



**CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)**  
*(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)*

<i>Date of SSC WG meeting:</i>	16–19 August 2010, SSC WG 27
<i>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</i>	Eligibility of plastic types other than HDPE/LDPE in AMS-III.AJ
<i>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</i>	AMS-III.AJ “Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes”
<i>Name of the authors of the query:</i>	Marcelo L. Iezzi Institution: PricewaterhouseCoopers <a href="mailto:marcelo.iezzi@ar.pwc.com">marcelo.iezzi@ar.pwc.com</a>

**Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from PP:

The methodology III.AJ comprises activities for recovery and recycling of high density polyethylene (HDPE) and low density polyethylene (LDPE) materials in municipal solid waste to process them into intermediate or finished products.

This query is about the applicability of this methodology for this types of plastics (besides of HDPE and LDPE):

- Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)
- Polypropylene (PP)
- Polystyrene (PS and most commonly known as Styrofoam)

All above mentioned types of plastics can be recycled to displace production of virgin materials in dedicated facilities thereby resulting energy savings and emission reduction.

If these plastics types can be included in the AMS-III.AJ, we would like to know whether to estimate baseline emissions from the production of each virgin pellet (PET, PP and PS) can use the values from virgin input assumed in the paragraph 5 (default values). Or maybe we can apply in the CDM-PDD specific values for production of PET, PP and PS using conservative criteria to select them and specifying the source of values applied.

Thank you very much to the Working Group of Small-Scale (SSC WG) for his response.

**Recommendation by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraph 27 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 27  
[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg).

**Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that the methodology, as it is currently written, is not applicable to the proposed types of plastics (PET, PP and PS), and a revision is necessary for that purpose. Thus, the SSC WG encourages the project proponent to submit a request for revision of the methodology by providing default values for the energy usage related to production of the proposed types of plastics. Such default values should be applicable in non-Annex I countries and may be based on publications or own studies. Alternatively, the project proponent might demonstrate that values for HDPE or LDPE may be conservatively used by showing that specific energy consumption in the production of PET, PP and PS in non-Annex I countries is higher.

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Peer Stiansen

Date: 19/08/2010

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Hugh Sealy

Date: 19/08/2010

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

SSC-Submission number	SSC_453
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	19 August 2010
Date of transmission to the EB	19 August 2010
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	19 August 2010