

FINDINGS OVERVIEW

FINDINGS FROM VALIDATION OF 16MW BAGASSE BASED COGENERATION PLANT BY GMR INDUSTRIES LIMITED CDM VAL0324

Each Table below represents a finding from the validation assessment. The findings are numbered consecutively, approximately in the order that they have been identified.

Description of table:

Type	Findings are either New Information Requests (NIR) or Corrective Action Requests (CAR). CARs are items that must be addressed before a project can receive a recommendation for registration. NIRs may lead to the raising of CARs. Observations are included at the end and may or may not be addressed. They are primarily to act as signposts for the verifying DOE.
Issue	Details the content of the finding
Ref	refers to the item number in the Validation Protocol
Response	Please insert response to finding, starting with the date of entry.

Rows for comments and further response will be appended to the table until the Findings has been addressed to the satisfaction of the Lead Assessor.

Please note that this is an open list and more findings may be added as validation progresses.

Date: 2-5-2006

Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
1	CAR1	Letter of Approval from Designated National Authority is to be provided by the client.	1.2
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] A presentation to Indian DNA is made on 31/05/06. Letter is expected in second week of June.			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Letter of Indian DNA dated 14 th June 2006 having F.No.4/12/2006-CCC received so CAR1 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK CAR1 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006

Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
2	CAR2	Starting date of the project activity is not mentioned. Documented evidences are to be provided by the Client. Sub step 2c and 2d are not clear. Excel sheet needs to be provided. Common practice analysis is not transparent.	3.2
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] PDD modified to include starting date (Section C1.1). Documentary evidences provided to DOE for start date of the project. Excel sheet for financial analysis and sensitivity analysis is provided. Ministry of Non-conventional energy sources (MNES) report is provided as a support for common practice analysis			

Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Start date rephrased in PDD (version 2) and documentary evidence received from the project proponent. Financial analysis and sensitivity analysis sheet received and desk reviewed and found to be OK. MNES report desk reviewed for common practice analysis and same is rephrased in PDD. CAR2 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK, CAR2 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
3	NIR3	Data missing on authority and responsibility for registration, monitoring, measurement and reporting	5.2.2
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Monitoring plan modified to include authority and responsibility for registration, monitoring, measurement and reporting(annex-4 of PDD)			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2). NIR3 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK NIR3 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
4	NIR4	Data missing for possible monitoring data adjustments and uncertainties.	5.2.9
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Monitoring plan modified to include possible monitoring data adjustments and uncertainties. (annex-4 of PDD)			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2). NIR4 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK NIR4 Closed out.			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
5	NIR5	Procedures for corrective actions to provide more accurate future monitoring and reporting is not mentioned clearly in PDD	5.2.13
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Monitoring plan modified to include the same. (annex-4 of PDD)			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2). NIR5 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK NIR5 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
6	NIR6	List of stakeholders consulted to be provided	7.1
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Details regarding stakeholders consulted provided to DOE during site visit, PDD modified to include the list as well (section G.1)			

Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2) and NOC from the stake holders seen by the local assessor. So, NIR6 could be closed out.
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK NIR6 Closed out

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
7	CAR7	Summary of stakeholder comments is not clearly mentioned in PDD	7.4
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] PDD modified (section G.2) to include comments received during stakeholder consultation, documents provided to DOE for the same during validation.			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2) and MOM received from project proponent which was reviewed and found to be OK. Hence, CAR7 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK CAR7 Closed out.			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
8	CAR8	Due account of stakeholder comments Not mentioned in PDD clearly.	7.5
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] PDD modified (section G.2) to include comments received during stakeholder consultation, documents provided to DOE for the same.			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] PDD rephrased (version 2). CAR8 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty [Acceptance and close out] OK CAR8 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
9	NIR9	Training requirement not mentioned in the PDD clearly.	8.2.4
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Monitoring plan modified to include training requirements (annex-4)			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2). NIR9 could be closed out.			
Date: 19-7-2006 [Acceptance and close out] OK NIR9 Closed out			

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
10	CAR10	Project starting date is not mentioned. Operational lifetime is clearly mentioned.	8.3.1
Date: 20-6-2006 [Comments Client] Project starting date, and relevant support document provided (section C1.1)			
Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan [Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2) and supporting document provided by the project proponent. The document was desk reviewed and found to be OK. Hence CAR10			

could be closed out.
Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty
[Acceptance and close out] OK CAR10 Closed out

Date: 2-5-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
11	CAR11	The financial analysis of additionality in sub step 2c is taking 30 years where as PDD mentions 25 years which is contradicting.	8.3.3

Date: 20-6-2006

[Comments Client] PDD modified to correct the anomaly.

Date: 10-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan

[Comments Local accessor] Rephrased in PDD (version 2). CAR11 could be closed out.

Date: 19-7-2006 S Shetty

[Acceptance and close out] OK CAR11 Closed out

Date: 21-7-2006 Raised by: S Shetty

No.	Type	Issue	Ref
12	NIR12	The value of CER has increased from 31704 to 40182. The usage of fossil fuel was seen during site visit. So the earlier calculations seem to be fine. Please clarify.	SV/DR

Date: 24-7-2006

[Comments Client] Project proponent has stopped using fossil fuels from the current crushing season in cogeneration plant and accordingly emissions due to its combustion have been considered as NIL.

These are only estimations and fossil fuel combustion is part of monitoring plan in the project activity. Equivalent project emissions would be estimated and deducted from the baseline for the actual fossil fuel used each year.

Date: 24-7-2006 Pankaj Mohan

[Comments Local accessor] This can be taken care at verification stage by the verifier. Hence NIR12 can be closed out

Date: 24-7-2006 S Shetty

[Acceptance and close out] OK NIR12 Closed out

Observations: