

 <p align="center"><b>CDM: Form for submission of requests for revisions of approved methodologies to the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (version 01)</b>  <i>(To be used by project participants, through the DOE/AE, for requesting revisions of approved methodologies)</i></p>	
Name of the entity (DOE) submitting this form	TUEV-SUED
Reference number and title of the approved A/R methodologies	All approved A/R methodologies
Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):	Reporting Project Boundary and Plot Geometrics
Attach proposed <b>revised approved A/R methodology</b> (with revisions in track change mode):	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, is attached. (applicable to all large scale AR-CDM methodologies)
Attach <b>draft CDM-AR-PDD</b> example of project activity:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, is attached. (not applicable)
Date and signature for the DOE	3 March 2008
<p><b><u>Submitted request for revisions</u></b></p> <p>Please use the space below to substantiate the reason for the request for revisions of the approval methodology. If the request for revision is related to a project activity under development or implementation, please describe the context in which they arose. If you are proposing amendments to existing methodologies, please specify the text you want to change or introduce. If necessary, attach files or refer to sources of relevant information.</p> <p><b>If you propose an amendment to the approved methodologies, please provide justification.</b></p> <p>AR-CDM methodologies indicate that coordinates of all corners shall be included to the PDD (as Annex) for boundary definition (making this boundary verifiable).          It is deemed relevant that a project activity may include: a) a large number of discrete parcels of lands as part of one single project activity, and b) that each of these polygons may be defined by a large number of corners (especially if taken continuously with GPS).</p> <p>TÜV SÜD would like to pose the following question to the AR-WG:          On an operational level, how could large amounts of data on numerous geographic coordinates of the project boundary to be included to the PDD?</p> <p>The compliance with the methodology requirement would result in a very large number of coordinates to be included to a PDD. Corresponding information can exceed several hundreds of pages. Relevance is further increased if large boundaries are to be assessed in the context of a PoA.</p> <p><b>If you have a request for revision, please specify and provide reference to the exact section of A/R methodologies to which it applies.</b></p>	

See attached table	
<b>In case you propose an amendment to the approved A/R methodologies, please provide your draft below, if not included in an annex:</b>	
<p>It is put to the consideration of the AR-WG to install a database in which digital information on the project boundary is archived jointly with the registered PDD (as defined under validation and accessible for the later verification). One of the most standardized data types for geographic polygons and points would be a shape file (.shp / used in ArcGIS by ESRI). E.g. all boundary data could be required to be provided as shapefile. (Geographic coordinates / Longitude and Latitude and the Map Datum WGS 84 are most frequently used.)</p>	
<b>Information to be completed by the secretariat</b>	
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	
Date of transmission to the AR WG and Executive Board	