



**Validation report form for post-registration changes for  
CDM project activities  
(Version 03.0)**

*Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.*

**BASIC INFORMATION**

<b>Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity</b>	DAEGU & SINANJEUNGDO PV(PHOTOVOLTAIC) POWER PLANT PROJECT (Ref.1883)
<b>Process track</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
<b>Version number of the validation report</b>	Version 02.0
<b>Completion date of the validation report</b>	21/10/2019
<b>Type(s) of PRCs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <sup>1</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
<b>Version number of PDD to which this report applies</b>	Version 08.0
<b>Project participants</b>	Korea District Heating Corporation(KDHC)
<b>Host Party</b>	Republic of Korea
<b>Applied methodologies and standardized baselines</b>	- AMS I.D (Version 12) : Grid connected renewable electricity Generation - ACM0002 (Version 06) : Consolidated methodology for grid Connected electricity generation from renewable sources
<b>Mandatory sectoral scopes</b>	1 : Energy industries(renewable-/non-renewable sources)

<sup>1</sup> Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

<b>Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable</b>	N/A
<b>Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</b>	KTR (Korea Testing and Research Institute) (Ref. E-0056)
<b>Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report</b>	JINTAE KIM 김진태

**SECTION A. Executive summary**

&gt;&gt;

Korea District Heating Corporation (hereafter called “KDHC”) has commissioned Korea Testing and Research Institute (hereinafter referred to as “KTR”) to validate the post-registration change of CDM project titled “DAEGU & SINANJEUNGDO PV(PHOTOVOLTAIC) POWER PLANT PROJECT” (hereinafter referred to as the proposed project).

The purpose of this project is to generate the electricity using Solar Cells which generate a photoelectric effect in the presence of sunlight and consist of a solar cell module array, a power conditioning system, a step-up transformer and electric power grid connecting system. The solar cell module array inverts a photovoltaic power to a direct current electricity power. The power conditioning system inverts a direct current to an alternating current. The step-up transformer increases the voltage of electric power and then the electric power is supplied to a power transmission line. While the Daegu Project uses only fixed type, the SinanJeungdo Project uses both solar tracking type and fixed type.

The generated electricity by this project is delivered to the KEPCO Grid dominated by fossil fuel-based plants. Consequently, this project displaces partly a fossil fuel-based electricity generation which leads to greenhouse gases emissions.

This report summarizes the findings of the validation of the post-registration changes, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The objective of a validation is to provide a thorough and independent third party assessment of the post –registration changes. In particular, the changes’ compliance with relevant UNFCCC criteria is validated in order to confirm that the changes meet the applicable CDM requirements and the identified criteria.

The validation consists of the following three phases:

- i) Objective review of the revised PDD and other relevant documents,
- ii) Following up interviews with PP,
- iii) Resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final post-registration changes validation report and opinion.

The first output of the validation process is a list of Clarification Requests, Corrective Actions Requests, and Forward Actions Requests (CLs, CARs and FARs), presented in Appendix 4. Taking into account this output, the PP revised its project design document.

In summary it is KTR’s opinion that the project correctly applies the baseline and monitoring methodology AMS-I.D(ver.12), ACM0002(ver.06) and meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM.

The KTR thus requests CDM-EB to approve the post-registration changes addressed for the CDM project activity.

**SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**

&gt;&gt;

**B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	LEE	Bongjae	KTR	X	X	X	X
2.	Verifier	IR	PARK	Hyemi	KTR	X	X	X	X

**B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	JUNG	Kyuhong	KTR
2.	Approver	IR	KIM	Jintae	KTR

**SECTION C. Means of validation****C.1. Desk/document review**

&gt;&gt;

The revised PDD<sup>/02/</sup> submitted by KDHC and additional background documents related to the project design and monitoring plan were reviewed by using KTR internal Quality procedures. Furthermore, the validation team used additional documentation from third parties such as host party legislation, technical reports referring to the basic condition, and technical data.

**C.2. On-site inspection**

Duration of on-site inspection: 02/08/2019				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member

1.	Implementation and Operation of the CDM project activity based on the registered Monitoring Plan and physical features of the project activity as per registered PDD	SinanJeungdo, Republic of Korea	02/08/2019	Bongjae Lee Hyemi Park
2.	Information flows to generate, aggregate and report the monitoring parameters			
3.	Competency of operational personnel, monitoring personnel and calibrating agencies			
4.	Data collection procedures			
5.	Calibration performance and monitoring practices followed for the monitoring equipment used in the project activity			
6.	Quality Control and Quality Assurance procedures against the approved monitoring plan			
7.	Calibration and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reduction			
8.	Compliance with CDM criterion and relevant guidance with respect to MP			
9.	Level of accuracy (Materiality) of the monitoring activity			

### C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	SEO	Myeonggwae	KDHC	02/08/2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Headquarter of Korea District Heating Corporation/ CDM management</li> <li>- General aspects of the project Changes made since the previous validation</li> <li>- Remaining issues from the previous validation</li> <li>- Quality management system</li> <li>- Procedural aspects of the verification</li> <li>- Environmental aspect</li> <li>- Involved personnel and responsibilities</li> <li>- Training and practice of the operational personnel</li> <li>- Implementation of the monitoring plan</li> <li>- Monitoring data management</li> <li>- Data uncertainty and residual risks</li> <li>- GHG calculation</li> </ul>	Bongjae Lee Hyemi Park
2	LIM	Seongho	KDHC	02/08/2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Gwangju-Jeonnang Branch of Korea District Heating Corporation / Operation at the SinanJeungdo PV power plant site</li> <li>- Monitoring and measuring equipments</li> <li>- Data collection procedures</li> </ul>	Bongjae Lee Hyemi Park
3	CHOI	Yongjun	KDHC	02/08/2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Gwangju-Jeonnang Branch of Korea District Heating</li> </ul>	Bongjae Lee Hyemi Park

					Corporation / Maintenance and management at the SinanJeungdo PV power plant site - Technical equipments and their operation - Records of metering instruments - Calibration procedures	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

#### C.4. Sampling approach

&gt;&gt;

Not applicable

#### C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	-	-	-
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	-	-	-
Corrections	-	-	-
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	-	-	-
Inclusion of a monitoring plan	-	-	-
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	-	-	-
Changes to the project design	-	-	-
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	-	-	-
Others (please specify)	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

### SECTION D. Validation findings

#### D.1. Compliance with PDD form

<b>Means of validation</b>	(VVS 278) The DOE shall determine whether the revised PDD reflecting the post-registration changes were prepared in both track-change and clean versions, and were completed using the valid version of the applicable PDD form.
<b>Findings</b>	There is no CAR/CL raised in this section.
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>The validation team conducted the document review on the following document to validate whether the revised PDD is compliance with the PDD form.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UNFCCC website for the latest form for the revised PDD<sup>/02/</sup></li> <li>CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM (ver.11.0) and Attachment: Instructions for filling out the PDD form for small-scale CDM project activity<sup>/05/</sup>.</li> </ol> <p>The following is confirmed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The PDD is completed using the valid version of PDD at the time of submission and the PP used the appropriate template (i.e. small scale)</li> <li>All the information has been correctly transferred from the registered PDD (ver.07)<sup>/01/</sup>.</li> <li>The PDD is in compliance with the instruction provided in the template.</li> <li>As per the requirement of the PRC, both clean and track change versions<sup>/02/</sup> of the PDD are submitted for validation.</li> </ol> <p>The validation team has concluded that the PDD has been completed using the valid version of the applicable PDD form and that the guidelines given in the template itself has been properly followed.</p>

## D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

## D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	(VVS 289) The DOE shall state how the corrected information accurately reflects the actual project information and/or how the corrected parameters reflect the application of the applied methodologies, the registered monitoring plan, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents.				
Findings	There is no CAR/CL raised in this section.				
Conclusion	<p>1. Monitoring Organization (Clause B.7.2)</p> <p>Daegu &amp; SinanJeungdo PV Plant would be operated and monitored by KDHC Daegu branch's operation teams through the remote operating and monitoring system.</p> <p>But KDHC was reorganized on 01/01/2015, and the CDM monitoring structure was changed. Accordingly, the right to manage and operate SinanJeungdo PV was changed from Daegu branch to Gwangju Jeonnam branch.</p> <p>direct monitoring and its management (PV power plant operation &amp; management, monitoring data collection &amp; storage) are carried out by Operation Team and Maintenance Team of Daegu Branch(for the Daegu PV power plant) and Gwangju-Jeonnam Branch(for the SinanJeungdo PV power plant). Plant Management Division at headquarter office takes charge of the calculation of emission reductions and the preparation of monitoring report.</p> <p><b>Validation opinion :</b>  <i>- Monitoring Organization</i>  As a result of the re-organization of KDHC effective from 01/01/2015, management department had been changed as follows :</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Title Before re-organization</th><th>Title After re-organization</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Daegu Branch</td><td>Gwangju-Jeonnam Branch</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The validation Team confirmed that the subject of responsibility for the management and operation of SinanJeungdo PV project was changed from the Daegu branch to the Gwangju branch through the office organization regulations and Internal official letter of the KDHC and interviewing staff of Gwangju branch.</p> <p>Also, it was confirmed that such changes are accurately reflected in the revised PDD.</p> <p>Therefore, the validation Team concluded that change of monitoring organization of KDHC did not affect the amount of project reduction.</p> <p>With reference to the finding above, this correction falls under section 1(a) appendix of PS ver.2.0, which do not require prior approval by the EB.</p>	Title Before re-organization	Title After re-organization	Daegu Branch	Gwangju-Jeonnam Branch
Title Before re-organization	Title After re-organization				
Daegu Branch	Gwangju-Jeonnam Branch				

## D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

## D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

**D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents**

<b>Means of validation</b>	N/A
<b>Findings</b>	N/A
<b>Conclusion</b>	N/A

**D.7. Changes to the project design**

Means of validation	(VVS 300)The DOE shall determine whether there are proposed or actual changes to the project design of a registered CDM project activity, and, if there are, determine whether the changes comply with the relevant requirements in the “CDM project standard for project activities”.																								
Findings	There is no CAR/CL raised in this section.																								
Conclusion	1. Changes of modules (Clause A.3)																								
	KDHC replaced two arrays of the SinanJeungdo solar tracking type modules because the modules were damaged by strong winds. One array of modules was replaced on 07/12/2016, the other was replaced on 25/10/2018. As the existing modules model has been discontinued, it was replaced with new modules with a high peak power. But the total capacity is the same as the number of modules is reduced.																								
	<table><tr><td>Replacement</td><td>Replacement date</td><td>Before the change</td><td>After the change</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">2 Array of the SinanJeungdo solar tracking type modules</td><td>26-12-2016</td><td>175Wp * 18EA</td><td>260Wp * 12EA</td></tr><tr><td>25-10-2018</td><td>175Wp * 18EA</td><td>350Wp * 9EA</td></tr></table>						Replacement	Replacement date	Before the change	After the change	2 Array of the SinanJeungdo solar tracking type modules	26-12-2016	175Wp * 18EA	260Wp * 12EA	25-10-2018	175Wp * 18EA	350Wp * 9EA								
	Replacement	Replacement date	Before the change	After the change																					
	2 Array of the SinanJeungdo solar tracking type modules	26-12-2016	175Wp * 18EA	260Wp * 12EA																					
		25-10-2018	175Wp * 18EA	350Wp * 9EA																					
	<b>Validation opinion :</b>																								
	The validation team confirmed that the tracking type modules was damaged by the strong wind through the on-site visit and interview with the staffs, and the two arrays were replaced.																								
	In the case of array replacement on 26/12/2016, the existing module model was discontinued and changed to the most similar module model. This changed the capacity of the module array from 3,150Wp to 3,120Wp. In the case of array replacement on 25/10/2018, the capacity was changed as before.																								
	As for the capacity change due to the module array change, the expected power capacity of the Sinanjeungdo PV project was changed from 806.4kWp to 806.37kWp, and the rate of change was 0.00372%, which is lower than the accuracy of the electricity meter, 0.5%.																								
In the registered PDD, the generation capacity is indicated as 800 kW, but the actual installed capacity was 806.4 kw, and the total capacity was changed to 806.37 kw after 2016 by replacing the module. The amount of total net electricity exported to grid for each dose is 1,181, 1,190.295, 1,190.244 MWh																									
<table><tr><th colspan="4">Registered PDD</th><th colspan="2">Revised PDD</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Capacity (kW)</th><th>Utilization rate (%)</th><th>Estimated Uptime (hr)</th><th>Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)</th><th>Capacity (kW)</th><th>Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)</th></tr><tr><td>Fixed</td><td>453.60</td><td>15.0</td><td>8,760</td><td>596.030</td><td>453.60</td><td>596.030</td></tr></table>						Registered PDD				Revised PDD		Capacity (kW)		Utilization rate (%)	Estimated Uptime (hr)	Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)	Capacity (kW)	Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)	Fixed	453.60	15.0	8,760	596.030	453.60	596.030
Registered PDD				Revised PDD																					
Capacity (kW)		Utilization rate (%)	Estimated Uptime (hr)	Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)	Capacity (kW)	Estimated amount of total net electricity exported to grid (MWh)																			
Fixed	453.60	15.0	8,760	596.030	453.60	596.030																			



	Tracking (one axis type)	50.40	17.6	8,760	77.705	50.40	77.705
	Tracking (both axis type)	302.40	19.5	8,760	516.560	296.10	505.798
						3.12	5.330
						3.15	5.381
	Total	806.40	-	-	1,190.295	806.37	1,190.244

As shown in the table below, the verification team confirmed that the actual amount of electricity supplied to grid by year for the past 5 years is about 20.46% smaller than estimated amount of electricity supplied to grid, and project activities after 2016, when modules capacity changes occurred, are also in a similar trend.

Year	Estimated amount of electricity exported to grid (MWh)	Actual amount of electricity exported to grid (MWh)	rate (%)
01/01/2014 ~ 31/12/2014	1,190.295	969.6293	18.54
01/01/2015 ~ 31/12/2015	1,190.295	973.849	18.18
01/01/2016 ~ 31/12/2016	1,190.295	907.3	23.78
01/01/2017 ~ 31/12/2017	1,190.244	968.218	18.65
01/01/2018 ~ 31/12/2018	1,190.244	914.481	23.17
Average			20.46

Therefore, the validation team confirmed that the replacement of the modules did not result in any additionality and the scale of the project activity.

With reference to the finding above, this changes to the project design falls under section 1(d) appendix of PS ver.2.0, which do not require prior approval by the EB.

#### D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

#### SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Internal quality control within the team is assured through a technical review process that takes place after the on-site assessment and after closure of findings. The internal quality control in the validation process affects the final decision

When performing the technical review, the reviewer ensures that:

The validation activity has been performed by the technical team by exercising utmost diligence and complete adherence to the CDM rules and requirements.

The review encompasses all aspects related to the project, which includes project design, baseline, additionality, MPs and emission reduction calculations, internal quality assurance systems of the PP, as well as the project activity, closure of CARs and CLs during the validation exercise, review of sample document.

The finalized validation opinion will be accepted for further processing such as uploading via the UNFCCC interface.

#### SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

KTR has performed a validation of post-registration changes of DAEGU & SINANJEUNGDO PV(PHOTOVOLTAIC) POWER PLANT PROJECT, CDM Registration Reference Number 1883. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the CDM, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The validation consists of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project related document and additional background documents for the PRC; ii) following-up interviews with the PPs; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final validation report and opinion. The review of the revised project design document, relevant additional information and the subsequent following-up interviews have provided KTR with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment to stated criteria. In our opinion, the post-registration changes meet all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM. KTR thus requests approval of post-registration changes addressed for the project activity.

## Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
AMS	Approved small scale methodologies
CA	Corrective Action
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CDM-EB	CDM Executive Board
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
CL	Clarification Request
CMP	Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
COP	Conference of the Parties
COP/MOP	The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
EF	Emission Factor
ER	External Resource
ER	Emission Reduction
FAR	Forward Action Request
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IR	Internal Resource
KEPCO	Korea electric power corporation
KP	Kyoto Protocol
KPX	Korea power exchange
KTR	Korea Testing & Research Institute
K-Water	Korea Water Resources Corporation
MOC	Modalities of Communication
MP	Monitoring Plan
PDD	Project Design Document
PP	Project Participant
PS	Project Standard
VVS	Clean Development Mechanism Validation And Verification Standard

## Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Certificate for Achievement



**KTR**  
한국화학융합시험연구원  
K O R E A   T E S T I N G   &   R E S E A R C H   I N S T I T U T E

### Certificate of Authorization

**Name** : LEE, Bongjae

**Date of Birth** : August 6th, 1978

**Certificate Number** : 2019CDM - 002

We, hereby certify that above mentioned person is qualified for the technical areas specified below in compliance with Appendix 2 of CDM Accreditation Standard Ver 7.0 and CDM quality procedure (CDM-QP-07).

**Scope of Authorization :**

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA	STATUS
1.1	Thermal energy generation	Lead Validator/Verifier
1.2	Renewables	Lead Validator/Verifier
3.1	Energy demand	Lead Validator/Verifier
4.1	Cement and lime production	Lead Validator/Verifier
13.1	Solid waste and waste water	Lead Validator/Verifier

**Valid until : July 5th, 2022**

**July 5th, 2019**



**한국화학융합시험연구원장**  
Korea Testing and Research Institute



**KTR**

한국화학융합시험연구원

K O R E A   T E S T I N G   &amp;   R E S E A R C H   I N S T I T U T E

# Certificate of Authorization

**Name** : PARK, Hyemi  
**Date of Birth** : February 15th, 1986  
**Certificate Number** : 2019CDM - 003

We, hereby certify that above mentioned person is qualified for the technical areas specified below in compliance with Appendix 2 of CDM Accreditation Standard Ver 7.0 and CDM quality procedure (CDM-QP-07).

**Scope of Authorization :**

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA	STATUS
1.2	Energy generation from renewable energy sources	Full-time Validator/Verifier
13.1	Waste handling and disposal	Full-time Validator/Verifier

**Valid until : July 5th, 2022****July 5th, 2019**

**한국화학융합시험연구원장**  
 Korea Testing and Research Institute



### Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	KDHC	Registered PDD(ver.07)	N/A	PP
2	KDHC	Revised PDD (ver. 08) with clean version, Revised PDD (ver. 08) with tracking version,	N/A	PP
3	UNFCCC CDM	PS version 2.0	N/A	Other
4	UNFCCC CDM	VVS version 2.0		
5	UNFCCC CDM	CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM ver.11.0	N/A	Other
6	KDHC	re-organization rules of KDHC on 01/01/2015	N/A	PP
7	KDHC	Internal official letter dated on 07/12/2016, 25/10/2018.	N/A	PP

### Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CL			
N/A			
Project participant response			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			
Documentation provided by project participant			
N/A			
DOE assessment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			

Table 2. CARs from this validation

CAR ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CAR			
N/A			
Project participant response			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			
Documentation provided by project participant			
N/A			
DOE assessment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			

Table 3. FARs from this validation

FAR ID	xx	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR			
N/A			
Project participant response			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			
Documentation provided by project participant			
N/A			
DOE assessment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
N/A			

- - - - -

**Document information**

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (CDM-EB93-A05-STAN);</li><li>• Make editorial improvements.</li></ul>
02.0	31 October 2017	Revision to align with the requirements in the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0).
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		