



Industrie Service

Choose certainty.  
Add value.

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH · 80686 Munich · Germany



DAP-PL-2885.99  
DAP-IS-2886.00  
DAP-PL-3089.00  
DAP-PL-2722  
DAP-IS-3516.01  
DPT-ZE-3510.02  
ZLS-ZE-219/99  
ZLS-ZE-246/99

Your reference/letter of	Our reference/name	Tel. extension/E-mail	Fax extension	Date/Document	Page
	IS-CMS-MUC/ Caiyang Wu	+49 89 5791-2841 Caiyang.Wu@tuev-sued.de	+49 89 5791-2756	2009-05-04	1 of 2

## Request for Review

Dear Sirs,

Please find below the response to the review formulated for the CDM project with the title "*China Changtanghe Rundle Small Hydropower Project*" with the registration number 2176. In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we kindly assist you.

Yours sincerely,

Thomas Kleiser  
Carbon Management Service

Headquarters: Munich  
Trade Register: Munich HRB 96 869

Supervisory Board:  
Dr.-Ing. Manfred Bayerlein (Chairman)  
Board of Management:  
Dr. Peter Langer (Spokesman)  
Dipl.-Ing. (FH) Ferdinand Neuwieser

Telefon: +49 89 5791-3038  
Telefax: +49 89 5791-2756  
[www.tuev-sued.de/is](http://www.tuev-sued.de/is)  
**TÜV®**

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH  
Niederlassung München  
Umwelt Service  
Westendstrasse 199  
80686 Munich  
Germany

## **Response to the CDM Executive Board**

### **Issues**

1. The PP should provide separate IRR calculations for each of the three components of the project activity as per paragraph 6 of the guidance for completing the PDD for small-scale activities (version 5).
2. The PP/DOE should clarify the response made to CAR 5 (VR, p51): ' the date of FSR approval of the three hydropower stations is the same, but the dates of PDR approval of three stages hydropower stations is different separately', as it is not clear how the PDR was approved separately for each plant.
3. The DOE is requested to clarify how it has validated that the difference between the annual designed maximum power generation (51,280 MWh) and annual on-grid electricity supply (41,024MWh) is appropriate in the context of the underlying project activity.
4. The PP should specify the monitoring details (PDD, section B.7.1) for each of the three hydropower components as per paragraph 6 of the guidance for completing the PDD for small-scale activities (version 5).
5. The DOE should validate if there are more hydropower stations connected to the project sub-station.

### **Response by TÜV SÜD**

Please refer to the revised validation report.