFG from the field to the LFG management facility
3. Condensate management system - removes liquid condensate from the LFG collection system and directs the condensate to the leachate collection system

The design for the overall landfill gas (LFG) management system for the Site was completed by Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) from late 2005 to mid-2006. Construction of the LFG management system commenced in early 2006, and the facility was commissioned in the spring of 2007. The start date of the project activity is September 1, 2007.

To date there have been eleven issuances of CERs, as follows:

Verification N	Monitoring Period	CERs	Date of Issuance
First	Apr 30, 2007 to Sep 30, 2008	32,265 tCO ₂ e	Aug 12, 2009
Second	Oct 1, 2008 to Jan 31, 2009	51,524 tCO ₂ e	Aug 13, 2009
Third	Feb 1, 2009 to Aug 31, 2009	83,077 tCO ₂ e	Mar 04, 2010
Fourth	Sep 1, 2009 to Feb 28, 2010	87,595 tCO ₂ e	Oct 27, 2010
Fifth	Mar 1, 2010 to Dec 31, 2010	234,835 tCO ₂ e	Nov 16, 2011
Sixth	Jan 1, 2011 to Oct 31, 2011	286,399 tCO ₂ e	January 14, 2013
Seventh	Nov 1, 2011 to Dec 31, 2012	482,110 tCO ₂ e	May 17, 2013
Eighth	Jan 1, 2013 to Dec 31, 2013	429,046 tCO ₂ e	May 28, 2014
Ninth	Jan 1, 2014 to Dec 31, 2014	470,186 tCO ₂ e	July 08, 2015
Tenth	Jan 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015	176,619 tCO ₂ e	December 11, 2015
Eleventh	July 1, 2015 to Dec 31, 2015	135,653 tCO ₂ e	June 02, 2016
	Jan 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016	139,092 tCO ₂ e	November 07, 2016
TOTAL CERs ISSUED TO DATE		2,608,401 tCO₂e	

During the current monitoring period July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016, there have been the following major maintenance activities:

Description	Date of maintenance activity	Period	Description
Replacing the solenoid valve in the Landtec panel	August 01, 2016	9:15 am to 10:00 am	LFG Plant was not operating
Landtec panel was changed	September 05, 2016	5:55pm to 6:15pm	LFG Plant was operating
Replacing the solenoid valve in the Landtec panel	October 03, 2016	11:40 am to 12:00 pm	LFG Plant was operating
Replacing the solenoid valve in the Landtec panel	December 05, 2016	3:25 pm to 4:00 pm	LFG Plant was operating

During the current monitored period the system went down a few times because the power went off. It is also worth mentioning that no CERs are being claimed for emissions reductions occurring under these circumstances.

There is no major deviation of project monitoring activities from applied methodology and all monitoring activities are being done in accordance with the said methodology as well as with the approved monitoring plan.

B.2. Post-registration changes

B.2.1. Temporary deviations from registered monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

>>

There are no temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan or the applied methodology.

B.2.2. Corrections

>>

There are no corrections to project information or parameters fixed at validation that have been approved during this monitoring period or submitted with this monitoring report.

B.2.3. Changes to start date of crediting period

>>

There are no changes to the start date of the crediting period that have been approved during this period or submitted with this monitoring report.

B.2.4. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to the registered PDD that was not included at registration

>>

There is no monitoring plan to the registered PDD that was not included at registration.

B.2.5. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

>>

The monitoring plan was revised and approved before the period of this monitoring report on October 4, 2009.

B.2.6. Changes to project design of registered project activity

>>

There are no changes to the project design of the project activity that have been approved during this monitoring period or submitted with this monitoring report.

B.2.7. Types of changes specific to afforestation or reforestation project activity

>>

Not applicable.


SECTION C. Description of monitoring system

>>

The LFG monitoring program is designed to collect system operating data required to safely and effectively operate the system as required for the verification of CERs. This data is collected in real time, and provides a continuous record that is easy to monitor, review, and verify.

The monitoring methodology is based on the direct measurement of the quantity of LFG captured and destroyed by the LFG management system. The actual tonnage of methane emissions reduced by the project is calculated based on the flow rate of the LFG, methane concentration, and destruction/conversion efficiency of the combustion equipment. The monitoring plan provides for the continuous measurement of both LFG quantity and quality using a continuous flow meter and online LFG analyzer. The methane emissions reduced by the flare are determined on the flow, methane content, flare temperature and time.

Monitoring Points



Flow measurement

LFG collected by the System and subsequently flared is measured via a flow measuring device suitable for measuring the velocity and volumetric flow of a gas. The flow measurements are taken within the piping itself, and the flow sensors are connected to a transmitter that is capable of collecting and sending continuous data to the Landtec recording system. The equipment selected allows for measurement of flow parameters, normalized to a standard temperature, pressure, and gas composition.

The thermal mass flow meter must be calibrated every 18 months as per manufacturer specifications. Equipment calibration procedures are specified by the equipment manufacturer, and calibration of the sensors is required on this schedule to ensure the quality and validity of the data. The accuracy of a flow meter is dependent on the design of the equipment, and the specific type of sensor used. The equipment selected provides a minimum accuracy of +/- 1 percent by volume. The measured flow is recorded approximately once per two minutes.

All data that is collected is recorded for the permanent record. Both electronic and hard copies of the data are maintained for auditing purposes and for use in the calculation of CERs.

Gas quality

The two parameters that are most pertinent to the verification of CERs, as well as the safe and efficient operation of the system, are the concentrations of methane and oxygen in the gas stream. These two parameters are measured via a common sample line that is run to the main collection system piping, and measured in real time by two separate sensors, one each for methane and oxygen.

Compensation for temperature and pressure is not required for the methane and oxygen sensors and the sensors are designed to operate within specified temperature and pressure conditions. Equipment calibration is automatic as specified by the equipment manufacturer. Calibration of the sensors is conducted on a regular basis to ensure the quality and validity of the data. Regular calibration of the equipment is especially important, as the accuracy of the methane and oxygen sensors is greatest within the expected calibration range of the gas stream to be measured. The equipment selected provides an accuracy of at least +/- 1 percent by volume. Gas compositions are measured approximately once per two minutes.

Emission reduction calculations

Guidelines and directives in order to standardize the data acquisition and handling processes are in place for calculating the generation of Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) for the project. The Site uses a Landtec™ data acquisition device [Field Analytical Unit – (FAU)], which measures parameters such as methane (CH₄) concentration, flare temperature, and landfill gas flow on a continuous-basis. The data is collected and stored on-site using a Field Server Unit (FSU), which also sends the data to a Landtec server in California (USA) for off-site storage and back-up. Through the EnviroComp Report Service (ECRS), the data is viewed and downloaded to a spreadsheet file for further analysis.

A series of procedures are in place to retrieve and store the data, and set up tables and reports for the verification events. Based on operational data and the applicable monitoring methodology, the emission reductions are calculated on a monthly basis and compiled in a monitoring report during a verification exercise.

Data collection and record keeping

The monitoring methodology requires the continuous measurement of the quantity and quality of the LFG being flared. A summary of all data collection and reporting requirements, as listed in the UNFCCC ACM0001 (version 4) monitoring methodology, and a summary of on-site monitoring responsibilities and frequencies are provided below.

SUMMARY OF SITE MONITORING RESPONSIBILITIES

Landfill Gas Development Project

Aurá Landfill

Belém, Pará, Brazil

<i>Project Activity</i>	<i>Equipment</i>	<i>Personnel</i>	<i>Responsibilities</i>	<i>Frequency</i>
Quantity of LFG Captured	Flow Meter	Site Operator	* Verify the flow meter and FSU are operating correctly and collecting gas flow rate data continuously	Daily
Methane Fraction in LFG	Gas Analyser	Site Operator	* Verify the FAU and FSU are operating correctly and collecting gas composition data continuously	Daily
Flare Efficiency	Flare Stack	Site Operator	* Arrange for qualified technician to perform stack testing	Annually

Flare Operation Time	Flare Stack	Site Operator	* Verify the FSU is recording the flare temperature on a continual basis * Follow operation and maintenance requirements as outlined in the Operation and Maintenance Report	Daily
Amount of Electricity Used	n/a	Site Operator	* Collect all Electricity bills and file on-Site and to office.	Monthly

In addition to the previous monitoring practices, the Project Participant keeps records of sustainable development parameters to monitor benefits the project activity is having in the area. Amongst these monitored parameters are:

- record keeping of job creation: includes number of employees hired and definition of responsibilities of employees working at the LFG collection system plant;
- income generation: includes incomes of all employees working at LFG collection system plant;
- tracking of odour complaints (dealt with by landfill operator): for this monitoring period there were no odour complaints during July to December 2016;
- landfill safety: subsurface migration of LFG is monitored on a monthly basis through monitoring rounds of the applied vacuum on the collection field. Negative pressure readings indicate the gas is being collected by the combustion system avoiding LFG migrating to the surroundings. Furthermore, Aura Landfill Gas Project has an Environment and Safety Plan for Capture and Flare;
- technology transfer and communication of results have been presented in papers submitted to conferences held in North America;

training records of personnel: meetings are performed on site for training and communication purposes, attendees include field, administrative and technical personnel from CRA.

SECTION D. Data and parameters

D.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

Data/parameter:	GWP _{CH4}
Unit	tCO ₂ e/tCH ₄
Description	Global warming Potential (GWP) value for methane
Source of data	Decisions under UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol As described in the CDM standard "Application of the global warming potentials to clean development mechanism project activities and programme of activities for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol", emission reductions of CDM projects occurring from 1 January 2013 onwards shall be determined using the GWP adopted at the CMP in Durban (IPCC Table 2.14 Errata, i.e. 25 for methane, 298 for N ₂ O, 14 800 for HFC 23 and 22 800 for SF ₆).
Value(s) applied)	25
Choice of data or measurement methods and procedures	As per guidance in ACM0001 ver. 04 (1996-IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories).
Purpose of data	Baseline emission calculations
Additional comments	-

Data/parameter:	D _{CH₄}
Unit	tCH ₄ /m ³ CH ₄
Description	Methane density
Source of data	ACM0001- Consolidated Baseline Methodology for Landfill Gas Project Activities - version 04
Value(s) applied)	0.0007168
Choice of data or measurement methods and procedures	As per guidance in ACM0001 ver. 04
Purpose of data	Baseline emission calculations
Additional comments	-

D.2. Data and parameters monitored

Data/parameter:	LFG _{total,y}
Unit	Nm ³
Description	Total amount of landfill gas captured and flared
Measured/calculated/default	Measured
Source of data	On-Line LFG flow meter (thermo mass)
Value(s) of monitored parameter	Multiple, continuously measured. Values submitted in excel tables with this report.
Monitoring equipment	Type: Thermal Instrument Co Model 62-9/9500 thermal flowmeter Serial # 2012383 Flowrate Readout Accuracy: ± 1 % Full Scale Calibration frequency: 18 months Date of last calibration: November 7, 2015 Validity: May 06, 2017
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Continuously
Calculation method (if applicable):	Not applicable
QA/QC procedures:	The flowmeter is recalibrated as per manufacturer's recommendation.
Purpose of data:	Baseline emission calculations
Additional comments:	-

Data/parameter:	FE
Unit	%
Description	Flare/combustion efficiency determined by the operation hours (1) and the methane content in the exhaust gas (2)
Measured/calculated/default	Measured/calculated
Source of data	(1) The temperature of the flare is continuously recorded within the Field Service Unit (FSU) (2) Flare stack samplings by certified laboratories: The flare efficiency of data is used for the period after the sample until a new one is done.

Value(s) of monitored parameter	<p>(1) Multiple, continuously measured</p> <p>(2)</p> <p>FE= 99.9878% since July 28, 2015 to July 15, 2016</p> <p>FE= 99.9861% since July 16, 2016 to date</p>
Monitoring equipment	<p>Thermocouple Type K. calibrated by PAKARI</p> <p>Identification Number: 1475 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: November 27, 2015 Validity: November 26, 2016</p> <p>Identification Number: 1476 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: November 27, 2015 Validity: November 26, 2016</p> <p>Identification Number: 1477 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: November 27, 2015 Validity: November 26, 2016</p> <p>Identification Number: 1478 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: November 27, 2015 Validity: November 26, 2016</p> <p>Identification Number: 2124 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: July 26, 2016 Validity: July 25, 2017</p> <p>Identification Number: 2125 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: July 26, 2016 Validity: July 25, 2017</p> <p>Identification Number: 2126 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: July 26, 2016 Validity: July 25, 2017</p> <p>Identification Number: 2127 Accuracy: +/- 2.2°C Date of last calibration: July 26, 2016 Validity: July 25, 2017</p> <p>Thermocouples #s 1475, 1476, 1477, 1478 were replaced by thermocouples #s 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127 on November 24, 2016;</p> <p>(2)</p> <p>EcoSampling Flare sampled on July 27, 2015 and July 15, 2016: FID chromatograph equipment CAI - California Analytical Instruments Model 600 HFID</p>
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Measuring frequency: (1) continuously every two minutes and (2) monitored annually
Calculation method (if applicable):	<p>(1) Operation hours according to temperature of the flare</p> <p>(2) EcoSampling tests already include the calculation on $FE = (1 - (\text{mass flow out of flare} / \text{mass flow into flare})) * 100$</p>
QA/QC procedures:	Regular maintenance to ensure optimal operation of controlled combustion environment.

Purpose of data:	Baseline emissions calculations
Additional comments:	Determined by (1) measurement of operation time of flare (with temperature); (2) measurement of methane content in the exhaust gas.

Data/parameter:	wCH _{4,y}
Unit	m ³ CH ₄ /m ³ LFG
Description	Methane fraction in the landfill gas
Measured/calculated/default	Measured
Source of data	Measured by continuous gas quality analyser
Value(s) of monitored parameter	Multiple, continuously measured. Values submitted in excel tables with this report.
Monitoring equipment	Landtec Field Analytical Unit (FAU), calibration automatically checked. Type: FAU Serial Number GA 13262 Calibration frequency: 12 months Accuracy: +/- 1% Date of last calibration: October 08, 2015 Validity: October 07, 2016 Type: FAU Serial Number 14046 Calibration frequency: 12 months Accuracy: +/- 1% Date of last calibration: August 30, 2016 Validity: August 29, 2017 FAU #13262 was replaced by #14046 on September 20, 2016
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Continuously
Calculation method (if applicable):	Not applicable
QA/QC procedures:	Calibration of equipment as per manufacturer specifications to ensure validity of data measured
Purpose of data:	Baseline emission calculations
Additional comments:	-

Data/parameter:	EL _{IMP}														
Unit	MWh														
Description	Total amount of electricity import to meet project requirements														
Measured/calculated/default	Measured														
Source of data	Electric meter – Centrais Elétricas do Pará- CELPA														
Value(s) of monitored parameter	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>July 2016</td><td>13.221 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>August 2016</td><td>12.190 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>September 2016</td><td>10.176 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>October 2016</td><td>11.233 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>November 2016</td><td>12.299 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>December 2016</td><td>11.115 MWh</td></tr> <tr> <td>July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016</td><td>70.234 MWh</td></tr> </table>	July 2016	13.221 MWh	August 2016	12.190 MWh	September 2016	10.176 MWh	October 2016	11.233 MWh	November 2016	12.299 MWh	December 2016	11.115 MWh	July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016	70.234 MWh
July 2016	13.221 MWh														
August 2016	12.190 MWh														
September 2016	10.176 MWh														
October 2016	11.233 MWh														
November 2016	12.299 MWh														
December 2016	11.115 MWh														
July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016	70.234 MWh														

Monitoring equipment	<p>Energy measurement meters. These meters are installed by the local electric provider Centrais Elétricas do Pará S.A. (CELPA), are sealed and tamper proof</p> <p>LANDIS+GYR Model: SAGA 1000-1681-B Accuracy: 0.5% Serial number: 362496 Conformity Inspection: February 23, 2015</p> <p>LANDIS+GYR Model: SAGA 1000-1681-B Accuracy: 0.5% Serial number: 362496 Conformity Inspection: February 22, 2016</p>
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Measuring frequency: monthly meter reading by the electricity distribution company (CELPA) representative and billing to the company
Calculation method (if applicable):	Not Applicable
QA/QC procedures:	The manufacturer specification doesn't ensure the equipment validation frequency. Centrais Elétricas do Pará S.A. (CELPA) make annual conformity inspection.
Purpose of data:	Project emission calculations
Additional comments:	-

Data/parameter:	EF _{grid}														
Unit	tCO ₂ e/MWh														
Description	CO ₂ emission intensity of electricity and/or other energy carriers in ID4														
Measured/calculated/default	Calculated														
Source of data	Most recent data for the build margin and operating margin (2015) found on the Brazilian Governments Ministry of Science and Technology website: http://www.mct.gov.br/index.php/content/view/361018.html#ancora														
Value(s) of monitored parameter	<div>For 2015</div> <table><tr><td>Operation Margin</td><td>0.5580</td><td>tCO₂e/MWh</td></tr><tr><td>Build Margin</td><td>0.2553</td><td>tCO₂e/MWh</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Calculation of Grid Emission Factor</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">Emission Factor = (OM*0.5) + (BM*0.5) = (0.5580*0.5) + (0.2553 *0.5) = 0.407 tCO₂e/MWh</td></tr></table>			Operation Margin	0.5580	tCO ₂ e/MWh	Build Margin	0.2553	tCO ₂ e/MWh	Calculation of Grid Emission Factor			Emission Factor = (OM*0.5) + (BM*0.5) = (0.5580*0.5) + (0.2553 *0.5) = 0.407 tCO ₂ e/MWh		
Operation Margin	0.5580	tCO ₂ e/MWh													
Build Margin	0.2553	tCO ₂ e/MWh													
Calculation of Grid Emission Factor															
Emission Factor = (OM*0.5) + (BM*0.5) = (0.5580*0.5) + (0.2553 *0.5) = 0.407 tCO ₂ e/MWh															
Monitoring equipment	Not applicable														
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Annually ex-post														
Calculation method (if applicable):	The build margin and operation margin are weighted as 50 percent and 50 percent respectively, in accordance with “Tool to Calculate the Emission Factor for an Electricity System”														
QA/QC procedures:	Not applicable														
Purpose of data:	Project emissions calculations														
Additional comments:	-														

Data/parameter:	AF
Unit	None
Description	Regulatory requirements relating to landfill gas projects

Measured/calculated/default	Test
Source of data	The information though recorded annually, is used for changes to the adjustment factor (AF) or directly MD _{reg,y} at renewal of the credit period
Value(s) of monitored parameter	Zero
Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
Measuring/reading/recording frequency:	Annually
Calculation method (if applicable):	Not applicable
QA/QC procedures:	Not applicable
Purpose of data:	Baseline emission calculations
Additional comments:	-

D.3. Implementation of sampling plan

>>

Not Applicable.

SECTION E. Calculation of emission reductions or GHG removals by sinks

E.1. Calculation of baseline emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks

>>

The approved baseline methodology applied to this project is the approved ACM0001 ver. 4 (dated 28 July 2006) – Consolidated Baseline Methodology for Landfill Gas Project Activities.

All greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions generated by the implementation of the project activity are considered fully additional based on the lack of previous LFG management activities and the current environmental regulations in Brazil. There are no existing or pending regulatory requirements requiring the Site to implement any form of LFG emission reductions program. There was no LFG recovery and combustion system in place at the Site prior to the project implementation. Therefore, the project baseline is the uncontrolled release of LFG to the atmosphere.

Version 04 of ACM0001 methodology does not separate baseline emissions from project emissions. It computes directly the emission reductions. In order to keep consistency with the methodology, in this monitoring report document, the same is done.

The following formulae were used to estimate emission reductions for the project activity:

$$ER_y = (MD_{project,y} - MD_{reg,y}) * GWP_{CH_4} + EL_y * CEF_{electricity,y} - ET * CEF_{thermal,y}$$

Where:

- ER_y are the emission reductions, measured in tCO₂e
- $MD_{project,y}$ is the amount of methane actually destroyed/combusted during the time period t, measured in tCH₄

- $MD_{reg,y}$ is the amount of methane that would have been destroyed/combusted during the time period t in the absence of the project activity, measured in tCH_4
- GWP_{CH_4} is the approved Global Warming Potential value for methane, 25 tCO_2e/tCH_4
- EL_y is net quantity of electricity displaced during a given period t , measured in MWh
- $CEF_{electricity,y}$ is the CO_2 emissions intensity of the electricity displaced, measured in tCO_2e/MWh
- ET is the quantity of thermal energy displaced, measured in TeraJoules (TJ)
- $CEF_{thermal,y}$ is the CO_2 emissions intensity of the thermal energy displaced, measured in tCO_2e/TJ

It is noted that while the terms for electricity and thermal energy have been included to be consistent with the overall formulation stated in ACM0001 ver.4, energy displacement is not a component of the proposed project activity. As a result, the above equation reduces to the following form for the project activity:

$$ER_y = (MD_{project,y} - MD_{reg,y}) * GWP_{CH_4}$$

$$\text{And } ER_y = MD_{project,y} * GWP_{CH_4}$$

The methane destroyed by the project activity during a given time period t can be determined by the following: monitoring the quantity of methane actually flared and LFG used to generate electricity and to produce thermal energy, and is given by:

$$MD_{project} = MD_{flared} + MD_{electricity} + MD_{thermal}$$

For the proposed project activity, $MD_{electricity} = MD_{thermal} = 0$, as there is no energy displacement component of the project. As a result, the total actual quantity of methane captured and destroyed will be metered ex post once the project activity is operational, and:

$$MD_{project} = MD_{flared}$$

and,

$$MD_{flared,y} = LFG_{flare,y} * wCH_{4,y} * DCH_4 * FE$$

Where:

- $MD_{flared,y}$ is the quantity of methane destroyed by flaring in a given time period t , measured in tCH_4
- LFG_{flare} is the quantity of landfill gas flared during the time period t , measured in cubic meters (m^3)
- wCH_4 is the average methane fraction of the landfill gas as measured during the given time period t and expressed as a fraction of CH_4 volume per LFG volume ($m^3 CH_4/m^3$ of LFG)
- FE is the flare efficiency (the fraction of the methane destroyed, in percent)

- DCH_4 is the methane density, expressed in tonnes of methane per cubic meter of methane (tCH_4/m^3CH_4), and measured at STP (0 degree Celsius and 1.013 bar), which is $0.0007168 tCH_4/m^3CH_4$ (as per consolidated methodology ACM0001 Ver. 4)

As a result, the formula to estimate emission reductions for the project activity is the following:

$$ER_y = (LFG_{flare,y} * wCH_{4,y} * DCH_4 * FE) * GWP_{CH_4}$$

2016	LFG _{flare,y}	wCH _{4,y}	DCH ₄	FE	GWPCH ₄
July	3,818,199.77	0.434	0.0007168	0.999861	25
August	3,903,835.13	0.379	0.0007168	0.999861	25
September	4,182,942.13	0.389	0.0007168	0.999861	25
October	3,371,175.61	0.344	0.0007168	0.999861	25
November	2,667,174.27	0.360	0.0007168	0.999861	25
December	1,512,683.17	0.293	0.0007168	0.999861	25

E.2. Calculation of project emissions or actual net GHG removals by sinks

>>

Methodology ACM0001 ver. 4 clearly states that the CO₂ emission intensity of the electricity consumed by the project activity must be taken into account. In the project activity, electrical consumption is associated with the blower system used to draw landfill gas to the enclosed drum flare.

According ACM001 ver.4 "Possible CO₂ emissions resulting from combustion of other fuels than the methane recovered should be accounted as project emissions. Such emissions may include fuel combustion due to pumping and collection of landfill gas or fuel combustion for transport of generated heat to the consumer locations. In addition, electricity required for the operation of the project activity, including transport of heat, should be accounted and monitored. Where the project activity does not involve electricity generation, project participants should account for CO₂ emissions by multiplying the quantity of electricity required with the CO₂ emissions intensity of the electricity displaced (CE_{Electricity,y})".

$$PE_y = EL_{import,y} * EF_{grid,y}$$

$$PE_y = 70.234 \text{ MWh} * 0.407 \text{ t CO}_2\text{e/MWh}$$

$$PE_y = 28.6 \text{ t CO}_2\text{e}$$

An estimate of the grid emission factor for Brazil is calculated based on the calculation for the combined margin emission factor for the Brazilian interconnected grid, weighting the build margin and operating margin 50 percent and 50 percent respectively in accordance with the Tool to Calculate the Emission Factor for an Electricity System. The most recent data for the build margin

and operating margin is for 2015 and is found on the Brazilian Governments Ministry of Science and Technology website:

(<http://www.mct.gov.br/index.php/content/view/361018.html#ancora>).

TOTAL EMISSIONS RESULTING FROM ELECTRICAL CONSUMPTION
AURÁ LANDFILL GAS PROJECT
AURÁ LANDFILL
BELEM, PARÁ, BRAZIL

<i>Period</i>	<i>Quantity of Electricity Imported (MWh) ELimp</i>	<i>CO₂ Emission Intensity (tCO₂e/MWh) EFgrid</i>	<i>CO₂ Emissions Produced (tCO₂e) PE</i>
July 2016	13.221	0.407	5.4
August 2016	12.190	0.407	5.0
September 2016	10.176	0.407	4.1
October 2016	11.233	0.407	4.6
November 2016	12.299	0.407	5.0
December 2016	11.115	0.407	4.5
Total	70.234	0.407	28.6

E.3. Calculation of leakage

>>

No leakage effects need to be accounted under methodology ACM0001 ver. 4 (LE=0).

E.4. Summary of calculation of emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks

The following formulae were used to estimate emission reductions for the project activity:

$$ER_y = (MD_{project,y} - MD_{reg,y}) * GWP_{CH4} + EL_y * CEF_{electricity,y} - ET * CEF_{thermal,y}$$

Where:

- ER_y are the emission reductions, measured in tCO₂e
- $MD_{project,y}$ is the amount of methane actually destroyed/combusted during the time period t, measured in tCH₄
- $MD_{reg,y}$ is the amount of methane that would have been destroyed/combusted during the time period t in the absence of the project activity, measured in tCH₄
- GWP_{CH4} is the approved Global Warming Potential value for methane, 25 tCO₂e/tCH₄
- EL_y is net quantity of electricity displaced during a given period t, measured in MWh
- $CEF_{electricity,y}$ is the CO₂ emissions intensity of the electricity displaced, measured in tCO₂e/MWh
- ET is the quantity of thermal energy displaced, measured in TeraJoules (TJ)
- $CEF_{thermal,y}$ is the CO₂ emissions intensity of the thermal energy displaced, measured in tCO₂e/TJ

It is noted that while the terms for electricity and thermal energy have been included to be consistent with the overall formulation stated in ACM0001 ver.4, energy displacement is not a component of the proposed project activity. As a result, the above equation reduces to the following form for the project activity:

$$ER_y = (MD_{project,y} - MD_{reg,y}) * GWP_{CH4}$$

Considering that there is no regulatory or contractual requirement determining MD_{reg} , an adjustment factor (AF) is used:

$$MD_{reg} = MD_{project} * AF$$

Based on the project context, an "Adjustment Factor" of 0 percent is used for the project.

$$MD_{reg,y} = MD_{project,y} * AF$$

$$MD_{reg,y} = MD_{project,y} * 0$$

$$\text{And } ER_y = MD_{project,y} * GWP_{CH4}$$

The methane destroyed by the project activity during a given time period t can be determined by the following: monitoring the quantity of methane actually flared and LFG used to generate electricity and to produce thermal energy, and is given by:

$$MD_{\text{project}} = MD_{\text{flared}} + MD_{\text{electricity}} + MD_{\text{thermal}}$$

For the proposed project activity, $MD_{\text{electricity}} = MD_{\text{thermal}} = 0$, as there is no energy displacement component of the project. As a result, the total actual quantity of methane captured and destroyed will be metered ex post once the project activity is operational, and:

$$MD_{\text{project}} = MD_{\text{flared}}$$

and,

$$MD_{\text{flared},y} = LFG_{\text{flare},y} * wCH_4,y * DCH_4 * FE$$

Where:

- $MD_{\text{flared},y}$ is the quantity of methane destroyed by flaring in a given time period t, measured in tCH₄
- LFG_{flare} is the quantity of landfill gas flared during the time period t, measured in cubic meters (m³)
- wCH_4 is the average methane fraction of the landfill gas as measured during the given time period t and expressed as a fraction of CH₄ volume per LFG volume (m³ CH₄/m³ of LFG)
- FE is the flare efficiency (the fraction of the methane destroyed, in percent)
- DCH_4 is the methane density, expressed in tonnes of methane per cubic meter of methane (tCH₄/m³CH₄), and measured at STP (0 degree Celsius and 1.013 bar), which is 0.0007168 tCH₄/m³CH₄ (as per consolidated methodology ACM0001 Ver. 4)

As a result, the formula to estimate emission reductions for the project activity is the following:

$$ER_y = (LFG_{\text{flare},y} * wCH_4,y * DCH_4 * FE) * GWP_{CH_4}$$

The following table summarizes the baseline emissions of the project activity. Electronic spread sheets are attached to present full calculations of the monitoring report.

CERTIFIED EMISSION REDUCTIONS SUMMARY

Monitoring Period	CO₂ Equivalent Reduced (tCO₂e) BEy	CO₂ Emissions Produced (tCO₂e) PEy	Emissions reductions (tCO₂e/month) ERy
July 2016	30,026	5.4	30,020
August 2016	26,960	5.0	26,955
September 2016	29,211	4.1	29,206
October 2016	21,032	4.6	21,027

<i>November 2016</i>	20,041	5.0	20,035
<i>December 2016</i>	8,135	4.5	8,130
Total	135,405	28.6	135,373

Item	Baseline emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks (t CO ₂ e)	Project emissions or actual net GHG removals by sinks (t CO ₂ e)	Leakage (t CO ₂ e)	GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks (t CO ₂ e) achieved in the monitoring period		
				Up to 31/12/2012	From 01/01/2013	Total amount
Total	135,405	28.6	-	-	135,373	135,373

E.5. Comparison of actual emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks with estimates in registered PDD

As recorded in the PDD, it was estimated that for Aurá Landfill Gas Project 282,519 t CO₂e would be claimed throughout the full 2016 reporting year. Calculated on a pro-rata basis of 184 days of monitoring in the year 2016 (between July 01, 2016 to December 31, 2016) the amount is 142,420 tCO₂e.

Item	Values estimated in ex ante calculation of registered PDD	Actual values achieved during this monitoring period
Emission reductions or GHG removals by sinks (t CO ₂ e)	142,420 tCO ₂ e	135,373 tCO ₂ e

E.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

>>

The actual quantity of CERs achieved in this Project is lower 5% than the quantity of CERs estimated in the PDD.

Appendix 1. Contact information of project participants and responsible persons/entities

Project participant and/or responsible person/ entity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project participant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Person/entity responsible for completing the CDM-MR-FORM
Organization name	Conestoga-Rovers & Associados Engenharia S/A
Street/P.O. Box	Av. Adolfo Pinheiro, 1000
Building	10 andar, conj. 101
City	São Paulo
State/region	São Paulo
Postcode	04734-002
Country	Brazil
Telephone	+55 11 32139768
Fax	-
E-mail	fpileggi@craengenharia.com.br
Website	www.craengenharia.com.br
Contact person	Flavia Pileggi
Title	Environmental Analyst
Salutation	Ms
Last name	Pileggi
Middle name	Gonzaga
First name	Flavia
Department	Aterros e Biogás
Mobile	+55 11 999564111
Direct fax	
Direct tel.	+55 11 32139768
Personal e-mail	fpileggi@craengenharia.com.br

Project participant and/or responsible person/ entity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project participant <input type="checkbox"/> Person/entity responsible for completing the CDM-MR-FORM
Organization name	Nordic Environment Finance Corporation
Street/P.O. Box	Fabianinkatu 34/P.O.Box 241
Building	
City	Helsinki
State/region	Uusimaa
Postcode	00171
Country	Finland
Telephone	+358 10 6180664
Fax	+358 10 6180651
E-mail	helle.lindegaard@nefco.fi
Website	www.nefco.org/
Contact person	Helle Lindegaard
Title	Senior Legal Advisor
Salutation	Ms
Last name	Lindegaard
Middle name	
First name	Helle
Department	Norwegian Carbon Procurement Facility
Mobile	
Direct fax	+358 10 6180651
Direct tel.	+358 10 6180664
Personal e-mail	helle.lindegaard@nefco.fi

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
05.1	4 May 2015	Editorial revision to correct version numbering.
05.0	1 April 2015	Revisions to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include provisions related to delayed submission of a monitoring plan; • Provisions related to the Host Party; • Remove reference to programme of activities; • Overall editorial improvement.
04.0	25 June 2014	Revisions to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the Attachment: Instructions for filling out the monitoring report form (these instructions supersede the "Guideline: Completing the monitoring report form" (Version 04.0)); • Include provisions related to standardized baselines; • Add contact information on a responsible person(s)/ entity(ies) for completing the CDM-MR-FORM in A.6 and Appendix 1; • Change the reference number from <i>F-CDM-MR</i> to <i>CDM-MR-FORM</i>; • Editorial improvement.
03.2	5 November 2013	Editorial revision to correct table in page 1.
03.1	2 January 2013	Editorial revision to correct table in section E.5.
03.0	3 December 2012	Revision required to introduce a provision on reporting actual emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks for the period up to 31 December 2012 and the period from 1 January 2013 onwards (EB70, Annex 11).
02.0	13 March 2012	Revision required to ensure consistency with the "Guidelines for completing the monitoring report form" (EB 66, Annex 20).
01	28 May 2010	EB 54, Annex 34. Initial adoption.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Issuance Keywords: monitoring report		