



CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)
(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)

<i>Date of SSC WG meeting:</i>	16–19 February 2010, SSC WG 24
<i>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</i>	Clarification on the monitoring of “methane recovered, fuelled, flared or utilized” in AMS-III.H
<i>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</i>	AMS-III.H
<i>Name of the authors of the query:</i>	Michel Buron Institution: Kyoto Energy Pte Ltd michel.buron@kyotoenergy.net

Summary of the query:

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from PP:

This clarification refers to Point 36 of AMS-III.H (version 13) which states:

“In all cases, the amount of biogas recovered, fuelled, flared or utilized (e.g., injected into a natural gas distribution grid or distributed via a dedicated piped network) shall be monitored ex post, using continuous flow meters”.

Firstly, in the context of the above sentence, is the word ‘recovered’ an adjective or an adverb? In another word, is it required to monitor separately the flow of methane recovered?

Secondly, if methane recovered must be monitored separately, what is its definition/at which point should it be monitored (outlet of methane capture system, net methane recovered, etc.).

Thirdly, what would be the purpose of monitoring this parameter as it is not used for the calculation of emission reductions and do not impact CERs. As well if the value measured differs from the summation of the other monitored flows (in particular if smaller than), what shall be the course of action?

Recommendation by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraphs 10 and 24 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 24
http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg.

Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that if the biogas flared and fuelled (or utilized) are continuously monitored separately, the two fractions can be added to determine the biogas recovered then recovered biogas need not be monitored separately.

The SSC WG agreed to further clarify that the measurements of biogas flow and its methane content shall be carried out at the same location(s) in the system. A revised version of AMS-III.H has been recommended for approval by the Board, as contained in annex 2 of the SSC WG 24 meeting report.



Signature of SSC WG Chair

(Peer Stiansen)

Date: 19/02/2010



Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair

(Hugh Sealy)

Date: 19/02/2010

Information to be completed by the secretariat

SSC-Submission number	SSC_376
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	19 February 2010
Date of transmission to the EB	19 February 2010
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	19 February 2010