

**CDM-EB89-AA**

## Annotated agenda

---

# CDM Executive Board eighty-ninth meeting

Version 01.1

Date of meeting: 9 to 13 May 2016

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 1. AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 1.1. Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda.....	3
<b>AGENDA ITEM 2. GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues .....	3
Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction .....	3
Agenda item 2.3. Performance management .....	4
Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure .....	4
Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups .....	5
<b>AGENDA ITEM 3. RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC).....</b>	<b>6</b>
Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation .....	6
Agenda item 3.2. Registration .....	6
Agenda item 3.3. Issuance .....	6
Agenda item 3.4. Other rulings.....	6
<b>AGENDA ITEM 4. REGULATORY MATTERS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools .....	7
Agenda item 4.2. Procedures .....	11
Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues .....	12
<b>AGENDA ITEM 5. RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 6. OTHER MATTERS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 7. CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>ANNEXES TO THE ANNOTATIONS .....</b>	<b>14</b>

## Annotations to the proposed agenda

### Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether: (a) the members present constitute a quorum; and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.<sup>1</sup>

*Background:* According to the Rules of procedure of the Board, at least two-thirds of the members of the Board, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I must be present to constitute a quorum.

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the eighty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* At its previous meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included on the provisional agenda for its eighty-ninth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 18 April, 2016, and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

### Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

3. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide statements on any conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* Following the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternate members are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

#### Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note “Options for using the CDM as a tool for other uses”, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 7, the Board is encouraged to continue exploring options for using the CDM as a tool for other uses and report back to the CMP at its twelfth session. The Board

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rule 28 of the “Rules of procedure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism”, decision 4/CMP.1 (FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1).

considered this issue at its eighty-eighth meeting and requested the secretariat to elaborate a revised concept note to include recommendations for focus areas of work and possible actions using the CDM infrastructure in other areas, including the use of certified emission reductions (CERs). In conjunction with this analysis, the secretariat will also provide an update on the legal aspects of the use of the CDM beyond the true-up period of the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Revised proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM (third batch)”, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board considered this matter from its eighty-sixth to its eighty-eighth meeting and agreed on the direction outlined in the proposals for some issues, however requested the secretariat to prepare revised proposals for other issues, based on its guidance, for its consideration at a future meeting. This is the third and final batch to be considered by the Board.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the issue of how information specified in decision 3/CMP.1, appendix D, paragraph 12, can best be made publicly available.

*Background:* Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 24, the Board is requested to examine how the information specified in the above-mentioned paragraph can best be made publicly available, and the implications thereof, and report back to the CMP at its twelfth session on this matter.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Simplified inclusion process for automatically additional microscale CPAs”, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 20, reiterated its request to the Board, as contained in decision 4/CMP.10, to consider allowing as an option, a simplified inclusion process for activities that satisfy microscale thresholds and are considered automatically additional.

### **Agenda item 2.3. Performance management**

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the updated “Executive Board workplan 2016”, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-eighth meeting, approved the workplan of the Board for 2016 and requested the secretariat to include in future versions of the workplan any new mandates arising from meetings and to provide an update on the status of work at each meeting.

### **Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure**

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note “Further development of the online platform for voluntary cancellation (VC) of CERs”, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

*Background:* By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 5, the CMP requested the Board and the secretariat to facilitate access to the section concerning sustainable development in

the project design document (PDD) of the project activities and the programmes of activities (PoAs) on the online VC platform. At its eighty-eighth meeting, the Board took note of measures implemented, and requested the secretariat to explore ways to display the sustainable development section of the PDD on the platform. Additionally, the Board requested the secretariat to explore opportunities for further improvement of the platform and to present a concept note on how an 'express' option could be implemented practically, without giving undue preference to any individual project and price, at its next meeting.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on "Analysis of different options for the informal teleconferences with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum", as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its eighty-eighth meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to prepare an analysis of different options of informal DOE teleconferences organized by the secretariat and the possible participation of the CDM Accreditation panel (CDM-AP) members to these informal conference calls, and the annual meeting of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum. The Board also requested the secretariat to seek feedback from DOEs, through the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum chair, on the possible participation of CDM-AP members to the annual meeting of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an oral update on the evaluation of the CDM loan scheme.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat to inform the Board of the outcome of the evaluation of the implementation and management of the CDM loan scheme, before a decision is taken by the secretariat on the extension of the term of the memorandum of understanding between the UNFCCC and the implementing agency of the CDM loan scheme.

## **Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups**

### **(a). Methodologies Panel**

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Methodologies Panel (MP).<sup>2</sup>

*Background:* The MP held its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting on 4-8 April 2016 in Bonn and addressed: case-specific issues; methodological clarifications; guidance; and other issues.

### **(b). Small-Scale Working Group**

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the 50<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG).<sup>3</sup>

*Background:* The SSC WG held its 50<sup>th</sup> meeting on 11-14 April 2016 in Bonn. The SSC WG addressed: case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues.

---

<sup>2</sup> Available at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

<sup>3</sup> Available at <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.htm/](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.htm/)>.

## Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific)

### Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that no accreditation ruling is to be considered at this meeting.

*Background:* The CDM-AP did not meet since the last Board meeting and therefore no rulings related to accreditation are to be considered by the Board at this meeting.

### Agenda item 3.2. Registration

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that no registration ruling is to be considered at this meeting.

*Background:* Rulings considered by the Board, in accordance with the applicable regulation, which may include: reviews for requests for registration; reviews for requests for issuance; reviews for requests for authorization for the DOE to perform a verification activity for the same project activity for which it has performed the validation; reviews for requests for post-registration changes; and reviews for requests for permission to resubmit a previously rejected or withdrawn request for issuance.

### Agenda item 3.3. Issuance

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that no issuance ruling is to be considered at this meeting.

*Background:* Rulings considered by the Board, in accordance with the applicable regulation, which may include: reviews for requests for registration; reviews for requests for issuance; reviews for requests for authorization for the DOE to perform a verification activity for the same project activity for which it has performed the validation; reviews for requests for post-registration changes; and reviews for requests for permission to resubmit a previously rejected or withdrawn request for issuance.

### Agenda item 3.4. Other rulings

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that no other rulings are to be considered at this meeting.

*Background:* Rulings considered by the Board, in accordance with the applicable regulation, which may include: reviews for requests for registration; reviews for requests for issuance; reviews for requests for authorization for the DOE to perform a verification activity for the same project activity for which it has performed the validation; reviews for requests for post-registration changes; and reviews for requests for permission to resubmit a previously rejected or withdrawn request for issuance.

## Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

### Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools

#### (a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide further guidance on the progress of the work made under the PoA standard, PoA validation and verification standard (VVS), and the PoA cycle procedure.

*Background:* The CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 18, requested the Board to develop a stand-alone PoA guidance, consisting, inter alia, of a “CDM PoA standard”, a “CDM PoA VVS” and a “CDM PoA cycle procedure”. The Board at its eighty-eighth meeting provided guidance to the secretariat on how to develop the “CDM project standard” (PS) and VVS for PoAs and project activities. In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to further explore the possibility of simplification of the PCP for PoAs in the case of separation of the PCP, and to report back on progress of the work at its next meeting.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on “Standardized registration templates for automatically additional project activities”, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 20, requested the Board to consider developing a standardized registration template using objective criteria for activities that qualify as automatically additional.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on a “Proposal on top-down methodological work for the transport sector”, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board at its eighty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat, together with the MP and SSC WG, to develop a joint document with proposals on whether to develop specific new methodologies, or to further improve or broaden the applicability of the current methodologies, including resource estimates, and taking into account the feedback provided by the Board.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Exploration of methodological options for developing ‘agriculture CDM’”, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-seventh meeting, requested the secretariat, the MP, and the SSC WG to jointly prepare a proposal on the development of new, and the revision of existing specific methodologies, taking into account guidance provided by the Board.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on “Indirect greenhouse gas emissions – definitions and accounting”, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-fifth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note for consideration by the Board at a future meeting covering the definition of

indirect emissions in CDM projects and if it is part of the existing modalities and procedures of the CDM.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Establishment of a new CDM project activity in a physical or geographical location of an existing CDM project”, as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board at its eighty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a revised concept note, jointly with the MP, taking into account the Board’s comments, and in particular, to further analyse whether:

- (a) Meeting all or part of the conditions elaborated in paragraph 13 of the concept note is sufficient to exempt a project activity from the communication procedure specified in the clarification issued by the Board at its eighty-third meeting;
  - (b) The distributed units can be exempted from following the communication process specified in the clarification issued by the Board at its eighty-third meeting.
24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to request the MP to incorporate clarifying elements into the Standardized Baseline Guideline without revising any of the existing approaches.

*Background:* The MP took note of the decision of the Board at its eighty-eighth meeting, not to pursue further work in relation to the "Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baseline" (SB Guideline). However, the MP would like to bring to the Board’s attention that, in addition to the introduction of new concepts, a number of the proposed changes in the “Package on further development of a standardized baseline framework”, add further clarification to the existing provisions in the SB guideline.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to request the MP to prepare a flowchart to navigate the project participants through fossil fuel cogeneration methodologies and include this in draft revisions of relevant methodologies accordingly.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 48 of the report of its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The MP, while working on the draft revision of fossil fuel co-generation methodologies (such as AM0048, AM0107, and the preparation of a new approved consolidated methodology ACM0026: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)) noted that it may be necessary to include a flowchart to navigate the project participants through the fossil fuel cogeneration methodologies.

## **(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities**

### **(i). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (large-scale)**

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendations of the MP to revise the following approved methodologies and tools as major revisions:

- (a) "ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources", as contained in annex 1 of the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The draft revision is based on the request for revision AM\_REV\_0256 and contains provision for projects involving binary geothermal power plants to account for and monitor project emissions due to physical leakage of i) non-condensable gases and; ii) working fluid (hydrocarbon).



- (b) "ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas", as contained in annex 2 of the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting report of the MP. The draft revision is based on the request for revision AM\_REV\_0257 and the revision includes the expansion of the methodology to cover project activities supplying land fill gas to consumers through a dedicated pipeline.
- (c) "AM0116: Electric taxiing systems for airplanes", as contained in annex 3 of the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting report of the MP. The revision is being carried out in response to the request made by the Board at its eighty-seventh meeting. The MP, through an electronic consultation process, requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days, starting from 26 February 2016. One stakeholder input was received. The revision expands the applicability of this methodology to cover non-commercial aircraft.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 28 of the report of its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to request the MP to expand the scope of the revision of the methodology "AM0103: Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids".

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 31 of the report of its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The MP identified many similarities with other streams of work and agreed to continue considering the revision at a future meeting and requested a mandate to expand the scope of the revision of this methodology.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to request the MP to propose a revision of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" to improve the requirements related to the demonstration of transmission constraints, and to better accommodate small isolated grids in small island developing states (SIDS) and least developed countries (LDCs).

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the MP are contained in paragraphs 19 and 20 of the report of its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. While considering the submission "PSB0033: Grid emission factor for the West African power pool", the MP noted that there is a need to further clarify the requirements on demonstration of transmission constraints. Furthermore, the MP noted that in the context of the proposed standardized baselines currently in the pipeline from SIDS (i.e. PSB0021, PSB0023, PSB0031 and PSB0032), there is a need to improve the grid tool to allow for the specifics of isolated grid systems in SIDS and LDCs.

## (ii). Clarifications (large-scale)

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the MP regarding responses prepared for requests for clarification to approved methodologies and approved methodological tools as available on the UNFCCC CDM website for the cases below:

- (a) AM\_CLA\_0275: AM0031 - Clarification on the survey frequency to determine the baseline emissions;

- (b) AM\_CLA\_0274: ACM0001 - Clarification about the applicability condition for using the LFG to supply consumers through natural gas distribution network.

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the MP are contained in table 5 in paragraph 38 of the report of its 69<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications/pending>>.

**(c). Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities**

**(i). New methodologies and tools (small-scale)**

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the SSC WG regarding the top-down developed new methodology "SSC-I.X: Solar power for domestic aircraft at-gate operations" for the aviation sector, as contained in annex 1 to the report of the 50<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the SSC WG are contained in the report of its 50<sup>th</sup> meeting, paragraph 29, available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>. The methodology takes into account guidance provided by the Board at their eighty-eighth meeting, and has been prepared in consultation with the International Civil Aviation Organization. The methodology has been developed under project 244, "Development of new methodologies to broaden the applicability of the CDM". The proposed scope of the new methodology covers solar energy based renewable power for use at the at-gate operations of domestic aircraft, which would otherwise have been supplied by carbon intensive sources (fossil fuels).

**(ii). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (small-scale)**

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the SSC WG regarding the top-down revisions of the following methodologies:
- (a) "AMS-II.C: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies", as contained in annex 2 to the report of the 50<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG. The draft revision of the methodology:
- (i) Introduces the use of conservative default values (i.e. 3.5 hours/day for residential applications and for commercial buildings);
  - (ii) Ensures consistency with AMS-II.J.
- (b) "AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies", as contained in annex 3 to the report of the 50<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG. The draft revision of the methodology:
- (i) Enables the use of field-monitored data on lamp failure over the modelled lamp failure data;
  - (ii) Clarifies that the barrier analysis of the tool "Demonstration of additionality for small-scale methodologies" should be applied for technologies not included in the positive list.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the SSC WG is contained in the report of its 50<sup>th</sup> meeting, paragraph 35, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <[https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>. The revisions are based on the request by the Board at its eighty-fifth meeting (EB 85 report, paragraph 56) to include consistent methods and provide more flexibility to project proponents to determine the usage hours of lighting equipment in a conservative manner in “AMS-II.C: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies” and “AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies”.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to request the SSC WG to prepare a revision of AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G to address identified issues relevant to both methodologies and to provide a consistent approach in the two cookstove methodologies. Subject to the work on the revisions, the SSC WG may alternatively prepare a new top-down methodology to cover the issue in the paragraph 33 (d), if needed.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the SSC WG is contained in paragraph 34 of the report of its 50<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <[https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>. The SSC WG agreed that based on experience gained in the assessment of various bottom-up and top-down standardized baselines in the cookstove methodologies, some issues need to be addressed in the methodology and are relevant to both AMS-I.E and “AMS-II.G.

### (iii). Clarifications (small-scale)

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the SSC WG regarding the response prepared for a request for clarification to an approved methodology, as available on the UNFCCC CDM website for the case below:

- (a) SSC\_720: AMS-II.G - Clarification on the management of cookstove numbers in a CPA under AMS-II.G

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the SSC WG is contained in paragraph 39 of the report of its 50<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <[https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>. Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>

## Agenda item 4.2. Procedures

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to adopt a revised procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”, as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its seventy-eighth meeting, considered a concept note on criteria for requesting a revision or a clarification to a methodology or methodological tool and requested the secretariat to prepare a draft revision of the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” to clarify the applicability of clarification to a version or multiple versions of methodologies. The CMP through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 12 decided to allow the submission of a request for the revision of a baseline and monitoring methodology without a draft PDD in cases where the Board considers that the assessment of such a request can be conducted without the project-specific information, and additionally,

through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 13 to implement the afore-mentioned by revising the relevant regulations.

#### **Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues**

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to continue the consideration of the concept note on “Applicability of a pro rata approach to issuance of temporary certified emission reductions (tCERS) and long-term certified emission reductions (ICERs), as contained in annex 12 to the annotated agenda of the eighty-eighth meeting.

*Background:* At its eighty-eighth meeting, the Board considered the concept note on "Applicability of a pro rata approach to issuance of tCERs and ICERs" and agreed to continue the consideration of this matter at its next meeting.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a concept note on “Reducing risk of disputes and irregularities in the modalities of communication process”, as contained in annex 13 to these annotations.

*Background:* The secretariat would like to seek the view of the Board on measures that would allow project proponents to have greater flexibility in regularizing their responsibilities in relation to the modalities of communication and safeguard against losing communication lines.

#### **Agenda item 5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders**

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the co-chairs of the Global Designated National Authorities Forum (DNA Forum).

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall allocate time twice a year during Board meetings for interaction with the global DNA Forum through its co-chairs.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of external inputs to the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

*Background:* Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, stakeholders have the opportunity to provide comments on the annotated agenda. Comments received will be posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair.

*Background:* The “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders” provide for the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair to interact with the Board at each meeting.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of activities undertaken with regard to stakeholders, DNAs, AEs and DOEs.

*Background:* Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board and its support structure are to provide avenues for interaction with, as well as to

provide information and capacity-building to stakeholders, such as DNAs, AEs, and DOEs.

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of a request for assistance that has been received from the DNA of Haiti to develop guidelines for local stakeholder consultation. As this submission was received one day prior to the deadline for the documentation of this meeting, the secretariat will prepare a concept note on the issue for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

*Background:* The CMP, through its decision 3/CMP.9, paragraph 20, requested the Board, with the support of the secretariat, to collaborate with the DNA Forum on collecting and making available on the UNFCCC CDM website, information on practices conducted for local stakeholder consultations, and to provide technical assistance to DNAs, upon their request, for the development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation in their countries.

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that there are no letters for consideration by the Board on the agenda of this meeting.

*Background:* Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board and its support structure are required to consult with stakeholders on policy matters that have a significant impact on them, and the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair, shall bring to the attention of the Board communications on policy, methodological issues and administrative issues when they require the attention or further guidance of the Board.

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the registered observers.

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall allocate a time slot for interaction with registered observers to the meeting. Such interactions should be limited to the discussion of policy and general issues, but not of case-specific matters relating to the agenda items of that meeting.

## Agenda item 6. Other matters

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

## Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to adopt the report of the eighty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

## Annexes to the annotations

Annex 1	Concept note: Options for using the CDM as a tool for other uses
Annex 2	Concept note: Revised proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM (Third batch)
Annex 3	Concept note: Simplified inclusion process for automatically additional microscale CPAs
Annex 4	CDM Executive Board workplan 2016
Annex 5	Concept note: Further development of the online platform for voluntary cancellation of CERs
Annex 6	Concept note: Analysis of different options for informal teleconferences with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum
Annex 7	Concept note: Standardized registration templates for automatically additional project activities
Annex 8	Concept note: Proposal on top-down methodological work for the transport sector
Annex 9	Concept note: Exploration of methodological options for developing 'agriculture CDM'
Annex 10	Concept note: Indirect greenhouse gas emissions – definitions and accounting
Annex 11	Concept note: Establishment of a new CDM project activity in a physical or geographical location of an existing CDM project
Annex 12	Draft Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools
Annex 13	Concept note: Reducing risk of disputes and irregularities in the modalities of communication process

- - - - -

### Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.1	03 May 2016	Editorial revision to adjust titles and paragraph numbering
01.0	25 April 2016	Initial publication
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Annotated agenda Business Function: Governance Keywords: Annotated agenda, annotations, EB.		