



**Approved baseline and monitoring methodology /
methodological tool clarification response form
(Version 02.0)**

INFORMATION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT OR PANEL / WG

Date and number of Panel / WG meeting:	21–24 October 2014/MP 65
Title/Subject of the request for clarification:	Clarification on the approved methodology ACM0016 version 03 regarding application of Step 3 (to demonstrate additionality) of the methodology to a proposed PoA
Reference number of the request for clarification:	AM_CLA_0264
Exact reference (number, title and version) of the methodology or methodological tool to which the request for clarification applies:	ACM00016 “Mass Rapid Transit Projects - Version 3.0.0”
Fast track or Regular track:	<input type="checkbox"/> Fast track <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular track

Summary of the request for clarification

Original text from Stakeholder:

This request for clarification refers to application of methodology, ACM0016: “Mass Rapid Transit Projects” version 03.0.0 to PoA. The PoA in consideration has been developed by PP to include multiple MRTS projects to be implemented in host country and are in pipeline. Methodology describes the steps to demonstrate additionality of projects wherein it refers to the validation of CDM-PDD. Based on the PP’s interpretation of “CDM project standard” v 07 and “Demonstration of additionality, development of eligibility criteria and application of multiple methodologies for programmes of activities” v 3.0, the PP is of opinion that “**CDM – PDD**” in the Methodology is to be interpreted as “**CDM- POA –DD**” instead of “CDM-CPA-DD”

Hence, PP seeks clarification on:

- Whether the term “CDM – PDD” in the methodology is to be interpreted as “CDM- POA –DD” while demonstrating additionality of a PoA and future CPA.

Clarification by the secretariat or Panel / WG

The Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel) of the Executive Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) of the clean development mechanism (CDM) would like to thank the author for the submission.

The Meth Panel would like to clarify that Step 2 and Step 3 of the section ‘Procedure for the identification of the most plausible baseline scenario and demonstration of additionality’ of ACM0016 require the use of data that are relevant to the particular transport system planned to be implemented as a CDM project activity or a component project activity (CPA) of a PoA. Thus, in this section, the term “CDM – PDD” shall be interpreted as “CDM-CPA-DD” where system specific data are used for the city-level and project-level assessments.

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	18 July 2013	Revised to remove the row “Date and signature of the chair and vice chair of Panel/WG (in case of clarification by Panel/WG)”
01.0	4 July 2013	Initial publication. This document supersedes and replaces the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (F-CDM-SSCwg) (Version 01.1)• Recommendation Form for Small Scale A/R Methodologies and Procedures (F-CDM-SSC-AR) (Version 01.1)
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form, Clarification Business Function: Methodology Keywords: applying methodologies and tools		