



**CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM
PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM (CDM-PDD)
Version 03 - in effect as of: 28 July 2006**

CONTENTS

- A. General description of project activity
- B. Application of a baseline and monitoring methodology
- C. Duration of the project activity / crediting period
- D. Environmental impacts
- E. Stakeholders' comments

Annexes

- Annex 1: Contact information on participants in the project activity
- Annex 2: Information regarding public funding
- Annex 3: Baseline information
- Annex 4: Monitoring plan

**SECTION A. General description of project activity****A.1 Title of the project activity:**

>>

Title of project activity : SHYAM DRI WHR CPP

CDM document version No : 2

Date of the CDM document : 19/02/2008

A.2. Description of the project activity:

>>

Purpose of project activity

The purpose of the proposed project activity is to generate electricity by generating steam using waste heat contained in the waste flue gases released from 2 numbers of ABC (After Burning Chamber) from two numbers of DRI (Direct Reduced Iron) sponge iron kiln having 350 TPD X 2 Nos. The heat contained in waste gases will be transferred to water which converts water in to steam in two numbers of WHRBs (Waste Heat Recovery Boilers 38tph each) producing aggregate 76 tph steam at 66 kg/cm² pressure and 490±5⁰C temperature to generate total 15 MW electricity from Waste Heat.

The purpose of the project activity is to achieve better energy efficiency, achieve sustainable development in the industry and improve the working environment of Sponge Iron-making process. The power so generated shall mainly be used to meet the captive power requirement of Shyam DRI Plant itself.

The net result is reduction in the demand of electricity from coal based captive power generation and resultant reduction in GHG emission

Background of the company

SHYAM DRI is putting up integrated steel complex to produce Sponge Iron, Steel billets, Rolled Products, Ferro Alloys, Coal Washery along with captive power plant. The company is implementing the project in 2 phases. In phase -1, the company has installed 2 No. DRI sponge iron rotary kiln with 350 TPD capacity using Coal as fuel, Induction Furnace, Ferro Alloys and Rolling Mill, Coal Washery along with aggregate 25 MW captive power plant out of which 15 MW power will be generated from WHRB route (which is the part of the present project activity) and balance 10 MW power will be generated from coal fired AFBC route,. In second phase company will be installing additional 3 DRI Kiln having capacity of 100 TPD each with Preheating Kiln along with 30 MW x 2 Nos. (total 60 MW) power plant out of which 8 MW power will be generated from WHRB route (based on the CDM strength) and balance power will be generated from coal fired AFBC route.

Thus the company is presently implementing the 15 MW WHRB power plant as CDM Project activity and will be following CDM registration procedures for this capacity.

The generated power will firstly meet its present and future requirement of captive power. The balance back up or standby support power required to meet the fluctuating power generation from WHRB, would



be drawn from WESCO which is the local grid and which is part of Eastern Regional Grid. In case of Surplus the unit will wheel the surplus power to the Grid.

The main carbon benefit from the facility of the project arises from the replacement / displacement of an equivalent amount of electricity to the extent of 15 MW electricity generated from steam which is produced from waste heat recovered from waste gases in two WHRBs, which would have been otherwise generated by increasing the capacity of the Boiler of the Coal based captive power plant.

The total CO₂ emission reduction for the entire crediting period of 10 years has been calculated as 943030.00 tonne CO₂- equivalent. The other benefits being reduction of CO₂ emissions considering global scenario, Sustainable development through better energy efficiency and it also leads to the improvement of local environment.

SHYAM DRI will have proper monitoring system to calculate the power generated out of the CPP and accurately record the reduction in CO₂ emissions. SHYAM DRI will follow monitoring plan to achieve complete transparency in monitoring, recording and calculating reduction in CO₂ emissions.

The Project activity achieves the following goals.

- Utilisation of heat energy of waste gas.
- Meet the power requirement without any significant T & D losses.
- Helps to become self reliant and less dependant on grid supply of electricity.
- Upgraded technology to achieve sustainable Industrial growth in State.
- Conserve natural resources and environment.
- Promotes the sustainable development.

The project activity will lead to sustainable development and promote sustainable Industrial growth by conserving natural resources and preventing the thermal impact even though no such statutory requirement exists.

Social benefit to state

The project activity increases the direct employment within the company for skilled manpower and Professionals as well as indirect employment opportunities out side the company, due to the project activity

Economical Benefits to State

The state will generate revenue out of the manufacturing activities supported by the captive power generation and due to purchase of equipment for execution of project by way of Sales Tax; Excise Duty; Entry Tax etc.

Environmental Benefit

The Project activity uses waste heat recovery based Power Plant by utilizing waste heat from flue gases coming from process and thus effectively saving environment of thermal pollution. The project activity displaces power from fossil fuel based Captive power of the company and hence reduces CO₂ emission

Reduction of T & D Losses of Power



The Power generated by the project activity will be used for in house requirement and consumption without any significant T&D losses. This is significant as grid has more than 32% losses in its T&D.

Reduction in SPM level in the Atmosphere and other additional Economic benefits

The proposed ESP shall remove the ash from Flue Gases which will be collected in ash hopper. This ash will be given free of cost to cement plants & brick manufactures for further Economic benefit and use. The ash used for production of bricks saves the valuable productive soil, also it reduces the air pollution caused by the conventional brick kilns due to the coal burning.

A.3. Project participants:

>>

Name of the Party Involved (host) host party-	Private and/or Public entity (ies) Project Participant as applicable	Kindly indicate if the party involved wishes to be Considered as project participant (Yes/ No)
India (host) Ministry of Environment and Forest	SHYAM DRI Power Ltd.- Private entity	No

A.4. Technical description of the project activity:

A.4.1. Location of the project activity:

>>

A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):

>>

India

A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:

>>

Orissa, India

A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc:

>>


Village:Pandloi & Nishanbanga P.O. Lapanga/Rengali, Sambalpur District, Orrisa State

A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of this project activity (maximum one page):

>>

Physical Location:

The company is located at Village:Pandloi & Nishanbanga P.O. Lapanga/Rengali, Sambalpur District, Orrisa State at Plot No. & Chaka No. 981/1293, 949/1295, 1231/1349, 986, 1001/1382 & 1231/1383 and Khatiar Sl.No.116/192 of PS-Karabaga, about 35 KM from Sambalpur Railway Station on State Highway No.10. Longitude= E 84° 2'35" Latitude=N 21° 40'50"



A.4.2. Category(ies) of project activity:

>>

The project activity is an electric power generation project utilising waste heat where aggregate electricity generation savings is equivalent to 83160 MWh per year. The project activity may be principally categorised in category –1 Energy Industries (Renewable /non renewable) as per Scope of Projects activities enlisted in the “list of sectoral scopes and approved base line and monitoring “methodologies” on the website for accreditation of “Designated operational Entities”.

The CDM PDD is based on approved methodology ACM0004 version 02 and sectoral scope; 01 03 March 2006 “Consolidated Baseline methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation”

**A.4.3. Technology to be employed by the project activity:**

>>

WHRB based captive Power Plant at SHYAM DRI is proposed to utilise the heat content of flue gases coming out of ABC of sponge iron kiln.

The Exhausted flue gases from rotary kiln shall be received at ABC for further incineration where the waste gas temperature likely to reach upto 950-1000⁰C after ABC. No auxiliary fuel is fired in ABC. The generated quantity and the temperature of flue gases are influenced by a number of operating parameters of the sponge iron plant. At the best operating levels this waste heat shall produce producing aggregate 76 tph steam is generated at 66 kg/cm² pressure and 490⁰C temperature. The WHRBs will be of single drum water tube with radiant chamber, along with convective super heater, radiant super heater, economiser, de-super heater and hoppers for ash Collection as ash comes with flue gases.

The outlet boxes of the WHRB, leads to ESP to remove SPM from exhaust gases. The exhaust gas temperature shall be kept at 170⁰C. The feed water temperature will be maintained at the inlet to economiser 105⁰C.

The high pressure steam from WHRB (76 tonnes/hr) will be used to operate high efficiency extraction cum condensing multi stage STGs to generate 15 MW Electricity from WHRB.

Ash collected from both WHRB hoppers & ESP will be conveyed pneumatically to ash silo.

Other systems required are circulating water, Demineralised water plant, Instrument Air Compressor and Exhaust Steam Condenser.

Steam from exhaust of STG rotor will be condensed in air cooled condenser.

Only DM (De Mineralised) water will be used in boiler to avoid scale formation on boiler tubes.

Total Waste water is recycled and reused after treatment.

The generated power shall be used to meet the captive power requirement of the company.

The technology is environmentally safe and abides all legal norms and standards for SPM, emissions.

Maximum, 350 operating days in a year have been considered for the project activity for arriving at the financial parameters. No supplementary fuel is used in WHRB.

A.4.4 Estimated amount of emission reductions over the chosen crediting period:

>>

Years	Annual estimation of emission reductions in tonnes of CO ₂ eq
-------	--



2008-09	94303.00
2009-10	94303.00
2010-11	94303.00
2011-12	94303.00
2012-13	94303.00
2013-14	94303.00
2014-15	94303.00
2015-16	94303.00
2016-17	94303.00
2017-18	94303.00
Total for credit period (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	943030.00
Total number of crediting years	10
Annual average over the crediting period of estimated reduction (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	94303.00

A.15. Public funding of the project activity:

>>

No public funding from parties included in Annex-I is available for the project activity.

**SECTION B. Application of a baseline and monitoring methodology****B.1. Title and reference of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology applied to the project activity:**

>>

Consolidated baseline methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation.ACM0004/ Version 02, Sectoral scope : 01, 3rd March 2006 and ACM0002 Sectoral scope : 01 of EB-36.

B.2 Justification of the choice of the methodology and why it is applicable to the project activity:

>>

The methodology applies to electricity generation project activities;

- that displaces electricity generation with fossil fuels in the electricity grid or displace captive electricity generation from fossil fuels,
- Where no fuel switch is done in the process where the waste heat or pressure or the waste gas is produced after the implementation of project activity.

The methodology covers both new and existing facilities.

The project activity meets the applicability as it meets the above conditions set out in approved methodology

1. WHRB produces steam by recovering waste heat from flue gases coming out of DRI sponge iron kiln and this steam is used to generate electricity in STG.
2. The Power will be used in house.
3. In the absence of the Project activity, the electricity requirement would have been met by coal based captive power generation. The CO₂ emission reduction will be achieved by reduction of corresponding CO₂ emissions in fossil fuel based captive power plants of the company.
4. There will be no fuel switch in sponge iron manufacturing process after the implementation of the project activity.
5. The base line calculations for CO₂ emission reduction are in line with approved methodology and are calculated using IPCC values and the performance data provided by the manufacturer for the TG set and the Boiler.
6. The project activity also reduces the thermal impact on the environment of the local area by recovering waste heat from flue gases.
7. By successful operation of project activity, the project activity will be able to displace/ substitute equivalent to 15 MW power from Coal based captive power plant of the company, with an average emission reduction of 94303.00 tCO₂/annum
8. The project activity adds no additional GHG emission.

Hence it is concluded that the selected methodology meets the conditions set out in approved methodology


**B.3. Description of the sources and gases included in the project boundary**

>>

In line with methodology, the project activity is for the recovery of waste heat from flue gases for generation of steam for the electricity to be generated from CPP.

In the base line scenario, the electricity would have other wise been generated by fossil fuel based captive power plants of the company.

In line with methodology the project boundary comprises of the WHRBs, STGs, Auxiliary equipment, Power synchronising system, steam flow piping, flue gas ducts, where project participant has full Control.

Project Boundary**Overview on emission sources included in or excluded from the project boundary**

	Source	Gas		Justification / Explanation
Baseline	Coal Based Captive Electricity generation	CO ₂	Included	Main emission source
		CH ₄	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative.
		N ₂ O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative.
Project Activity	Heat of waste gas for electricity generation	CO ₂	Excluded	In absence of the Project Activity the hot gases would have been let to the atmosphere. As well as no extra fuel or support fossil fuel is fired.
		CH ₄	Excluded	Excluded for simplification
		N ₂ O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification

**B.4. Description of how the baseline scenario is identified and description of the identified baseline scenario:**

>>

We explain below the basic assumptions of the base line methodology.

1. Project activity displaces the electricity generation by coal burning in a Coal based captive power plant.
2. Project activity is based on waste heat utilisation to generate 15 MW electricity. This will be primarily used for captive purposes.
3. There is no provision to use any other fuel in the Project activity other than waste heat from flue gases coming from ABC of Rotary kilns.
4. There will be no fuel switch in rotary kiln from where the waste gases are produced and, further used in project. There will be no fuel switch in WHRBs power generation also.
5. In the absence of Project activity, the electricity requirement of 15 MW could be met by increasing the capacity of coal based boiler.
6. There is no legal binding that the waste heat is to be recovered.
7. The Project activity is being implemented to reduce the Coal based captive Power generation.
8. Project activity effectively uses the waste heat to generate 15 MW Power which would have otherwise been generated in the coal based captive power plant by combustion of fossil fuels.

The approved methodology applies to electricity generation project activities;

- that displace electricity generation with fossil fuels in the electricity grid or displace captive electricity generation from fossil fuels;
- Where no fuel switch is done in the process where the waste heat or pressure or waste gas is produced after the implementation of the project activity.

The baseline scenario “The electricity would have other wise been generated by installing a new coal based boiler or by increasing the capacity of existing coal based captive power plant. Hence the project activity displaces the captive power generation from fossil fuels. There is no fuel switch in rotary kiln where the hot waste gas is produced after the implementation of project activity”

Hence by installing the WHRB based CPP of 15 MW, the project activity displaces the CO₂ emissions by reducing the demand from coal based captive power plant.

Refer B.4 for explanation of how baseline methodology is applied to project activity.

Description of the project activity scenario

We have described project activity in Section A & B and give below summary of project activity.

1. The project activity includes waste heat recovery from waste flue gases generated from SHYAM DRI's sponge Iron kilns through WHRBs by producing steam. The steam will be utilised in turbine to generate 15 MW power.
2. In the absence of the Project activity, the Company would have generated equivalent of 15 MW power by installing a coal based boiler. Hence the power plant displaces 15 MW captive Coal power.



3. There will be no fuel switch in sponge iron manufacturing process after the implementation of the Project activity.

Analysis showing why the emissions in the base line scenario likely to exceed the project activity scenario

1. Project activity has no additional GHG emissions other than the normal running of the plant.
2. Project activity produces 15 MW power without adding any GHG emissions.

The absence of Project activity requires the production of additional 15 MW power by the Coal based captive power plant, which result in emissions of 94303.00 tCO₂e./ annum.

As highlighted in baseline methodology we consider the following potential alternatives

1. Proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity
2. Import of electricity from grid
3. New CPP based on Diesel oil as alternative fuels
4. New CPP based on Gas alternative fuels
5. New CPP based on Coal as other alternative fuels
6. A combination of 2,3,4 and 5
7. Alternative use of waste heat from flue gases
8. The continuation of the current situation

Detailed explanations of each alternative are given below:

1. Proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity

In absence of the CDM benefit the proposed project activity possibly could not be implemented due to number of barriers such as Technology barrier, Investment Barrier, Financial barriers etc. as explained in B.3.

In absence of the proposed project activity the unit would met the complete electricity requirement by generating power from Coal based captive power plant and emit the waste heat into atmosphere.

2. Import of electricity from grid

This will meet all legal and statutory obligations of Country. As the power from WESCO grid is mainly fossil fuel based the reduction of CO₂ emissions is not achieved in this situation. The electricity purchase rate is high compared to Coal based captive power generation cost and company has to face power tripping due to poor grid infrastructure resulting in production loss. Hence, SHYAM DRI is putting up 25 MW captive power generating capacity to avoid production losses due to power interruptions.

3. New CPP based Diesel oil as alternative fuels

A CPP based on diesel oil/ furnace oil can be installed. The Diesel or any Petroleum Fuel based Power Plants are not feasible because of highly fluctuating rates and higher cost of generation, than the coal based CPP power cost and even grid power cost. Also this option will add GHG gas emissions to the existing scenario. It also involves high capital cost and high cost of operation.

4 New CPP based Gas as alternative fuels



Natural gas is not available in this area and hence ruled out as possible fuel.

5 New CPP based on Coal as alternative fuels

Coal is abundantly available fuel as the state lies within the coal belt. In addition company will be generating Washrey rejects and char/dolachar which can be used and char / dolachar can be sourced from other sponge iron manufacturers at no cost. The generating cost of power from, coal, char dolochar, Washrey rejects based CPP will be lower and generation will be regular and achieves +95% PLF.

There is no legal compulsion for Sponge Iron Plant to set up the captive power generation or to setup a waste heat recovery system. In addition to this there is also no restriction to generate own power through a CPP based on 100 % Coal or based on coal mixed with Char/ Dolochar and Washrey rejects. Hence Coal, Char/Dolachar based captive power plant is economically most attractive.

6 A combination of 2&3/4/ 5

The combination faces the same difficulties as in 2, 3, 4 or 5. Hence the combination is not feasible.

7. Alternative use of waste heat from flue gases

The waste heat is not useful in any form of use in the existing plant as SHYAM DRI does not have any heating requirements in the process of manufacture of sponge iron where thermic oil or hot water or Hot Steam can be used. Generally the heat contained in the gases are let out into atmosphere leading to thermal emission. So far other use for waste gases and waste heat has not been developed in sponge iron manufacturing.

8 The continuation of current situation

Currently the SHYAM DRI is drawing power from WESCO grid. It can continue to do the same. However the company does not want to depend only on grid power as grid has frequent tripping of power which result in production losses.

Other alternatives common to similar units:

1. Most of the units operating induction furnaces independently have opted for grid power. But due to frequent power cuts and high tariff of power, they are facing problem.
2. A few units also have put up coal based captive power generation while only a few have put WHRB based CPP due to the CDM strength.

In view of the above, the only flexible alternative to SHYAM DRI is to select a coal based captive power plant which can run on Char/ Dolochar / Washery Rejects. Since WHRB based power is not consistent, Plant can not run only on WHRB power alone. Annualised generation cost of WHRB power is found to be higher than coal based CPP power due to poor PLF and other indirect costs.

Economical Analysis of alternatives

Alternative	Capital Cost	Cost Comparison	Comment
1.Project activity not as CDM activity but installed just as WHRB based power plant	Rs 45.00 million/MW	Annualized cost of power from WHRB without CDM support is found higher i.e 1242.73	Various technology, investment & financial barriers will hinder the implementation of the



		Rs./MWh than AFBC boiler based cost of power ie. 1143.45 Rs./MWh. (refer to B.5., Step-2 Investment Analysis, comparative cost of power)	project. Also annualized cost of power will become higher due to poor plant load factor and uncertain fluctuation. Hence can not be implemented.
2. Import from grid	Will require payment for line laying cost + deposit as security for 15 MW supply	Power procurement cost is higher (including the weighted average of Demand Charge) than coal, char/dolochar, Washrey reject based captive power.	SHYAM DRI will face power tripping due to poor transmission system in grid power. This option faces no barrier.
3 Alternative fuel HSD	Rs.30.00 Million/MW	Above Rs 8/- unit. ref TISCO diesel power cost	HSD prices escalate regularly and generating cost high. Economically not attractive
4 Alternative fuel GAS	Rs.30.00 million/MW	Not applicable	Not an option as GAS is not available in Orissa state
5 Alternative fuel Coal+ Char/ Dolachar, Washery reject	Rs.35.00 million/MW	Cost of power consumed from captive generation will be lowest because of better PLF and availability of Coal, Char/ Dolochar / Washery reject.	SHYAM DRI could have put up additional 15 MW coal, char dolochar, Washrey rejects based CPP instead of WHRB with lower capital cost, lower generation cost and higher PLF. Company has put up 10 MW coal based AFBC along with 15 MW WHRB based CPP. Coal, char dolochar, washery rejects based CPP is economically most attractive.
6. Combination of grid and coal power	Will require payment for line laying cost + deposit as security for 10 MW supply & Rs.35.00 million/MW for coal based CPP Power.	SHYAM DRI will be paying demand charges for the contract demand even if it is not required to draw power as well as incur the power generation cost in captive power plant hence the ultimate cost	SHYAM DRI will be paying much higher cost compared to the coal based captive generation cost. SHYAM DRI will also bear power cuts and resultant production loss. This option faces no barrier



		of power will be higher.	
7.Alternative use of waste heat	Not applicable	Not applicable	SHYAM DRI has no alternative use for heat energy.
8.Continuation of current situation	Same as alternative 2	Same as alternative 2	This is same situation as Alternative 2.this option faces no barrier

The analysis of the above 8 alternatives shows that the best options available to SHYAM DRI is to go for alternative-5 i.e. Coal, Char / Dolochar, Washrey rejects based power plant .The methodology requires SHYAM DRI to select the baseline which is economically most attractive and faces no barrier. Normal choice for baseline is coal based CPP as per methodology.

The power is being used by the company for its sponge iron, steel production and other facilities. The company is installing 25 MW CPP, comprising of 10MW coal, char dolochar, Washrey rejects based CPP along with 15 MW WHRB. We selected coal based CPP captive power plant generated electricity as baseline.

The project activity displaces CO₂ emissions from the fossil fuel based captive power plant and hence achieves reduction of CO₂ emissions. We select Coal based captive electricity generation as base line to calculate the base line emissions. In line with methodology emission factor will be calculated as in ACM 0002.

Summarization of National Policy on the Environment and Energy Conservation:

(A) National Policy

In India the environment issues are regulated by a number of Acts, such as Environment Protection act 1986, Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981 and Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1974, the above acts are enforced by the Ministry of Environment of Forest Govt. of India, Central Pollution Control Board and the State Pollution Control Board, (in Orissa State it is known as Orissa State Pollution Control Board). There are a number of notifications issued by Govt. of India as well as State Govt. towards the control of Air Pollution, Water Pollution and protection of the Environment. In addition to the above the govt. and the above agencies also issue certain guidelines and policies for better Environment protection and pollution control. But these policies and guidelines do not form the part of the Law and Rules to be enforced by the govt.

As per the prevailing Rule and Regulations it is not mandatory to establish WHRB Power Plant with Sponge Iron Plant. The Central Pollution Control Board New Delhi, had issued a draft code on Environment Standard Code of practice for Pollution Prevention of Sponge Iron Plants in November 2005. in which the board has proposed to the entrepreneurs having more than 100 TPD Kiln to establish the WHRB Power generation. But the same is not legally mandatory.

The above code is mainly the suggestive practice which the entrepreneurs can adopt and it is not the part of the Air (Prevention and Control of pollution) Act 1981. Hence this can not be considered as the legal requirement.

**(B) Status of the Company**

The company has entered into MOU with Government of Orissa on 09 February 2004 after firming up the project plan and subsequently applied for obtaining consent under Air Act and Water Act. Since any industrial activity is required to seek permission to establish & consent to operate from the State pollution Control Board before commencement of the activity. Hence the company applied for the same to OSPCB and the company sought clearances from OSPCB, in line with MOU with the mention of WHRB in the application and so OSPCB clearances mention WHRB, however there exists no regulation or the legal requirement in the present laws to establish WHRB captive power plant by the Sponge Iron Industry.

Key methodological Steps followed in determining the baseline scenario

1. The methodology requires SHYAM DRI to establish base line scenario by considering all possible options that provide or produce electricity for in house consumption and /or sale to grid and/or other consumers. The methodology also identifies six possible alternative scenarios.

We have discussed above, each alternative and shown that the Coal based captive electricity generation as base line scenario.

2. The methodology requires us to demonstrate the additionality of project activity using the “latest version of Tool for demonstration and assessment of additionality”.

We have shown the additionality of project activity using the “Tool for demonstration and assessment of additionality version-04 of EB-36” In Section B.3

3. The methodology applies to electricity generation project activities; that displaces electricity generation with fossil fuels in the electricity grid or displaces captive electricity generation from fossil fuels, where no fuel switch is done in the process where the waste heat or pressure or the waste gas is produced after the implementation of project activity.

We have established that project activity generates the electricity from waste heat and this electricity displaces Coal based captive electricity generation which is mainly fossil fuel power and there is no fuel switch being done in the Sponge Iron Rotary kiln where the waste gases are produced.

In **Section B.6**; we have demonstrated the project activity is additional by using the tool for the demonstration of additionality.

Key Information and data used to determine the baseline scenario

Key information data like generation of electricity, turbine efficiency, auxiliary steam consumption, steam parameters and steam enthalpy, oxidation factor emission factors are taken from the following sources to determine the baseline scenario:

- 1) Steam consumption in TG set per MW of power Generation from the original equipment supplier specification.
- 2) IPCC Guidelines.



- 3) The base line calculations for CO₂ emission reduction are in line with approved methodology and data drawn from IPCC and turbine-generator specification of manufacturer. Coal based AFBC captive power plant boiler efficiency is conservatively calculated after considering as 100% in line with Option- B of methodology.

These data are given in Annex-3 under Base line information (Baseline calculations)

B.5. Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered CDM project activity (assessment and demonstration of additionality): >>

Shyam DRI in its board meeting dated 15/01/2004 discussed about the proximity of the project near to coal mines and availability of char/dolochar from the sponge iron plant which is a waste by product and could be used to generate captive power for the industry, accordingly coal based captive power plant was found to be financially most suitable and more reliable as compared to waste heat recovery based power plant. The possible benefits from CDM support were considered, therefore in spite of several barriers to implement the WHRB power plant, it was decided to implement WHRB power plant with consideration of CDM support.

Explanation of how and why the project activity is additional in accordance with the baseline methodology

It is required to describe how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of registered CDM activity. The proposed CDM project activity is designed to generate power from the waste heat only contained in the flue gases emitting out of an established industrial manufacturing process i.e. ABC of Sponge Iron Kiln, only the waste heat in the flue gases is utilised to generate power without adding any GHG emission whereas in the absence of the proposed project activity power requirement would have been met by the unit by generating power from Coal based captive power plant at the same time the waste heat contained in the flue gases would have been continually emitted to the atmosphere.

Hence the project activity achieves reduction in CO₂ emission by displacing the fossil fuel based captive power of the company by WHRB based captive power which does not generate any CO₂.

It is required to explain how and why the proposed project activity is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario in accordance to the selected baseline methodology.

As per the decision 17/CP.7 AND 18/CP.9 a CDM activity is additional, if anthropogenic emissions of GHGs by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of registered project activity. The tool for the demonstrations and assessment of additionality (version-04) approved at the 36TH meeting of CDM executive board requires the project participant to demonstrate and assess additionality, as per the steps given below:

- 1) Identification of alternative to project activity.
- 2) Investment analysis to determine that the project activity is not the most or financially attractive.
- 3) Barrier analysis.
- 4) Common practice analysis.

We have discussed realistic and credible alternatives available to project activity in B.2 and have come to conclusion that the generation of power from Coal based Captive power plant is the



baseline scenario. We hereby proceed to establish the additionality of proposed project activity using “the tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” (version-04) of 36th meeting of CDM executive board.

We show that the project activity faces significant financial, technology and investment barriers and in the absence of CDM finance these barriers would have adverse impact in implementation of the project activity.

The base line methodology outlines four steps to demonstrate additionality.

STEP 1 - Identification of the alternatives to the project activity considered with current law and regulations

Step 1.a

	<p>Identify realistic and credible alternatives including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity. All other plausible and credible alternative Continuation of current situation 	<p>In section B.4 all the possible alternatives have been discussed for the alternatives recognised were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity . 2) Import from Grid. 3) Alternative fuel HSD. 4) Alternative fuel Gas. 5) Alternative fuel Coal +Char/Dolochar + Washery reject. 6) Combination of grid and coal power. 7) Alternative use of Waste heat. 8) Continuation of current situation. <p>SHYAM DRI has concluded that use of coal as fuel is the most attractive option economically, as per ACM 0004. The grid power is being used by the company at the moment and company is installing coal based CPP. We select coal based captive electricity generation as baseline being financial most attractive, technically highly feasible and investment-wise also being most feasible.</p>
--	---	--

Step 1.b Enforcement of applicable laws and regulations:

1	Alternative shall be in compliance with legal and regularly requirements.	All the identified realistic and credible alternative scenarios are in compliance with current legal and regulatory requirements of the country and EB decision on national and / or sectoral Policies and regulation.
---	---	--

**Sub-Step 2a. Determine appropriate analysis method**

We have determined to apply investment comparison analysis as per sub-step -2b Option-II. As the CDM project activity does not generate direct revenue, being a captive power plant, other than CDM related revenue. The economic benefits or financial gains are generated by use of power in the captive facilities only. Therefore investment comparison analysis Option II has been used. In this option we have selected levelized cost of electricity in terms of Rs./MWh as first preference to make the investment comparison; because the choice of the project proponent setting up a captive power plant would always be to select a route through which cost of captive power is minimum therefore this option for investment comparison analysis is most suitable for the project type being a captive power plant for decision making context.

Sub-Step 2b. (Option –I): Not considered for the purpose.

Sub-Step 2b. (Option –II): Investment comparison analysis:

We have identified unit cost of service (i.e. levelized cost of power in Rs./MWh) as most suitable financial indicator for the project type and decision making context.

Sub-Step 2b. (Option –III): Apply bench mark analysis.

The comparative unit cost of service (i.e. cost of power) as provided in Option-II is selected for the purpose hence the bench mark comparison is not given.

Sub-Step 2c. Calculation and comparison of financial indicators:

The unit cost of service (e.g. levelized cost of power in Rs./MWh) has been used for the main comparison.

As per the calculations provided in comparative unit cost of services (i.e. cost of power) is found as below:

S.No.	Parameters	WHRB based Power without CDM Support	COAL based Power Plant	
A]	Identified Financial Indicators			
1	Capacity (in MW)	15	25	MW
2	15 years Average unit cost of power	1242.73	1143.45	Rs./MWh
B]	The Parameters used for the above			
1	Investment per MW	45.00	35.00	Million Rs./MWh
2	Number of operational days/Year	350.00	350.00	days
3	PLF	66%	95%	



C]	a) Variable Cost				
	4	Operation and Maintainance Cost/MW	270.56	162.70	Rs./MWh
	Fixed Cost per annum				
	1	Fuel Cost per MWh	0	549.99	Rs./MWh
	2	Average Manpower cost per MWh	79.83	60.64	Rs./MWh
	3	Depreciation per MW	449.87	243.11	Rs./MWh
	4	Interest Rate	196.28	117.85	Rs./MWh
	5	Backup power cost	240.40	0.00	Rs./MWh
	6	Administrative Exp.	5.78	9.17	Rs./MWh

This shows that the cost of power from WHRB based CPP is higher than Coal based CPP, hence WHRB based CPP is less economical and attractive.

Sub-Step 2d : Sensitivity analysis

We have included the sensitivity analysis to show that the conclusion regarding the financial attractiveness, while comparing the cost of power, is robust, on considering the PLF as a critical assumption. It is found that at best the PLF can improve by 5% or deteriorate by 5%; over the considered PLF of 66%. The other cost parameters considered in the project are not likely to be influenced much. Therefore, we have considered PLF as the most critical parameter which influences the cost of all individual parameters. It is found that with the increase and decrease in considered PLF by 5%; the cost of WHRB based captive power is found as below:

Levelized cost of power with WHRB without CDM support at 66% PLF	1242.73 Rs. / MWh
Levelized cost of power with WHRB without CDM support at 5% decreased PLF	1308.14 Rs. / MWh
Levelized cost of power with WHRB without CDM support at 5% increased PLF	1183.55 Rs. / MWh

Whereas the cost of power from coal based captive power plant is found to be only 1143.45 Rs./MWh

It is evident from the above that the cost of power to the unit on an annualized basis is lowest from coal based captive power plant; hence it is economically most attractive.

STEP-3 SHYAM DRI selects step 3(barrier analysis) to show additionality

STEP –3 Barrier analysis to show additionality

Sub-Step 3.a Identification of barriers that would prevent the implementation of the proposed project activity.

3.a.1	Investment barriers	
	No access to international capital markets due to real or perceived risks.	SHYAM DRI have not received any foreign assistance and they are not in a position to access the international capital markets.



3.a.b	Technological barrier	
	<p>Skilled and or properly trained labour not available</p> <p>Lack of infrastructure for implementation of the technology</p>	<p>1) As per Joint Plant Committee report “Survey of Sponge Iron Industry 2005-06”.</p> <p>A. 77 units out of 147 coal based unit are going in for expansion in capacity.</p> <p>B. Jharkhand, Chhatisagarh and Orissa are states where majority of expansion activities will be installed.</p> <p>C. Constraints faced by sponge iron industry are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Raw Materialb) Powerc) Financed) Labour <p>Company has to procure iron ore from the market from various sources. Due to change of sources the iron ore quality is not consistent. The company is required to use coal of Grade “A” to achieve better efficiency. As the availability of grade “A” coal is problematic. Hence Coal of various grades as per availability is used. The variation in quality of coal also creates operational problem.</p> <p>All the above pose technological problem as the variations in iron ore and coal quality lead to variations in flue gas temperature and quantity. This has impact on WHRB power generation.</p> <p>As so many units are expanding in the area, the availability of skilled technical personnel is a problem. Company has to hire the untrained personnel and impart the training.</p> <p>2) Company has to procure all the necessary equipment required for proper implementation of the project. Extra synchronisation infrastructure was also required due to the requirement of remaining connected to WESCO grid. So when 15 MW WHRB power has to</p>



		<p>be synchronised with grid power, company has to install grid synchronisation system resulting in additional cost.</p> <p>3) Company has started venture in integrated steel project they did not had any previous experience in generation of power. Hence having no previous experience in power generation, acts as technical barrier.</p> <p>4) The Sponge Iron Rotary Kiln operation is dependant on many factors such as Iron Ore quality, Coal quality etc., the flue gas temperature and quantity variations result in lowered steam generation and hence reduced power generation.</p> <p>Due to the variations observed, the PLF of WHRB is more affected than the coal based captive power plant.</p> <p>5) The Sponge Iron Kiln has to take frequent shut down due to the raw material quality problem, this results in WHRB shut down also and hence the power generation loss. Due to the inconsistency of WHRB power generation, company has to maintain the agreement with WESCO for supply of backup power.</p> <p>6) The documentation in the form of WESCO agreement is available.</p> <p>7) If the temperature of flue gas exceeds 1000⁰C, then the boiler is in danger as the higher temperatures are damaging to the boiler tubes. As no control is there on exit temperatures of kiln, this acts as technical barriers. The company has procedures laid out to encounter flue gas high temperatures to carry out remedial measures like water spraying, opening steam drains and finally opening of stack cap to release hot gases to atmosphere bypassing WHRB. This leads to loss of power generation.</p>
--	--	--



		<p>8) The inlet temperature to ESP has to be maintained at about 160°C, as the higher or much lower temperature of flue gas will damage ESP.</p> <p>Hence the boiler has to work at its design parameters as any disturbance in performance of boiler due to any reasons affects the ESP and also power generation. This acts as a technological barrier.</p>
	Barriers due to prevailing practice.	
	Discuss the project activity in host country.	<p>Prevailing practice in the Orissa region is to operate Sponge Iron Kiln, without WHRB and release waste heat contained in flues gases to atmosphere.</p> <p>However considering CDM benefit few units have gone for WHRB based captive power plant (refer to appendix-II). Being a coal and iron ore rich area, the prevailing practice for captive power plant is to go for coal based captive power generation.</p> <p>1) In year 2004-2005 when the company decided to set up the WHRB power plant there was not many example of successful operation of a WHRB power plant based on any 350 TPD DRI plants, who had utilised the waste heat of flue gases to generate power, in state of Orissa. The company was finding it as a matter of substantial risk and barrier to pool the heat and to convert this in to steam and then in to power. The company was able to successfully implement the project because of the possible CDM strength.</p> <p>2) Even at the current scenario as per the Joint Plant Committee report as “Survey of Indian Sponge Iron Industry 2005-06” lists the following:</p> <p>1) Out of 147 surveyed Sponge Iron Industry surveyed only 16 have captive power generation, 8 out of 16 them are in adjoining state Chhattisgarh. Balance states of</p>



		<p>India have 89 Sponge Iron Units (out of 147 Surveyed) and only 8 have captive power generation</p> <p>2) In the state of Orissa almost every sponge iron plant who has put up WHRB power is based on CDM strength. CDM activity can not be treated as common practice.</p> <p>3) The captive power generation based on WHRB (without CDM) is not sufficiently diffused in the region/ country, thus WHRB based captive power project is not prevailing practice.</p> <p>JPC Report can be made available and the units going in for CDM benefit can be checked from UNFCCC website.</p>
	Operational Barrier	<p>Being almost the first company in Orissa to put up a WHRB project in a group of 2 numbers of 350 tpd capacity DRI Kilns had all the risks of operation.</p> <p>In addition the project is located in remote area where not enough technical support infrastructure was available. All these had operational barriers to set up and run the project.</p>
	Regulatory Barriers	<p>1) The provision to export surplus power for sale to the grid is not available.</p> <p>2) The demand charges payable even if WESCO is not in position to supply power as a result of power shortage and resulting power cuts or even if the company is not in position to use this power due to any reason.</p> <p>Hence these can be considered as regulatory barrier</p>

Sub-Step –3.b. Show that the identified barriers would not prevent the implementation of at least one of the alternatives (except the proposed project activity).

As above the identified barriers are:

- 1) 3.a.a. investment barrier
- 2) 3.a.b technological barrier
- 3) 3.a.c prevailing practice barrier



- 4) 3.a.d operational barrier
- 5) 3.a.e regulatory barrier.

The identified other alternatives are:

1) Drawing power from existing WESCO grid (Alternative-2)

None of the above barriers act as barriers in this alternative and WESCO would be having no objections to continue to supply the additional demand of power as already and presently the required power is being provided by WESCO. But the grid power is costlier than coal based captive power, as well as the grid is having poor infrastructure resulting in interruption of supply.

2) CPP based on HSD/Gas (alternatives 3 and 4)

None of the above act as a barrier in this alternative. However CPP based on HSD will have additional GHG emissions from the plant. Availability of Gas is not there in the region.

3) Alternative 5 CPP based on coal

None of the above barriers act as barriers in this alternative. This option is economically most attractive as increase in the capacity of coal based CPP can be achieved with minimum cost to meet the total steam requirement of the CPP.

4) Combination of grid and coal power (Alternative 6) also faces some barriers faced in a Grid based alternative (1.); i.e. cost of power as well as interruption due to poor infrastructure. The SHYAM DRI would have to invest in both the sources; thus investment barrier will prevent this combination. No other barriers acts as barrier to this alternative too.

5) Alternative use of Waste Heat from Flue Gases (Alternative 7) -there is no use of waste heat form flue gas in the SHYAM DRI hence, no such heat requirement in the plant. No other beneficial use of the waste heat is in practice in the region.

6) Continuation of the current situation, as shown above the continuation of current situation is to draw more power from grid. This option will face no barrier but company has to face power cuts and pay higher tariff than self generated Coal based captive power plant.

STEP-4 Common practice analysis

We identify and discuss the existing Common practice through the following sub-steps which Complements additionality tests.

Sub-Step-4.a Analyse other activities similar to project activity.

1.	Provide an analysis of any other activity implemented	1) In Orissa state Tata Steel, Orissa Sponge, Orissa Cement are a few pioneers which have gone ahead to establish WHRB power plant based on CDM strength, to the best of information available there is no sponge iron plant in the state which has implemented waste heat recovery boiler based power plant without the CDM support. The company is
----	---	--



		<p>also one of the pioneer company to establish WHRB power based on the CDM strength by combining the waste heat from two numbers of 350 tpd capacity kilns.</p> <p>2) As per JPC report as “ Survey of Indian Sponge Iron Industry 2005-06” only 16 units out of 147 sponge iron units in India have captive power generation, as on 31/08/2005.</p> <p>Afterward many new Sponge Iron plants had come up, and some new WHRB based captive power plant have also been established in Orissa Region while considering CDM support.</p> <p>Further based on most recent web search in UNFCCC website, we can find that 16 units have opted for CDM project benefits in Orissa State alone. (refer to appendix - II)</p> <p>This reveals that in Orissa State total 79 Sponge Iron Units were operating, out of which 16 Number of units are going for WHRB based captive power generation considering CDM support, as per the available information there is no unit having WHRB captive power generation facility without CDM support.</p> <p>This concludes that the installation of WHRB captive power plant without considering the CDM support along with Sponge Iron Kilns, is not a common practice in Orrisa region.</p>
2	Activities in similar scale.	<p>The project activity is 700 TPD (2 nos. X 350 TPD,) Sponge Iron capacity. As per the available information there is no other plant of this combination in Orissa state which has gone for WHRB based captive power generation.</p>

Sub-Step-4.b Discuss any similar options that are occurring

1.	Discussion of similar activities	<p>The present project activity is WHRB based 15 MW captive power plant based on the waste heat available from two number of kiln of 350 tpd production capacity.</p> <p>As per the available information all sponge iron projects who are putting up WHRB power plant of this capacity are in the process of CDM validation or PDD preparation stage to seek the CDM support.</p>
----	----------------------------------	--

**JOINT PLANT COMMITTEE REPORT ON “SURVEY OF INDIAN SPONGE IRON INDUSTRY 2005-06”**

Under the aegis of the Ministry of steel, a joint plant committee (JPC) was constituted, by government of India, and is the sole custodian of authentic database on the Indian iron and steel industry. The following are major findings:

Report in the “Survey of Indian Sponge Iron Industry 2005-06” has the following statement on Page-3 of 11.

“growth of domestic steel demand, vigorous growth in domestic steel production in secondary steel making sector, techno economic like relative low cost of investment, ease of setting of sponge iron plant, clear cut technology of direct reduction, better quality in end product, availability of mineral resources, abundant labour as well as professional/ technical expertise, frequent problem of scrap, all operating in the facilitating backdrop provided by a free market economy have boosted the growth of the industry”

Indian sponge iron industry summarised table given in JPC report

Table 1 Indian Sponge Iron Industry : Both Coal & Gas Segments						
	Data Collected		Additional / Industry/ Field sources[^]		Total	
	No of units	Capacity (Unit :mt)	No. of units	Capacity# (unit:mt)	No. of units	Capacity (unit:mt)
Operating						
Coal	147	11	56	2	203	13
Gas	3	6	-	-	3	6
Total	150	17	56	2	206	19
Under commissioning (Coal)	58	6	167	12*	225	18
Brownfield Expansion : 77 out of 147 working coal based unit	-	7	-	-	-	7
[^] =State DI Offices; [#] =Estimated, [*] =included units in proposal/ planning stage						

Raw materials:

JPC survey list the following the main constraints faced by sponge iron industry, on Page 7 of 11.

“Analysis of the data shows that out of 147 units surveyed, raw material (availability and prices), accounts for the largest (96%) amongst the nature of constrains faced by a coal based sponge iron unit today, followed by power (cost), and to lesser extent finance (availability), and labour negligible”.

JPC survey on page 5 & 6 of 11 :

“**Coal Linkage:** Analysis of the data shows that out of the 147 units surveyed, 60% has their own coal linkage. The state wise picture shows Orissa and Chhattisgarh tops the list with West Bengal close behind. But the scenario in the other states is not much encouraging, indicating the Indian coal based sponge iron producers are dependent on market sources for procuring this key raw material.



Iron Ore: Analysis of the data shows that out of 147 units surveyed, iron ore from mines, be it captive (virtually nil) or leased (minimal), plays an insignificant part in meeting iron ore requirements of the domestic coal based sponge iron segment. In other words, this indicates that in case of iron ore also, Indian coal based sponge iron producers are dependent on market sources”

Captive power generation

On page-7 of 11 of JPC report under the heading “captive generation facility”

“Analysis of the data shows that out the 147 units surveyed, the number of units with captive power generation facility is quite low; total of such units being only 16, with maximum concentration occurring in Chhattisgarh (8 units)”

Expansion

“77 out of 147 coal based units are going in for expansion of existing capacity.”


Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh and Orissa are states where majority of this fresh capacity will be installed

JPC report is enclosed as part of proof for the following barriers

1. Investment barrier due to shortage of iron ore and coal and market variation
2. Common practice analysis/prevaling practice.
3. Technological barrier due to shortage of technical manpower due to heavy expansion in sponge iron industry



Flow Chart : How the Project is additional



**B.6. Emission reductions:****B.6.1. Explanation of methodological choices:**

>>

Selected methodology is ACM 0004 Version 02, 3rd March 2006 and ACM0002 Version-07, EB-36.

B.6.2. Data and parameters that are available at validation:

(Copy this table for each data and parameter)

Data / Parameter:	EF _{CO₂, i}
Data unit:	t C/TJ
Description:	CO ₂ emission factor of fuel used in captive power generation
Source of data used:	IPCC guidelines
Value applied:	26.2
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures actually applied :	IPCC value give conservative emission factor
Any comment:	Nil

Data / Parameter:	EF _{captive, y}
Data unit:	tCO ₂ eq./MWh
Description:	Emission factor for captive power generation
Source of data used:	Calculated
Value applied:	1.26
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures actually applied :	This data has been calculated as required by methodology ACM 0004 Version 2 assuming boiler efficiency as 100% as per Option B of methodology to be conservative.
Any comment:	Nil

B.6.3 Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions:

>>

We have followed the approved baseline methodology ACM0004 for formulas used in estimating baseline emissions:

If the baseline scenario is determined to be captive power generation either (existing or new), the Emissions factor for displaced electricity is calculated as follows;

Calculation of emission factor for captive power baseline:

$$EF_{\text{captive, y}} = EF_{\text{CO}_2, i} / \text{Eff}_{\text{captive}} \times 44/12 \times 3.6 \text{ TJ/1000 MWh}$$



Where.

$EF_{\text{captive}, y}$:	Emission factor for captive power generation (tCO ₂ /MWh)
$EF_{\text{CO}_2, i}$:	CO ₂ emission factor of fuel used in captive power generation tC/TJ
Eff_{captive}	:	Efficiency of captive power generation (%)
44/12	:	Carbon to Carbon Dioxide conversion factor
3.6/1000	:	TJ to MWh conversion factor

To estimate boiler efficiency, project participants may chose between the following two options

Option A

1. Measured efficiency prior to project implementation
2. Measured efficiency during monitoring.
3. Manufacturers nameplate data for efficiency of existing boilers

Option B

Assume a boiler efficiency of 100% based on the net calorific values as a conservative approach

We have selected Option B

Leakage (Ly)

There is no leakage in the project activity

Emission Reductions

Project activity mainly reduces CO₂ through substitution of coal based captive electricity generation.

The emission reduction ER_y by the project activity during a given year y is the difference between the baseline emissions through substitution of electricity generation with fossil fuels (BE_y) and project emissions (PE_y), as follows:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y$$

Where,

- ER_y = are the emission reduction of the project activity during the year y in tons of CO₂.
- BE_y = are the baseline emissions due to displacement of electricity during the year y in tons of CO₂,
- PE_y = are the project emission during the year y in tons of CO₂

No project emission is considered and no leakage is considered

Where the baseline emissions

$$BE_y \text{ in tCO}_2 = EF_{\text{captive}, y} \times EG_y$$

$$EG_y = E_{\text{GEN}} - E_{\text{AUX}} = \text{Net electricity supplied by project activity}$$

No project emission and no leakage is considered in line with methodology

We have followed the approved baseline methodology ACM0002 for formulas used is estimating base line emissions:

**Calculation of Baseline Emission Factor**

Base line emission factor will be constant as Ex ante based and fixed for the entire credit period.

Calculation of Net Emission Reduction:

Installed capacity of power generation	15 MW
Number of working days/year	350
Number of working hours/day	24
Gross generation of electricity at 100% PLF	126000 MWh
Gross Generation at 66% PLF	83160.00 MWh
Auxiliary consumption (10%)	8316.00 MWh
Net Generation (EGy) (Gross Generation – Auxiliary consumption)	74844.00 MWh
Emission Factor (EF _{captive y})	1.26 tCO ₂ e/MWh
Emission Reduction/Year (ERy)	94303.44 tCO ₂ e/Year Or say 94303.00 tCO ₂ e/Year

B.6.4 Summary of the ex-ante estimation of emission reductions:

>>

Year	Estimation of project activity emission (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	Estimation of baseline emission (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	Estimate of leakage (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	Estimation of overall emission reduction (tonnes of CO ₂ e)
2009-10	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2010-11	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2011-12	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2012-13	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2013-14	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2014-15	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2015-16	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2016-17	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2017-18	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
2018-19	0	94303.00	0	94303.00
Total ::	0	943030.00	0	943030.00

B.7 Application of the monitoring methodology and description of the monitoring plan:**B.7.1 Data and parameters monitored:**

(Copy this table for each data and parameter)

Data / Parameter:	EG _{GEN CPP}
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Gross electricity generated by entire CPP
Source of data to be used:	Data is measured through the electronic meter provided at the output of TG. The meter reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be



CDM – Executive Board

page 32

	transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer , approved by shift in charge as the daily report. The metes will be regularly calibrated by approved agencies.
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	162960
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Log book maintained based on DCS data which receive data from meters
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Log book will signed by plant manager daily. Meters will calibrated regularly. The meters will regularly under QC/QA procedure for any variation. If variation noticed the recalibration will be done immediately.
Any comment:	Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years

Data / Parameter:	$EG_{AUX\ CPP}$
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Auxiliary electricity consumption
Source of data to be used:	Date will measured through the electronic meters provided at the feed to each auxiliary consumption source. The meters readings will be available on DCS continuously and same will be summed up by DCS to arrive total auxiliary consumption. This data will transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	16296
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Log book maintained based on DCS data which receive data from meters connected to DCS.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Log book signed by plant manager daily. Meters calibrated regularly
Any comment:	10% Auxiliary consumption has been considered for the sake of estimated emission reduction. Actual consumption will be used for calculating actual emission reduction. Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years

Data / Parameter:	$EG_{y\ CPP}$
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Net electricity generated
Source of data to be used:	calculated $EG_{y\ CPP} = EG_{GEN\ CPP} - EG_{AUX\ CPP}$
Value of data applied	146664



CDM – Executive Board

page 33

for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Log book will be maintained based on DCS data which is based on data from meters connected to DCS.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Log book signed by plant manager daily. Meters calibrated regularly
Any comment:	Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years

Data / Parameter:	E _{GEN}
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Gross electricity generation due to WHRB
Source of data to be used:	Calculated based on measured data.
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	83160
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Calculated based on measured data.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Calculated data.
Any comment:	Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	E _{AUX}
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Gross Auxiliary consumption for WHRB electricity generation.
Source of data to be used:	Electronic Meter/ Calculated
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	8316
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Calculated data based on measured data.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Calculated data.
Any comment:	Measured data will be used for auxiliary consumption calculation, but for



	estimation of emission reduction in PDD 10% auxiliary consumption is considered in calculation however the actual recorded data will be applied. Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years.
--	--

Data / Parameter:	EGy
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Net electricity generated due to WHRB.
Source of data to be used:	Calculated
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	74844
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Calculated : $EG_y = E_{GEN} - E_{AUX}$
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Calculated data as per formulae given above.
Any comment:	Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Temp. (T1, T2 & T3)
Data unit:	$^{\circ}C$
Description:	Temperature of steam at outlet of WHRB-1, WHRB-2 & AFBC
Source of data to be used:	The temperature meter provided at the output of WHRB-1, WHRB-2 and AFBC. The meter reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	490
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Meter provided at output of WHRB-1, WHRB-2 and AFBC, reading will be available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Meters are regularly calibrated.
Any comment:	The data used from manufactures specification, however actual measured data will be used and be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Pressure (P1, P2)
Data unit:	Kg/cm^2
Description:	Pressure of steam at outlet of WHRB-1, WHRB-2



CDM – Executive Board

page 35

Source of data to be used:	The steam pressure gauges are provided at the output of WHRB-1, WHRB-2. The reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	66
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Steam pressure gauge provided at output of WHRB-1, WHRB-2, reading will be available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Regular calibration of equipments will be done.
Any comment:	The data used from manufactures specification, however actual measured data will be used and be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Pressure (P3)
Data unit:	Kg/cm ²
Description:	Pressure of steam at outlet of AFBC
Source of data to be used:	The steam pressure gauges are provided at the output of AFBC. The reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	67
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Steam pressure gauge provided at output of AFBC, reading will be available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Regular calibration of equipments will be done.
Any comment:	The data used from manufactures specification, however actual measured data will be used and be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Flow (F1, F2)
Data unit:	Tonnes per hour
Description:	Steam flow at outlet of WHRB-1 & WHRB-2
Source of data to be used:	The steam flow meters are provided at the output of WHRB-1 and WHRB-2. The reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to



	log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	38
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Steam flow meters are provided at output of WHRB-1 and WHRB-2 , reading will available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Regular calibration of equipments will be done.
Any comment:	The data used from manufactures specification, however actual measured data will used and be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Flow (F3)
Data unit:	Tonnes per hour
Description:	Steam flow at outlet of AFBC
Source of data to be used:	The steam flow meter is provided at the output of AFBC. The reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	54
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Steam flow meters are provided at output of AFBC, reading will available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Regular calibration of equipments will be done.
Any comment:	The data used from manufactures specification, however actual measured data will used and be kept for crediting period + 2 years. Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

Data / Parameter:	Steam Flow (F4)
Data unit:	Tonnes per hour
Description:	Steam flow at input TG
Source of data to be used:	The steam flow meter is provided at the in put of TG. The reading will be available on DCS continuously and same will be transferred to log book to be maintained by shift engineer, approved by shift in charge as the daily report
Value of data applied	117



for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5	
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied:	Steam flow meter is provided at in put of TG, reading will available at DCS. DCS data will be used for logbook.
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	Regular calibration of equipments will be done.
Any comment:	Data will be kept for crediting period + 2 years.

B.7.2 Description of the monitoring plan:
--

>>

(A) Purpose

To define the procedures and responsibilities for GHG Performance, monitoring, measurement, reporting of data, dealing with uncertainties, and covers the responsibilities regarding plant operation and maintenance.

(B) Scope

This procedure is applicable to 15 MW waste heat based WHRB power project of SHYAM DRI.

(C) Responsibilities

Shift Engineer (Operations): Responsible for proper operation of the mechanical equipments, reporting shift wise or eight hourly data of steam generated from WHRB, steam fed to turbines, parameters of steam and flow meter reading of the captive power plant. The report is then sent to the Manager (O & M) for his review.

Shift Engineer (Electrical): Responsible for proper operation of electrical equipment and taking meter reading for electricity generation.

Shift Engineer (maintenance): Responsible for proper maintenance management.

Manager (Plant): Responsible for operation, maintenance and management of plant will be reviewing the monitored parameters shift-wise and presenting a daily executive summary report, duly signed by himself, to the General Manager (Plant).

General Manager/Vice President (Power Plant) : Responsible and in charge of complete operation, maintenance and management of all plant and CDM related matters

He will be in charge of all CDM related matters and CDM officer will be directly reporting to him

CDM Officer : He will be reporting to General Manager and will be responsible for preparing required documentation and reviewing the accuracy of various reports with counter checks along with project developer. He will be responsible for internal audit regarding CDM project matters.



Details of monitoring plan is provided in Annexure 4

B.8 Date of completion of the application of the baseline study and monitoring methodology and the name of the responsible person(s)/entity(ies)

>>

Date of Completion of application and baseline study : 23 June 2007

Preparation of this document has been done by “Indus Technical and Financial Consultants Ltd.”, whose address is :

Shri Lalit Kumar Singhanian

Shri Vikas Thakur

Indus Technical and Financial Consultants Ltd.

205, Samta Colony,

In front of Shikapuri Panchayat Bhawan.

Raipur City , Chhattisgarh State . 492001. INDIA

Phone : 0771-2255186 Fax : 0771-2254188, Email : indusryp@satyam.net.in ,

Mobile: 094252-08189, 093011-93400

SECTION C. Duration of the project activity / crediting period**C.1 Duration of the project activity:****C.1.1. Starting date of the project activity:**

>> 09/09/2004 (order date for 2 Nos. of WHRB Boiler)

C.1.2. Expected operational lifetime of the project activity:

>> 15 Years

C.2 Choice of the crediting period and related information:

Project activity will use a fixed crediting period of 10 years.

C.2.1. Renewable crediting period

Not applicable

C.2.1.1. Starting date of the first crediting period:

>> Not applicable

C.2.1.2. Length of the first crediting period:

>> Not applicable



C.2.2. Fixed crediting period:

Project activity will use a fixed crediting period of 10 years 0 Month.

C.2.2.1. Starting date:

>> From the date of registration. Or 10/05/2008, which ever is later.

C.2.2.2. Length:

>> 10 Years 0 Months.

**SECTION D. Environmental impacts**

>>

D.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts, including transboundary impacts:

>>

The Project activity is to produce 15 MW power based on waste heat recovery based steam generation (WHRB) and steam turbines. There are no additional GHG emissions other than the existing GHG emissions in the absence of project activity.

The installation of WHRB and CPP requires approvals of IBR (Indian Boiler Regulation) and Orissa State Pollution Control Board (OSPCB) and both the approvals will be received before the Commissioning of project activity.

Environmental impact is negligible as the project activity benefits the local, regional and global environment by,

1. Reducing the thermal pollution which could have been caused by emitting waste gases at 950⁰C into atmosphere. Project activity recovers the waste heat and save; energy and reduces thermal emission by controlling gas temperature below 200⁰C.
 - i) Generates electricity without adding any additional GHG emissions.
 - ii) The power generated by the project activity will be used for in house activity will be used for in house requirement and consumption without any T&D losses as the location of power generation is in the same premises.
2. Noise level from equipments shall be kept within legal limits.
3. The project will not generate on its own any Fly Ash due to Power generation from the project activity. But ash contained in flue gases will be collected in ash hoppers provided in WHR boiler.
4. The proposed ESP shall remove the ash from flue gases which will be collected in Ash Hopper. This ash will be given free of cost to cement plants and brick manufacturers for further Economics benefits and use. The ash used for production of bricks saves the valuable productive soil; also it reduces the Air Pollution caused by the conventional brick kilns, due to the coal burning. The Ash consumed in Cement making reduces the limestone and coal consumption, thus natural resources are saved.

SHYAM DRI have not carried out EIA Study for the first phase, project activity got the all environment clearance i.e. “consent to operate” to operate by State Pollution Control Board after satisfactory compliance of all the requirement. EIA study for the II phase is under progress.

D.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, please provide conclusions and all references to support documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party:

>>

Environmental impact are considered in-significant as enumerated in D1, No adverse impact on environment will be there due to project activity.



Noise Pollution

Equipments like Boiler and STGs shall be provided with noise depressing facilities to dampen and to reduce the noise level to permissible levels at the nearest village. In the plant the noise level will be kept below 90dB.

Thermal Pollution:

In current situation the hot flue gases will be let out causing considerable thermal pollution.

The heat shall be recovered in the boiler and the flue gases be let out by stack of 70 m height below 200°C and hence thermal pollution shall be reduced considerably.

Air emission:

An ESP provided at the outlet of boiler effectively reduces the flue dust level below to 100 mg/nm³ while acceptable legal standard is 150 mg/nm³.

Impact on Water environment

Blow down water shall be used for plantation. Sources of waste water are DM Plant and Blow down.

All the waste water will be neutralized before using for plantation.

Monitoring of waste water will be done to limit pH, BOD and COD levels within the stipulated levels.

No discharge will be there outside the premises. Hence due to the zero discharge condition, no adverse impact will be there in the water regime.

Solid waste management

Ash collected from bottom of hopper of ESP shall be transported to Ash Silo equipped with bag filters to ensure clean air.

Ash collected shall be supplied to cement manufacturing/ brick manufacturing units and as back fill material for filling of the low lying area.

Safety Management

To ensure safe working conditions:

- 1) All moving parts shall be provided with guards/ hoods.
- 2) Insulation of all hot parts shall be done.
- 3) Full fledged maintenance department shall ensure the healthy condition of equipments.
- 4) A disaster management plan already exists to handle crisis situation.

All efforts will be done to create clean environment.

Parameters like Noise, Fugitive Emission as well as point source emissions will be monitored regularly.

Conclusion:

Project activity is environment friendly and creates employment and other benefits and promotes sustainable developments.

**SECTION E. Stakeholders' comments**

>>

E.1. Brief description how comments by local stakeholders have been invited and compiled:

>>

SHYAM DRI identifies the following as stake holders to keep the transparency in the operational activity of the project promoter and thereby meeting local/ environmental regulations

- 1) Local authority of Village –Pandloi Gram Panchayat
- 2) Western Electricity Supply Company of Orissa Ltd (WESCO)
- 3) State Pollution Control Board, Orissa (OSPCB)
- 4) Ministry of Commerce and Industry.

Management of Shyam DRI invited comments from local stakeholder by newspaper advertisement in newspaper namely “Dharitri Oriya Daily, Bubbheshwar” on 22/08/2007 & “Samvad” in Oriya language (local language) on dated 03/09/2007. A local stake meeting was held on 25/08/2007 at Village- Pandloi to aware the villagers regarding the project activity i.e. regarding WHRB based electricity generation.

Shyam DRI management representatives met various stake holders for appraisal regarding project activity and sought the support.

E.2. Summary of the comments received:

>>

SHYAM DRI management apprised the representatives of village Panchayat of village-Pandloi about the project activity. The members of Panchayat appreciated and had expressed their no objection for project activity. Gram Panchayat have issued no objection certificate.

Sarapanch , village Pandloi raised the points of activities required to be carried out by company during periphery development committee meeting.

Permission have been sought from the State agencies like WESCO,OSPCB, etc. wherever required legally and have been received and other State agencies have been apprised of the project activity

E.3. Report on how due account was taken of any comments received:

>>

As suggested by Sarapanch Shyam DRI Power Ltd. had done the peripheral development work, and list of which is provided.

No adverse comment was received on project activity.

**Annex 1****CONTACT INFORMATION ON PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROJECT ACTIVITY**

Organization:	SHYAM DRI Power Ltd.
Street/P.O.Box:	-----
Building:	-----
City:	Village:Pandloi, P.O. Lapanga/ Rengali, distt: Sambalpur
State/Region:	Orissa
Postfix/ZIP:	768212
Country:	India
Telephone:	+91-0663-2230484
FAX:	+91-0663-2230486
E-Mail:	shyamdri@sancharnet.in
URL:	www.shyamgroup.com
Represented by:	
Title:	
Salutation:	Mr.
Last Name:	Agrawal
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Sanjay
Department:	Board of Director
Mobile:	+91 98318 35605
Direct FAX:	+91-033 22852212
Direct tel:	91-033-22852330 (extn.)116
Personal E-Mail:	sanjay@shyamgroup.com



Annex 2

INFORMATION REGARDING PUBLIC FUNDING

No Public Funding is available from Annexure-I Country

**Annex 3****BASELINE INFORMATION****Base line information (sources of information)**

S.No.	Parameter	Source
1	Steam parameters considered	From AFBC Boiler Specification.
1	Boiler Efficiency	Consider 100% as per methodology on conservative side.
2	Steam consumption / MWh	Turbine manufacturer's performance guarantee data.
3	Steam enthalpy	ISI Steam Table in SI Units
4	Emission factor (EF _{CO2}) for coal	IPCC default value
5	Carbon to Carbon-di-oxide conversion factor	IPCC default value

Technical Specification of Turbine as per Manufacturer:**TG**

1. Capacity of Turbine : 30 MW
2. Inlet Steam pressure : 63.7 bar (a)
3. Inlet Steam Temperature : 485⁰C
4. Steam Consumption : 117 T/hr.

Specification of AFBC boiler**AFBC**

1. Capacity of Boiler : 54 T/hr.
2. Steam pressure : 67 ksc(g)
3. Steam Temperature : 490±5⁰C

Specification of WHR boilers

1. Capacity of Boiler : 38 T/hr.
2. Steam pressure : 66 kg/cm⁰
3. Steam Temperature : 490±5⁰C

Formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (units of CO₂ equ.)

We have followed the approved baseline methodology ACM0004 for formulas used in estimating baseline emissions:

If the baseline scenario is determined to be captive power generation either (existing or new), the Emissions factor for displaced electricity is calculated as follows;

Calculation of emission factor for captive power baseline :

$$EF_{\text{captive}, y} = EF_{\text{CO}_2, i} / \text{Eff}_{\text{captive}} \times 44/12 \times 3.6 \text{ TJ}/1000 \text{ MWh}$$

Where.

- EF_{captive, y} : Emission factor for captive power generation (tCO₂/MWh)
 EF_{CO₂, i} : CO₂ emission factor of fuel used in captive power generation tC/TJ



$\text{Eff}_{\text{captive}}$:	Efficiency of captive power generation (%)
44/12	:	Carbon to Carbon Dioxide conversion factor
3.6/1000	:	TJ to MWh conversion factor

To estimate boiler efficiency, project participants may chose between the following two options

Option A

1. Measured efficiency prior to project implementation
2. Measured efficiency during monitoring.
- 3 Manufacturers nameplate data for efficiency of existing boilers

Option B

Assume a boiler efficiency of 100% based on the net calorific values as a conservative approach

We have selected Option B .



Annex 4 MONITORING INFORMATION

Calculation of Net Power Generated

To achieve the above we follow the steps below (as per instrumentation plan given in the schematic diagram enclosed):


Calculation of Net Power Generation:

A	Calculation of Enthalpy of Steam fed from WHRB-1 (H_1)	=	$E_{nt} \times F_1 = H_1$
B	Calculation of Enthalpy of Steam fed from WHRB-2 (H_2)	=	$E_{nt} \times F_2 = H_2$
C	Total Enthalpy of Steam fed from WHRB-1 & 2 (H_5)	=	$A + B = H_5$
D	Calculation of Enthalpy of Steam fed from AFBC (H_3)	=	$E_{nt} \times F_3 = H_3$
E	Calculation of Enthalpy of steam fed to TG (H_4)	=	$E_{nt} \times F_4 = H_4$
F	Electricity Generated by TG ($EG_{GEN\ CPP}$)	=	Me_1
G	Auxiliary Consumption by CPP ($EG_{AUX\ CPP}$)	=	Me_2
H	Net Electricity generation by CPP ($EG_{y\ CPP}$)	=	$F - G$
I	Proportional Percentage Contribution in Enthalpy of Steam from WHRB-1 & 2 in total enthalpy of steam used for power generation by TG = Enthalpy of Steam from [(WHRB 1 & 2 / Total Enthalpy of steam fed into TG) X 100]	=	$(C/E) \times 100$
J	Electricity Generation from WHRB = % Contribution of Enthalpy of Steam from WHRB X Gross Electricity Generated by TG (E_{GEN})	=	$F \times I$
K	Auxiliary Electricity consumption by WHRB (E_{AUX})	=	$G \times I$
L	Net Electricity generated by WHRB (EG_y)	=	$J - K$ or $(H \times I)$

- Note:** (1) Steam enthalpy E_{nt} in K Cal/Kg is arrived by using thermodynamic steam tables, based on the pressure and temperature readings.
- (2) Since the temperature and pressure at TG inlet are maintained at same level as that of WHRB-1 & 2 outlet, hence separate monitoring of temperature and pressure at TG inlet is not required.



(ii) Schematic Drawing and Details of Monitoring Plan and Metering Points :



Steam Monitoring Parameter	Metering Point
Pressure at Outlet of WHRB-1	P ₁
Pressure at Outlet of WHRB-2	P ₂
Pressure at Outlet of AFBC	P ₃
Temperature of Outlet of WHRB-1	T ₁
Temperature at Outlet of WHRB-2	T ₂
Temperature at Outlet of AFBC	T ₃
Flow of steam at Outlet of WHRB-1 (after vent)	F ₁
Flow of steam at Outlet of WHRB-2 (after vent)	F ₂
Flow of steam at Outlet of AFBC (after vent)	F ₃
Net of Flow of steam in to TG	F ₄

Electrical Parameter

Electrical Monitoring Parameter	
Gross Power Generation from TG	Me ₁
Auxiliary consumption meter	Me ₂

**I. Table for monitoring**

Serial No.	Activity
1.0	GHG Performance Parameter
1.1	<p>The monitoring protocol requires SHYAM DRI to monitor the following GHG Performance parameters for estimating the emissions reductions from the waste heat based CPP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gross generation of electricity by the CPP • Auxiliary consumption • Net quantity of steam available from the waste heat recovery boiler (WHRB-1&2) for electricity generation in CPP. • Total Steam availability to and consumed in TG sets. • Temperature and pressure of steam from WHRB boiler. • Net electricity generation from waste heat recovery.
2.0	Metering System
2.1	<p>The metering system for the waste heat based CPP consist of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal metering system calibrated and sealed by Deputy Electrical Inspector Generation for metering total generation from each TG Set. • In house metering system of SHYAM DRI for metering the generation of power, auxiliary consumption, • Steam Flow meters for monitoring net steam flow from WHRB-1 & 2 after the vent before the common header at entry port. • Flow meter for steam inlet to turbine TG. • Temperature gauge for WHRB-1&2, boiler. • Pressure gauge for WHRB-1 & 2 boiler.
	<p>The two numbers TG meters are located in the TG room itself. They are used to monitor SHYAM DRI's net electricity and total generation from the CPP. These meters are maintained and calibrated by SHYAM DRI. All these meters are cross calibrated from standard testing laboratory Govt of Orissa, Bhubaneswar and sealed by DEI(G).</p>
2.2	<p>In house Metering System of SHYAM DRI</p> <p>SHYAM DRI has an in-house metering system, which monitors the overall performance of the waste heat based CPP. The metering system mainly comprises of three meters.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 in-house generation meter- One for TG set. • In-house Auxiliary consumption meter. (one) <p>The in-house generation meters (or the Energy Meter) and consumption meters are micro-processor based metering device which monitor the net unit of Energy generated and auxiliary electricity consumed by SHYAM DRI's CPP.</p> <p>In-house captive auxiliary power consumption meters (or the Kilowatt Hour meter) are mainly micro-processor based metering device. In case or requirement the</p>



	SHYAM DRI may also install the normal energy meters at various location. The number and place of metering can be changed to suit the actual field requirement. Installation of all such meters will be well documented. All the meters will be calibrated from the reputed agencies.
3.0	Calibration of the Metering System
3.1	All the metering devices are calibrated at regular intervals so that the accuracy of measurement is ensured all the time. The meters recording total generation is calibrated by standard testing laboratory Govt of Orissa, Bhubaneshwar and sealed by DEI(G). The other meters are calibrated internally as per suppliers calibration schedule following the standard procedures for calibration.
4.0	Reporting of the Monitored Parameters/ Authority and Responsibility of monitoring and reporting
4.1	<p><u>Metering System</u></p> <p>The SHYAM DRI personnel read the power generation from metering system for recording the net electricity and the total generation from the CPP on the last day of every month or First day of the subsequent month and keep the complete and accurate records for proper administration. In case of requirement the accuracy of the main meter reading may be substantiated by the check meter reading. In the event that the main meter is not at service, then the check meter shall be used. A monthly report is prepared based on the meter readings, which is sent to the Electrical Inspector as monthly legal return.</p> <p>The Shift Engineer (Electrical) takes daily reading (at 6.00 AM) of the Main meters of the metering system and keeps the complete and accurate records in the reading book (maintained at the plant) for proper administration. The reading are verified by the Manager (Electrical and Instrumentation) on a daily basis and sent to the General Manager (Plant) at the Administrative Building in the plant for his review and for preparing the daily report.</p>
4.2	<p><u>In-house Metering System of SHYAM DRI</u></p> <p>The Shift Engineer (Electrical) monitors shift wise and eight hourly data on total generation, auxiliary consumption, net electricity available. The shift data or eight hourly data are recorded in the log book. The complete and accurate records in the log book are signed by the Shift Engineer (Electrical). Both of these reports are sent to the Manager (Electrical & Instrumentation) for his review on a daily basis. On the basis of the reported parameters, a complete and accurate executive daily summary report is prepared and signed by the General Manager (Electrical & Instrumentation) and sent to the unit head for proper administration.</p> <p>The flow meter reading, temperature and pressure gauge and DCS will measure the respective parameters and reporting is done shift wise by shift in-charge (operations) based on the online measurements.</p>
5.	<u>Uncertainties and Adjustments:</u>
5.1	The shift wise or eight hourly, daily and monthly data are recorded at various points as stated above. Any observations (like inconsistencies of reported parameters) and/or discrepancies in the operation of the power plant will be documented as “History” in the daily report prepared by the General Manager (Plant) along with its time of occurrence, duration and possible reasons behind such operational



	<p>disruptions. Necessary corrective actions will be undertaken at the earliest.</p> <p>Any discrepancies in the Main reading for example, difference between main meter and check meter reading or extreme deviation in the net generation figure, if identified, will immediately be brought to the notice of General Manager, as well as Electrical Inspector. Corrective actions to be undertaken at the earliest after identification of reason of such discrepancy.</p> <p>Furthermore, as a safety measure, the total power generating system is equipped with an Automatic Alarming System which gives a prior indication of any fluctuations in the operating parameters of the power plant thereby enabling the operators to take necessary preventive measures.</p> <p>These measures will be undertaken in order to detect and minimize the uncertainty levels in data monitoring.</p>
6.0	Experience and Training
6.1	All the Shift Engineers (Electrical and Instrumentation, Operations) are qualified engineers/ technologists. All the operators of the boiler power plant are IBR certified and NPTI certified engineers, and they also undergo an exhaustive on-the-job training program including plant operations, data monitoring and report preparation.
6.2	<p>Emergency Preparedness Plan</p> <p>The total power generating system of the waste heat based CPP is equipped with an “Automatic Alarming System” which helps the operators to take necessary preventive actions before any kind of non-functioning of the power plant results in. SHYAM DRI. CPP has a fire fighting system in place.</p> <p>In addition SHYAM DRI has standard procedures for tackling emergencies arising from</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blackout • Low boiler drum level/ low feed water level • High flue gas temperature from sponge iron kiln. • Load throw off • Boiler Tube leakage. • Boiler tripping at alarm systems.
(f)	<p>Reference</p> <p>Project Design Document, maintenance manuals and standard OEM procedures.</p>
	<p>Records</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Log Book, maintained by electrical & instrumentation department at site, containing daily data for all the in-house metering system. 2. Daily Executive Summary submitted to the Vice president/General Manger (Plant), prepared by electrical & instrumentation department at site containing daily data for all the in-house metering system and record of any history with



	3.	details. Daily report containing the performance parameters of the power plant and record of any history with details, maintained at site with a copy being sent to the unit head of the SHYAM DRI .
	4.	Monthly Report on net quantity of electricity generated at SHYAM DRI's Captive Power Plant and Electricity Duty returns submitted by SHYAM DRI on generation archived at site with a copy being sent to the unit head of SHYAM DRI.
	5.	Calibration certificate of the meters maintained at site.

(A) Purpose

To define the procedures and responsibilities for GHG Performance, Project Management , Registration, Monitoring, Measurement and Reporting of data and dealing with uncertainties.

(B) Scope

This procedure is applicable to 15 MW waste heat based i.e. WHRB power project of SHYAM DRI, India.

(C) Authorities and Responsibilities of Project Management, Registration, Monitoring, Measurement and Reporting:

Shift Engineer (Operations): Responsible for reporting shift wise or eight hourly data of the steam generated from boilers, steam fed to turbines, parameters of steam and flow meter reading of the Captive Power Plant. The report is then sent to the Manager (O & M) for his review.

Manager/Sr. Manager (O&M) : Responsible for reviewing the monitored parameters on an eight hourly or shift based and presenting a daily executive summary report, duly signed by himself, to the General Manager (Plant).

Shift Engineer (Electrical): Responsible for taking meter reading for electricity generation and wheeling shift-wise. The report is then sent to the Manager (E&I) for his review on a daily basis.

Manager/Sr. Manager (E&I): Responsible for reviewing the monitored parameters shift-wise and presenting a daily executive summary report, duly signed by himself, to the General Manager (Plant).

General Manager / Vice President (Plant): Responsible for summarizing data of Electrical, Mechanical, Process (/operation) Departments and report the same to the Vice President (Power) and CMD (SHYAM DRI) on a daily basis.

**Appendix I : Abbreviation**

⁰ C	Degree Centigrade
ABC	After Burning Chamber
AFBC	Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustion
Annex	Annexure
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CPP	Captive Power Plant
dB	Decibel
DEI(G)	Deputy Electrical Inspector (Generation)
DM	De-Mineralized
DRI	Direct Reduced Iron
E&I	Electrical and Instrumentation
EB	Executive Board
EHT	Extra High Tension
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ESP	Electro Static Precipitator
GHG	Green House Gas
SHYAM DRI	SHYAM DRI Power Generation Ltd.
HSD	High Speed Diesel
HT	High Tension
IBR	Indian Boiler Regulation
Kcal	Kilo calorie
kg/cm ²	Kilogram per centimeter square.
kWh	Kilo Watt hour
M ³ /hr.	Meter cube per hour
MU	Million Units
MW	MW
MWh	Mega Watt hour
Nm ³ /h	Normal Meter Cube per Hour
NPTI	National Power Training Institute
OSEB	Orissa State Electricity Board
OSERC	Orissa State Electricity Regulatory Commission
OSPCB	Orissa State Pollution Control Board
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
PDD	Project Design Document
PLF	Plant Load Factor
Qty	Quantity
SE(P)	Superintending Engineer (Project)

**Appendix II : LIST OF WHRB POWER PROJECT UNDER CDM PROCESS**

S. N o.	Project Title	Capa-city	No. of Kiln connected to WHRB Kiln Capacity
1	TSIL – Waste Heat Recovery Based Power Project	7.5 MW	2 Kilns 120000 TPA
2	OSIL - Waste Heat Recovery Based Captive Power Project	10 MW	1 Kiln 100000 TPA
3	8 MW Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project at OCL	8 MW	4 Kilns X 100 TPD
4	RSP – Waste gas based captive power project in Integrated Iron & Steel Plant	15 GWh/Annum	Waste gases from B.F.
5	Bhushan Steel & Strips Limited (BSSL) : Grid –Connected Electricity Generation by Waste Heat Recovery from Sponge Iron Direct Reduction (DR) Kilns	33 MW & 77 MW	8 Kiln X 500 TPD
6	Utilization of waste gases for power generation at NINL, India	8.7 MW	Waste gases of B.F.
7	Power generation from waste heat of submerged arc furnaces	13 MW	Heat of Waste gases from SAM
8	"Bhaskar Steel & Ferro Alloys Ltd. (BSFAL) 8 MW captive power generation through waste heat	8 MW	1 Kiln X 350 TPD
9	AIPL WHRB 1&2	16 MW	2 Kilns X 350 TPD
10	SMC WHRB 1&2	16 MW	2 Kilns X 300 TPD
11	SML WHRB CPP	17.7 MW	1 Kiln X 300 TPD 4 Kilns X 100 TPD 2 Kilns X 50 TPD
12	Bhushan Power and Steel Limited– Waste Heat Recovery Based Captive Power Project.	40 MW & 60 MW	4 Kilns X 500 TPD
13	Aarti Steels Limited – Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project	12 MW	1 Kiln X 500 TPD
14	Utilization of waste heat of Coke Dry Cooling Plant gas for power generation at NINL, India	5.3 MW	Coke Oven gases
15	SHYAM DRI WHR CPP	19 MW	2 Kiln X 350 TPD
16	10 MW Waste Heat Recovery (WHR) project by Deepak Steels and Power Limited, India	10 MW	2 Kiln X 50 TPD, 2 Kiln X 100 TPD
