

Comments from CDM Team

1: Scope: The monitoring report does not contain information on calibration of monitoring instruments (frequency, relevant dates of calibration and/or validity) as specified by the monitoring methodology and the monitoring plan. (EB48 - Annex 68 paragraph 10 (a) (iv) & EB 54 Annex 34)

Issue: The calibration dates provided in the Monitoring Report for the flow meter (3/11/2008) and the weighting instrument (31/10/2008) don't cover the entire monitoring period.

BVC Reply: Please refer to Section 3.3 of VR, page 16,17 and section 3.4 of VR, page 22.

2: Scope: The verification report does not determine if the assumptions used in emission calculations have been justified and/or emission factors, default values and other reference values have been correctly applied. (VVM v.1.2 para 208 (d) & (e))

Issue 1: The monitoring report (page 34) states that the emissions from avoiding methane from decaying EFB are calculated as 33,297 tCO₂e for 2008 as this is the first year that EFB is removed from wastes accumulating since 2003. The Verification Report does not provide information on how it assessed that the EFB is being accumulated since year 2003.

BVC Reply: Please refer to Section 3.4 of VR, page 19.

Issue 2: The verification report does not contain information on how the DOE determined that the assumptions applied in the calculation of Baseline Emissions from EFB are correct. Particularly, the input parameters used for the FOD model, such as the yearly values of the amount of EFB which decay is avoided through controlled combustion.

BVC Reply: Please refer to Section 3.4 of VR, page 21.