
 <p align="center">CDM: Form for submission of queries from DOEs to the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group regarding the application of approved A/R methodologies (version 01) (To be used by DOEs for presenting questions / proposals / amendments related to the applicability of approved A/R methodologies)</p>	
Name of the entity (DOE) submitting this form	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Reference number and title of the approved A/R methodologies	AR-AM0003 version 4 and several other AR methodologies
Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):	<p>1) Is it necessary to discuss all parameters listed in the monitoring methodology in the monitoring report and verification report even though they are not related to the project activity or they are referring to calculations (sub-results of formulas)?</p> <p>2) Have projects emissions to be monitored that are not applicable anymore to latest versions of the methodology?</p>
Attach CDM-AR-PDD example of project activity where applicability raises problem:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, is attached. See project activity no. 2712
Date and signature for the DOE	2011/06/28 
<p>Submitted queries</p> <p>Please use the space below to substantiate the queries relating to the application of approved A/R methodologies. If the questions are related to a project activity under development or implementation, please describe the context in which they arose. If you are proposing amendments to approved A/R methodologies, please specify the text you want to change or introduce. If necessary, attach files or refer to sources of relevant information.</p> <p>If you have a question relating to the application of the approved A/R methodologies, please specify and provide reference to the exact project activity to which it applies.</p>	

1) In the first versions of most AR methodologies long lists of parameters have been included in the monitoring section. Most of these parameters are often not part of the project activity or are sub-results of calculations. Further they are often not ordered in any traceable way.

Today's updated versions of the methodologies have reduced these parameters lists to a practicable minimum.

As many AR projects have already been registered under first versions of the methodology the monitoring plan is full of unused parameters that normally must be discussed in the MR and verification report.

We would like to know whether in verifications the parameters listed in MR and discussed in verification report can be reduced to the minimum of latest methodology versions or reduced to that parameters applicable to the project activity.

2) In EB42 para 32 it was decided that projects emissions due to (i) fertilizer application, (ii) removal of herbaceous vegetation and (iii) transportation do not need to be monitored anymore. Is this decision also applicable for projects that have been registered before this decision or were in progress of registration and that have these project emissions included into the monitoring plan? Or can those project emissions be neglected as well for older cases?

If you propose an amendment to the approved A/R methodologies, please provide justification.

>>n/a

In case you propose the amendment to the approved A/R methodologies, please provide your draft below, if not included in an annex:

>>n/a

Date of submission of contribution:

2011/06/28

Information to be completed by the secretariat

Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat

Date of transmission to the AR WG and Executive Board