

CDM-EB70-AA-A04

Status report on the workplans of the Accreditation Panel, Methodologies Panel, Small-scale Working Group and Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (2012)

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

COVER NOTE

1. Procedural background

1. The Board at its sixty-sixth meeting adopted the workplans of the of the CDM Accreditation Panel , Methodologies Panel, Small-Scale Working Group and Afforestation/Reforestation Working Group for 2012, as contained, respectively, in annex 3, annex 4, annex 5, and annex 6 to the meeting report. The Board noted that, for deliverables mandated to the secretariat through the CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) 2012, including specific activities related to the top-down development of standards and guidelines, and contained in the workplans, the relevant panels and working groups will be consulted to provide their feedback to the secretariat.

2. Purpose

2. The status of implementation of the work plans of the panels and working groups aim to provide transparent information to the Board and the public about the work undertaken by these bodies against the approved plans early in the year.

3. Key issues and proposed solutions

3. There are no specific issues raised in this document. Changes on the workplans, as agreed to by the Board at its sixty-seventh, sixty-eight and sixth-ninth meetings, are reflected in the status column in tables 1-8.

4. Impacts

4. The report of the implementation of the workplans of panels and working groups, will ensure efficiency and transparency of the decision-making process and facilitate the Board's management of its workload when planning work for 2013.

5. Proposed work and timelines

5. The workplans for 2013 of the panels and working groups will be prepared for consideration of the Board at its first meeting in 2013, based on the approved CDM-MAP 2013.

6. Recommendations to the Board

6. The secretariat recommends the Board to take note of the report of the panels and working groups and to provide comments, as appropriate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	4
Table 1. Workplan for the Accreditation Panel 2012 – Core support activities	5
Table 2. Workplan for the Accreditation Panel 2012 – Deliverables CDM business plan 2012 -2013	7
Table 3. Workplan for the Small-scale Working Group 2012 – Core support activities	9
Table 4. Workplan for the Small-scale Working Group 2012 - Deliverables CDM Business Plan 2012-2013	12
Table 5. Workplan for the Methodologies Panel 2012 – Core support activities	22
Table 6. Workplan for the Methodologies Panel 2012 - Deliverables CDM Business Plan 2012 -2013	25
Table 7. Workplan for the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group 2012 – Core support activities	32
Table 8. Workplan for the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group 2012 - Deliverables CDM Business Plan 2012-2013	39

1. Introduction

1. The CDM Executive Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) support structure: Accreditation Panel (AP), the Methodological Panel (MP), the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) are established under the Board to assist in the performance of its functions related to methodological issues concerning small-scale clean development mechanism (CDM) project activities. The mandate of the panels and working groups is determined by its terms of reference, the rules of procedure of the Board, and additional guidance provided by the Board.
2. The workplans of the panels and working groups for 2012, adopted at EB66, outlined the expected tasks of the AP, MP, SSC WG and A/R WG to support the Board and have been set by the EB in conjunction with the CDM-MAP 2012. They provided details of the activities, expected outputs and timelines associated with each related CDM-MAP 2012 objective.
3. This status report presents progress made by the panels and working groups in implementing the activities outlined in the workplans.

Table 1. Workplan for the Accreditation Panel 2012 – Core support activities

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Assessment of compliance to CDM requirements relating to project and entity submissions	New application for accreditation	Consideration of the new applications for accreditation	Recommendations for accreditation of new operational entities	Recommendations for accreditation of new operational entities: 4
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Assessment of compliance to CDM requirements relating to project and entity submissions	Continuous monitoring of compliance of the designated operational entities (DOEs) with the CDM accreditation standard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of the results of the DOE performance monitoring • Consideration of the assessment plans of individual DOEs • Consideration of the applications for re-accreditation • Consideration of the reports on the regular surveillance assessments of the central offices and the non-central sites of DOEs • Consideration of the reports on the performance assessments of DOEs • Consideration of the reports on spot-checks of DOEs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendations for re-accreditation of DOEs • Recommendations for suspension and withdrawal of accreditation of DOEs • Notifications on completed assessment activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendations for re-accreditation: 18 • Recommendations for suspension and withdrawal of accreditation: 3 • Recommendations for extension of accreditation period: 20 • Recommendations on transfer of accreditation: 1 • Notifications on completed assessment activities: 102

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Assessment of compliance to CDM requirements relating to project and entity submissions	Complaints and disputes from and against DOEs	Consideration of the disputes submitted against DOEs and by DOEs against the CDM assessment teams (CDM-AT) or the secretariat, in accordance with the CDM accreditation procedure	Recommendations for consideration by the Board	Recommendations for consideration by the Board: 1
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Assessment of compliance to CDM requirements relating to project and entity submissions	Enhanced capacity and consistency of the CDM assessment team (CDM-AT) experts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluation of qualifications, performance monitoring of CDM-AT experts Direct interaction with CDM-AT leaders 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluation of qualifications and performance of CDM-AT experts conducted at each meeting Direct interaction with CDM-AT leaders conducted on 21 June 2012
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Facilitating defined interactions between the Board, the secretariat and stakeholders	Direct interaction with stakeholders	Direct interaction with the Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum;		Direct interaction with the Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum conducted at AP 58 and AP 61

Table 2. Workplan for the Accreditation Panel 2012 – Deliverables CDM business plan 2012-2013

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
<p>A. Greater efficiency and enhanced predictability in the operation of the CDM through simplification, improved objectivity and integrity, and compliance with sustainable timelines</p> <p>Document CDM-AP decision-making process</p> <p>Revise and implement CDM accreditation procedure</p> <p>Revised procedure for monitoring the performance of DOEs,</p> <p>Concept note on the improvement of the procedure for monitoring the performance of DOEs CDM accreditation</p>	Improvement of the CDM accreditation process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of the guidelines to achieve consistent decision-making process by the CDM-AP Consulted on the DOE performance monitoring procedure Consulted on the CDM accreditation procedure Consulted on the CDM accreditation standard 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines for deciding on CDM Accreditation Panel Recommendations Feedback on the CDM accreditation procedure Feedback on clarifications to the CDM accreditation standard. Feedback on the CDM accreditation standard (phase 1) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines and a compendium of practices submitted to EB 66 and EB 69 Feedback on the procedure provided at AP 62 Feedback on the clarifications provided at AP 58-AP 62 Feedback on the standard provided at AP 58-AP 62 	<p>EB 66</p> <p>EB 70</p> <p>EB 66 - EB 70</p> <p>EB 67</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
standard revised to improve sections on competency Requirements					
A. Greater efficiency and enhanced predictability in the operation of the CDM through simplification, improved objectivity and integrity, and compliance with sustainable timelines	Alignment of the CDM and JI accreditation processes	<p>Activities will be undertaken in collaboration with the JI-AP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of the key CDM accreditation documents, including CDM accreditation procedure and CDM accreditation standard with the respective JI accreditation documents Alignment of the CDM accreditation practices with the respective JI accreditation practices Establishment of a common CDM/JI accreditation roster of experts Consulted on a draft proposal for establishment of a unified accreditation process for CDM and JI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback on the CDM accreditation procedure Feedback on the CDM accreditation standard. <p>(Note: these deliverables are the same under the item "Improvement of the CDM accreditation process" above)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback on the procedure provided at AP 62 Feedback on the standard provided at AP 58-62 Joint session with the JI-AP conducted at AP 58 and AP 61 	EB 70

Table 3. Workplan for the Small-scale Working Group 2012 – Core support activities

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of proposed new methodologies (PNMs) and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	New SSC methodology submissions	Consideration of SSC PNMs as per procedures ¹	(a) Recommendations to the Board on draft PNMs for consideration by the Board (b) Draft reformatted methodologies for cases recommended for approval	(a) Recommendations: 11 (b) Recommendations: 11
	Requests for clarification and requests for revision submitted by project participants	Consideration of requests for clarification and requests for revision submitted by project participants as per procedures ²	(a) Draft responses to requests for revision and clarification (b) Draft revised SSC methodologies for cases recommended to be revised for consideration by the Board	(a) Recommendations: 73 (b) Recommendations: 22

¹ EB 40, annex 2 “Procedures for the submission of a proposed new small scale methodology” (version 03)

² EB 34, annex 7 “Procedures for the revision of an approved small scale methodology by the Executive Board” (version 01)” and EB 34, annex 6 “Procedures for the submission and consideration of request for clarification on the application of approved small scale methodologies” (version 01)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
	Requests for deviation from an approved SSC methodology	Consideration of requests for deviation from an approved SSC methodology in accordance with relevant procedures ³	Recommendations on requests for deviation from an approved SSC methodology for consideration by the Board	Recommendations: 0
	New standardized baselines submissions from designated national authorities (DNAs)	Consideration of proposed new standardized baselines submitted by DNAs as per procedures	Recommendations on draft proposed new standardized baselines	Recommendations: 0

³ EB 49, annex 4 “Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology” (version 01)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of PNMs and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	Direct communication with stakeholders	Consideration of stakeholder communications directly referred to the working group by the secretariat ⁴	Recommendation on responses to stakeholders' communications	Recommendations: 0
	Request for approval of changes to project design documents (PDDs)	Provide inputs to request for approval of changes to PDDs upon request by the secretariat ⁵	Recommendation on request for approval of changes to PDDs	Recommendations: 0
	DNA submissions on specific renewable technologies/measures deemed automatic additionality on microscale CDM project activities implemented in that country	Draft recommendation, independently assess the proposed specific renewable technologies/measures and the draft recommendation ⁶	Recommendation on the submissions on specific renewable technologies/measures for additionality	Recommendations: 8

⁴ EB 62, annex 15 "Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders"

⁵ EB 65, annex 32 "Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure"

⁶ EB 65, annex 33 "Procedure for submission and consideration of microscale renewable energy technologies for automatic additionality"

Table 4. Workplan for the Small-scale Working Group 2012 - Deliverables CDM business plan 2012-2013

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(i) - Ensure operational capacity and improve efficiency in the operation of the CDM	Improvement to the guidelines on suppressed demand	(a) Consultation on draft revised guidelines on suppressed demand	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised guideline	(a) Feedback provided at SSC WG 37	(a) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)
		(b) Consultation on a concept note on treatment of suppressed demand in approved methodologies	(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft assessment report on treatment of suppressed demand in approved methodologies	(b) Feedback provided at SSC WG 36	(b) EB 67 (SSCWG 36)
		(c) Consideration of draft revised methodologies to address suppressed demand	(c) Recommendation on four draft revised methodologies to address suppressed demand	(c) The Board agreed on the following list of approved methodologies as priorities (EB 67, para. 105): (i) AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user; (ii) AMS-I.E "Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by	(c) EB 68–EB 70 (SSC WG 37–39)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
				<p>the user";</p> <p>(iii) AMS-II.E "Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for buildings";</p> <p>(iv) AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems".</p> <p>(i) Revision of AMS-I.A approved at EB 69</p> <p>(ii) At EB 67 the Board agreed to approve the approach to calculate default values of fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB). Revision of AMS-I.E approved at EB 68 to include standardized approach for fNRB.</p> <p>(iii) At the SSC WG 39 meeting, the group agreed to recommend to develop a new top-down methodology for</p>	

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
				<p>space heating in residential buildings, which is presented to EB 70 as a draft to launch a call for public input. The methodology contains elements of suppressed demand.</p> <p>(iv) Revision of AMS-III.AR approved at EB 69</p> <p>Further approved in 2012:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revision of AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting” at EB 67, • New methodology AMS-I.L “Electrification of rural communities using renewable energy” at EB 66, • New methodology AMS-III.BB “Electrification of communities through grid extension or construction of new mini-grids” at EB 67 	

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(i) - Ensure operational capacity and improve efficiency in the operation of the CDM	Implementation of the Programme of Activity (PoA) standards and procedures	(a) Consultation on draft annexes to the sampling standard to provide best practice examples (b) Consultation on a draft assessment report on cross effects when applying multiple methodologies to PoA and possible development of guidance	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft annexes to the sampling standard (b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft assessment report	(a) Feedback provided by (task force SSC WG and MP) (b) Feedback provided at SSC WG 37	(a) EB 66 & 69 (SSC WG 35 & 38) (b) EB 67 - 69 (SSC WG 36–38)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Top-down development and revisions of small-scale methodologies	Consideration of new SSC methodologies developed top-down by the secretariat	<p>Recommendation on four new draft SSC methodologies:</p> <p>(a) For efficient pumping and/or irrigation</p> <p>(b) On energy efficiency measures in buildings</p> <p>(c) For solar cooking applications for households;</p> <p>(d) On energy-efficient lighting in commercial buildings</p>	<p>(a) AMS-II.P “Energy efficient pump-set for agriculture use” approved at EB 68 (top down + submission SSC-NM080-rev)</p> <p>(b) EB 66 call for public inputs; AMS-II.Q “Energy efficiency and/or energy supply projects in commercial buildings” approved at EB 68</p> <p>(c) AMS-I.K “Solar cookers for households” approved at EB 66</p> <p>(d) AMS-II.N “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of energy efficient lighting and/or controls in buildings” approved at EB 66</p>	<p>(a) EB 67 (SSC WG 36)</p> <p>(b) EB 67 (SSC WG 36)</p> <p>(c) EB 66 (SSC WG 35)</p> <p>(d) EB 66 (SSC WG 35)</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Top-down development and revisions of small-scale methodologies	Consideration of revised SSC methodologies developed by the secretariat (incorporating improvements)	<p>Recommendation on four draft revised SSC methodologies:</p> <p>(a) Revised standard AMS-II.C "Demandside energy efficiency activities for specific technologies"</p> <p>(b) Revised standard AMS-III.AJ "Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes"</p> <p>(c) Revised standard AMS-III.AE "Energy efficiency and renewable energy"</p>	<p>(a) EB 66 call for public inputs; Revision of AMS-II.C approved at EB 68</p> <p>(b) Deliverable changed per request at EB 68 to "Top-down development of a new methodology for recycling of materials (scrap tyres)" with delivery at EB 70; call for public input at EB 68</p> <p>At the SSC WG 39 meeting, the group recommended to continue work to possibly expand applicability and include public input</p> <p>(c) + (d) Changed per request at EB 68 to delivery at EB 70;</p>	<p>(a) EB 67 (SSC WG 36)</p> <p>(b) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)</p> <p>(c) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
			measures in new residential buildings” (d) Revised standard AMS-II.E “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for buildings” new residential buildings”	call for public input at EB 68; At the SSC WG 39 meeting, the group recommended to prepared top-down a new methodology for space heating in residential buildings, with an option to focus on rural areas; draft new version for public input at EB 70	(d) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Top-down small-scale methodologies using standardized approaches	Consideration of new SSC methodologies developed top-down by the secretariat	<p>Recommendation on standardized baselines for consideration by the Board.</p> <p>Following the guidance by EB 60, the SSC WG has initiated work:</p> <p>(a) Methodologies using standardized approaches on rural energy supply (non-renewable biomass)</p> <p>(b) Methodologies using standardized approaches on rural energy supply (biogas)</p> <p>(c) Methodologies using standardized approaches on agriculture</p> <p>(d) Methodologies using standardized approaches on transport</p>	<p>(a) EB 67 approved the approach to calculate the fNRB values</p> <p>(b) EB 68 approved revision of AMS-I.E and AMS I.I “</p> <p>(c) EB 68 approved revision of AMS-III.AU</p> <p>(d) EB 68 call for public input; the SSC WG recommended at its 38th meeting to focus on other areas of simplification of existing transport methodologies instead</p>	<p>EB 67–EB 69 (SSC WG 36 - 38)</p> <p>(a) EB 67 (SSC WG 36)</p> <p>(b) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)</p> <p>(c) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)</p> <p>(d) EB 69 (SSC WG 38)</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Revised microscale additionality guidelines and extension of simplified modalities for additionality demonstration	(a) Consultation on draft revised guidelines on demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised microscale additionality guidelines	(a) feedback provided at SSC WG 36 and SSC WG 37	(a) EB 67 - 68 (SSC WG 36 - 37)
		(b) Consultation on draft revised attachment A of appendix B of simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities	(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the proposal for the extension of simplified modalities for additionality demonstration	(b) feedback provided at SSC WG 36 and SSC WG 37	(b) EB 67 - EB 68 (SSC WG 36 - 37)
B.(ii) - Enhanced promotion and further development of the mechanism	Standardized baselines	(a) Consultation on a draft annex to the guidelines defining options for the setting and approval of values of the relevant thresholds and analysis of implications of these options	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft annex on thresholds	(a) feedback provided at SSC WG 37	(a) EB 68 (SSC WG 37)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (EB meeting to consider the output)
		for the sectors covered (b) Consultation on draft guidelines with expanded applicability to transport sector projects	(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised guidelines to transport sector projects	(b) feedback provided at SSC WG 38	(b) EB 69 (SSC WG 38)

Table 5. Workplan for the Methodologies Panel 2012 – Core support activities

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of proposed new methodologies (PNM), including request for revision and clarification and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	New methodology submissions	Consideration of (PNM) as per procedures ⁷	(a) Recommendations to the Board on draft PNMs for consideration by the Board (b) Draft reformatted methodologies for cases recommended for approval	(a) Recommendations: 8 (b) Recommendations: 8
	Requests for clarification and requests for revision submitted by project participants	Consideration of requests for clarification and requests for revision submitted by project participants as per procedures ⁸	(a) Draft responses to requests for revision and clarification for consideration by the Board (b) Draft revised methodologies for cases recommended to be revised for consideration by the Board	(a) Recommendations: 23 (b) Recommendations: 20
	Requests for deviation from an approved methodology	Consideration of requests for deviation from an approved methodology in	Recommendations on requests for deviation from an approved methodology for consideration by the	Recommendations: 2

⁷ EB 52, annex 9 “*Procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for large scale CDM project activities*” (version. 01.0) and amended or new procedures for carbon capture and storage project activities to be adopted by the Board in 2012.

⁸ EB 42, annex 9 “*Submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies and methodological tools by Designated Operational Entities to the Meth Panel*” (applies mutatis mutandis to A/R and SSC A/R) (version. 06.0) and EB 54, annex 2 “*Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of AMs and tools for large scale CDM project activities*” (version. 01.0) and amended or new procedures for carbon capture and storage project activities to be adopted by the Board in 2012.

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
		accordance with relevant procedures ⁹	Board	
	Direct communication with stakeholders	Consideration of stakeholder communications directly referred to the panel by the secretariat ¹⁰	Recommendation on responses to stakeholders' communications	Recommendations: 0
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of PNM, including request for revision and clarification and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	Request for approval of changes to project design document (PDD)	Provide input to requests for approval of changes to PDDs upon request by the secretariat ¹¹	Recommendation on request for approval of changes to PDDs	Recommendations: 0
	New standardized baselines submissions from designated national authorities (DNAs) as referred to in paragraph 23 of the procedures ¹²	Consideration of proposed new standardized baselines submitted by DNAs as per procedures	Recommendations to the Board on draft proposed new standardized baselines	Recommendations: 1
	Top-down revisions to improve and simplify methodologies and tools	Consideration of draft top-down revisions of methodologies and tools to improve the usability, the accuracy of emission reduction	Recommendations to the Board on draft top-down revisions of methodologies Work initiated in 2011:	Recommendations: 16

⁹ EB 49, annex 4 "Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology" (version. 01.0)

¹⁰ EB 62, annex 15 "Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders"

¹¹ EB 65, annex 32 "Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure"

¹² EB 63, annex 28 "Procedure for submission and consideration of standardized baselines" (version 01.0)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status
		estimation methods or modular application of the methodologies as referred to in paragraph 11 of the methodologies revision procedures ⁸	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AM0025 • AM0030 • ACM0013 • ACM0014 • “Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane” • Revision of methodologies to refer to approved tools, as requested by the Board • Inclusion of provisions consistently across methodologies for leakage emissions from biomass use • Editorial amendments, as needed, to correct errors • Revisions triggered by the commenting system after approval by the Board 	

Table 6. Workplan for the Methodologies Panel 2012 - Deliverables CDM business plan 2012-2013

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
A.(i) - Ensure operational capacity and improve efficiency in the operation of the CDM	Improvement to the guidelines on suppressed demand	(a) Consultation on a concept note on the treatment of suppressed demand in approved methodologies	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the concept note	(a) Feedback provided at MP 55	(a) EB 67 (MP 55)
		(b) Consultation on draft revised suppressed demand guidelines	(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised guidelines	(b) Feedback provided at MP 56	(b) EB 68 (MP 56)
		(c) Consideration of draft revised methodologies to address suppressed demand	(c) Recommendation to the Board on draft revised methodologies to address suppressed demand	(c) The Board agreed on the following list of approved methodologies as priorities (EB 67, para 105): (i) AM0025 "Avoided emissions from organic waste through alternative waste treatment processes"; (ii) AM0086 "Installation of zero energy water purifier	(c) EB 68–EB 70 (MP 56-MP 58)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
				<p>for safe drinking water application”;</p> <p>(iii) AM0094 “Distribution of biomass based stove and/or heater for household or institutional use”;</p> <p>(iv) ACM0014 “Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from treatment of industrial wastewater”;</p> <p>(v) ACM0016 “Mass rapid transit projects”;</p> <p>(i) Revision initiated at MP57</p> <p>(ii) Recommendation by MP 58 to EB 70 not to revise the methodology</p> <p>(ii) Recommendation by MP 58 to EB 70 not to revise the methodology</p> <p>(iv) Revision initiated at MP57</p> <p>(v) Revision initiated at MP58</p>	

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
A.(i) - Ensure operational capacity and improve efficiency in the operation of the CDM	Implementation of the Programme of Activity (PoA) standards and procedures	(a) Consultation on a draft assessment report on cross effects when applying multiple methodologies to PoA and possible development of guidance	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on draft report	(a) Concept note : feedback provided at MP 55, EB took note and provided further input in EB 67	(a) EB 67–EB 69 (MP 55-MP 57)
		(b) Consideration of a draft revised large scale methodologies to include PoA provisions, prioritising those frequently used	(b) Recommendation to the Board on draft revised large-scale methodologies	(b) The Board at EB 67 & 68 (Recommendation and Information note: Use of methodologies under programmes of activities (PoAs)) Meth Panel recommended specific requirements and the approval on the use of large-scale methodologies under a PoA EB 67: AM0025; ACM0001; ACM0002; ACM0014 EB 68: AM0009; AM0025; AM0050; ACM0010; ACM0013; ACM0014	(b) EB 67–EB 69 (MP 55-MP 57)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Guidelines on standardized approaches for baseline determination	Consultation on the draft guidelines for determining baselines	Feedback to the secretariat on the draft guidelines	Feedback provided at MP 56	EB 68-EB 69 (MP 56 - MP 57)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Top-down large-scale methodologies using standardized approaches	<p>Consideration of two top-down draft new or revised methodologies or tools using standardized approaches:</p> <p>(a) Consideration of draft (revised) methodology or tool for off-grid regions</p> <p>(b) Consideration of draft (revised) methodology or tool for energy efficiency in buildings</p>	<p>Recommendation to the Board on two draft (revised) methodologies or tools</p> <p>(a) Recommendation to the Board on draft (revised) methodology or tool for off-grid regions</p> <p>(b) Recommendation to the Board on a concept note draft (revised) on the revision of the methodology or tool for on energy efficiency in buildings</p>	<p>(a) revised deliverable date to EB 69; recommendation by MP 58 at EB 70</p> <p>(b) revised deliverable date to EB 70 and title of the product: "Concept note on the revision of the methodology on energy efficiency in buildings"; Concept note submitted to EB 70</p>	<p>(a) EB 68 (MP 56)</p> <p>(b) EB 69 (MP 57)</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
			<p>Other work initiated in 2011: Draft recommendations to the Board on the following cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New draft methodology “Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids” • Methodological tool “Project and leakage emissions from anaerobic digesters” • Methodological tool “Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil fuel use” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AM0103 at EB 66 • Anaerobic digester tool at EB 66 • First draft and call for public input at EB 66 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EB 66 (MP54) • EB 66 (MP54) • EB 68 (MP 55-MP 56)
A.(ii) - Improved objectivity, clarity and integrity in the CDM	Improvements in the demonstration of additionality	<p>(a) Consultation on draft concept note on improvements in the demonstration of additionality</p> <p>(b) Consultation on new or revised standards and/or guidelines for additionality</p>	<p>(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft concept note</p> <p>(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft new or revised standards and/or guidelines</p>	<p>(a) feedback provided at MP 56</p> <p>(b) feedback provided at MP 57</p>	<p>(a) EB 68 (MP 56)</p> <p>(b) EB 69 (MP 57)</p>

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
	Improve guidelines on first-of-its-kind and the assessment of common practice	Consultation on draft revised "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" and "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality"	Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised tools	Launch of call to get public input on draft concept note At EB 69: Consideration of the concept note by the Board EB 70: Consideration of the draft revised additionality tool by the Board.	EB 70 (MP 58)
	Accounting for uncertainties in measurement in methodologies	(a) Consultation on a concept note on the development of guidance (b) Consultation on a draft revised PS and VVS on uncertainties of measurements	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft concept note (b) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised PS and VVS	(a) feedback provided at MP 56 (b) feedback provided at MP 57	(a) EB 68 (MP 56) (b) EB 70 (MP 58)
B.(ii) - Enhanced promotion and further development of the mechanism	Standardized baselines	(a) Consultation on a draft annex to the guidelines on establishment of sector-specific standardized baselines defining options for the setting and approval of values of the	(a) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft annex on thresholds	(a) feedback provided at MP 56	(a) EB 68 (MP 56)

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverables	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
		relevant thresholds			
		(b) Consultation on proposal on managing data on cost of technologies for selected sectors	(b) Feedback to the secretariat on the proposal on the data of cost of technologies	(b) feedback provided at MP 56	(b) EB 68 (MP 56)
		(c) Consultation on draft revised guidelines with expanded applicability to transport sector projects	(c) Feedback to the secretariat on the draft revised guidelines to transport sector projects	(c) feedback provided at MP 57	(c) EB 69 (MP 57)

Table 7. Workplan for the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group 2012 – Core support activities

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of proposed new methodologies (PNM), including request for revision and clarification and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	New A/R methodology submissions	Consideration of proposed new A/R methodologies (AR-PNM) following priorities as identified by the Board and as per procedures ¹³	(a) Draft recommendations on AR-PNMs (b) Draft methodologies recommended for approval	(a) Review of PNM: A/R WG 37:1 (b) Recommendation for approval of PNM: 0
	Requests for clarification and requests for revision submitted by project participants	Consideration of requests for clarification and requests for revision as per procedures ¹⁴	(a) Draft responses to requests for revision and clarification (b) Draft revised methodologies and tools recommended to be revised	(a) Requests for clarifications: 3 (b) Requests for revisions: 0

¹³ EB 53, annex 13 “Procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for large scale A/R CDM project activities”

¹⁴ EB 54, annex 3 “Procedures for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of AMs and tools for A/R CDM project activities” and EB 42, annex 9 “Submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies and methodological tools by DOEs to the Meth Panel”

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
	Requests for deviation from an approved methodology	Consideration of requests for deviation from an approved A/R WG methodology as per procedures ¹⁵	(a) Recommendations on requests for deviation from an approved methodology	N/A
	Submissions for standardized baselines	Consideration of recommendations on standardized baselines as per procedure ¹⁶	(a) Recommendations on submissions for standardized baselines	Recommendations :0

¹⁵ EB 49, annex 4 “Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology” (version 01.0)

¹⁶ EB 63, annex 28 “Procedure for submission and consideration of standardized baselines”

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
<p>Appendix 1/Core support to CDM:</p> <p>Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of PNM, including request for revision and clarification</p> <p>and</p> <p>Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups</p>	Top-down revisions to improve and simplify methodologies and tools	Consideration of draft top-down revisions of methodologies tools to improve the usability, the accuracy of emission reduction estimation methods or modular application of the methodologies as referred to in paragraph 11 of the methodologies revision procedures ¹⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation for approval of at least two draft revised/consolidated methodologies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB 68: Approval of revised AR-AMS0007 "Simplified baseline and monitoring methodology for small scale CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on lands other than wetlands" (version 02.0.0) EB 68: Approval of revised AR-AMS0003 "Simplified baseline and monitoring methodology for small scale CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on wetlands" (version 02.0.0) EB 68: withdrawal of 5 SSC AR methodologies which have been consolidated with AR_AM0007 <p>EB 70: recommendation for approval of AR-AM0013: "Afforestation and reforestation of lands other</p>

¹⁷ EB 54, annex 3 "Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for A/R CDM project activities"

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation for approval of three revised tools, of which one is related to additionality 	<p>than wetlands"; EB 70: Recommendation for approval of AR-AM0014: "Afforestation and reforestation of degraded mangrove habitats"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB 67: Approval of revised methodological tool "Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks in dead wood and litter in A/R CDM project activities" (version 02.0.0) EB 67: Approval of revised methodological tool "Demonstrating appropriateness of volume equations for estimation of aboveground tree biomass in A/R CDM project activities" (version 01.0.1) EB 68: Withdrawal of "Tool for testing significance of GHG emissions in A/R CDM project activities":

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback on inputs from a public call on further improvements on A/R WG methodologies, tools guidelines and practical application of A/R procedures 	<p>EB 70: Methodological tool "Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities"</p> <p>EB 68: Public call launched for general and specific inputs to the tool: "Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities":</p>
	Improvement of A/R guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identification of options for improvement based on potential for simplification, strengthening the usability, applicability and consistency of guidelines Consideration of the identified options available Preparation of draft revised A/R guidelines incorporating improvements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback on options for determination of land eligibility and project boundaries Recommendation for approval of draft revised "Guidelines for demonstrating additionality in A/R CDM project activities" Recommendation for approval of draft revised "Guidelines on conservative choice and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A/R WG 36: Recommendation not to consider this issue at this point in time A/R WG 35: Recommendation that revision of "Guidelines for demonstrating additionality in A/R CDM project activities" is not needed. A/R WG 35: Recommendation to withdraw "Guidelines on conservative choice and

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
			application of default data in estimation of the net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks"	application of default data in estimation of the net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB 68: Approval of revised "Guidelines on application of specified versions of A/R CDM methodologies in verification of registered A/R CDM project activities" (version 01.1)
Appendix 1/Core support to CDM: Effective implementation of procedures on consideration of PNM, including request for revision and clarification and Supporting the efficient operations of panels and working groups	Request for approval of changes to project design documents (PDDs)	Provide inputs to request for approval of changes to PDDs upon request by the secretariat ¹⁸	Recommendation on request for approval of changes to PDDs	N/A

¹⁸ EB 65, annex 32 "Clean Development Mechanism Project Cycle Procedure"

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status
	Direct communication with stakeholders	Consideration of stakeholder communications directly referred to the working group by the secretariat ¹⁹	Recommendation on responses to stakeholders' communications	Compilation of inputs received shared with members

¹⁹ EB 62, annex 15 "Modalities and procedures for Direct communication with stakeholders"

Table 8. Workplan for the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group 2012 - Deliverables CDM business plan 2012-2013

CDM Business Plan objective	Specific issue	Activities	Expected deliverable	Status	Planned finish (Board meeting to consider the output)
B.(ii) - Enhanced promotion and further development of the mechanism	Standardized baselines	Consultation on the draft guidelines with expanded applicability to afforestation and reforestation projects	Feedback to the secretariat on the draft guidelines	Feedback provided at A/R WG 35 (annex 3 of the report) Feedback provided at A/R WG 36 to the MP on AM0036	EB 68 (A/R WG 35)

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	5 November 2012	Initial publication as an annex to the annotated agenda of EB70.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Information note Business Function: Governance Keywords: work programme, panels		