Approved baseline and monitoring methodology AM0074

"Methodology for new grid connected power plants using permeate gas previously flared and/or vented"

I. SOURCE, DEFINITIONS AND APPLICABILITY

Sources

This baseline and monitoring methodology is based on elements from the following approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and proposed new methodology:

- NM0270 "Methodology for new grid connected power plants utilizing permeate or associated gas, previously flared (or vented)" prepared by Grue & Hornstrup Consulting Engineers A/S on behalf of Engro Chemical Pakistan Ltd.;
- AM0029 "Baseline Methodology for Grid Connected Electricity Generation Plants using Natural Gas";
- AM0037 "Flare reduction and gas utilization at oil and gas processing facilities".

This methodology also refers to the latest approved versions of the following tools:

- "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion";
- "Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption";
- "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality";
- "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".

For more information regarding the proposed new methodology and the tools as well as their consideration by the CDM Executive Board please refer to <http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>.

Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures

"Emissions from a technology that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment".

Definitions

For the purpose of this methodology, the following definitions apply:

Permeate gas. An off-gas that contains several impurities and is a by-product of the natural gas purification process in the natural gas processing facility. It consists mainly of methane, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, hydrogen sulphide, and other hydrocarbons.

Booster station. The process unit that decreases the permeate gas pressure drop within the transportation pipeline and assures the required gas pressure at the inlet of the permeate gas power plant.

Applicability

This methodology is applicable to project activities where the permeate gas, previously flared and/or vented at an existing natural gas processing facility, is used as fuel in a new grid connected power plant (hereinafter called the "new power plant").

The methodology can be applied in the following cases:

- Only the operator of the new power plant owns the CDM project activity, which is an independent legal entity not affiliated with the natural gas processing facility; or
- Both the operator of the new power plant and the operator of the natural gas processing facility belong to the same legal entity.

This methodology is applicable under the following conditions:

- It can be verified that the total amount of permeate gas from the gas processing facility was flared and/or vented for at least 3 years prior to the start of the project activity;
- The transportation of the permeate gas from the natural gas processing facility to the new power plant occurs through a dedicated pipeline that is established as part of the project activity and not used for the transportation of any other gases;
- The new power plant is constructed for the purpose of the project activity and uses as fuel the permeate gas recovered from the natural gas processing facility from the start of its commercial operation. The use of other fuels for operating the power plant shall be limited to auxiliary and back-up purposes (e.g. starting-up and shutting-down of the power plant, disruptions in permeate gas supply) and shall not exceed 15% of the total annual fuel used in the project power plant on energy basis;
- All power produced in the new power plant is exported to the power grid.

In addition, the applicability conditions included in the tools referred to above apply.

This methodology is only applicable if the most plausible baseline scenario identified is the continuation of the current practice of flaring and/or venting of the permeate gas. The demonstration of the use of permeate gas in existing facilities, in the absence of the CDM project activity, shall be carried out as per the provisions in appendix 1 to this methodology.

II. BASELINE METHODOLOGY PROCEDURE

Identification of the baseline scenario

The baseline scenario has to be identified for the permeate gas and for the power generation components of the project activity. In identification of baseline alternatives, the project participant shall exclude baseline scenarios that are not in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

For each scenario that is excluded, an appropriate explanation and documentation to support the exclusion shall be provided.

Baseline scenarios for the utilization of permeate gas

The methodology is only applicable if the baseline scenario for the project activity is continuation of the current practice of flaring and/or venting of the permeate gas in the baseline. The demonstration of

use of permeate gas in the baseline scenario shall follow the provisions of appendix 1 to this methodology.

Baseline scenarios for power generation

In the absence of CDM, electricity delivered to the grid by the project activity would have been generated by the operation of grid-connected power plants and by the addition of new generation sources, as reflected in the combined margin (CM) calculations described in the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".

Demonstration of additionality

Additionality shall be demonstrated using the latest approved version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality". Where the lower heating value of the permeate gas is above 30,000kJ/Nm^3, additionality shall be demonstrated through the use of investment analysis. It should be noted that, only financial benchmarks shall be used for investment analysis, and not the project (investment) comparison analysis.

Guidance on the benchmark investment analysis

The benchmark investment analysis should cover all project related costs and revenues of the alternative scenarios for both the operator of the new power plant and the operator of the natural gas processing facility.

For case (i),[1] the costs for permeate gas shall include only the cost associated with processing and transportation. The levelized costs per unit of permeate gas related to the processing and transportation are calculated using the IRR benchmark of the project as the discount rate. The production cost of permeate gas with 10% profit margin is calculated as follows:

$$P_{LC} = 1.1 * \left(\frac{I}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{(1+i)^t}} + C \right) / Q_{PG,y} \quad \textbf{(1)}$$

The costs for the processing of the permeate gas are accounted in equation (1) above if the seller of the permeate gas (natural gas processing facility) has made investments in the equipment to process the permeate gas.

This price of permeate gas for the investment analysis shall be the minimum between the levelized cost with a profit margin and 50% of the price of processed natural gas, as below:

$$P_o = \min imum\left[P_{LC}, 0.5 * P_{NG}\right] \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

Where:

P_{LC} = Levelized production cost for processing and transportation of permeate gas including a profit margin (currency/MJ)
P_O = Price of permeate gas for investment analysis (currency/MJ)
I = Investment for equipment for processing and transportation of permeate gas (currency)

[1] Case (i) is where only the operator of the new power plant owns the CDM project activity, which is an independent legal entity not affiliated with the natural gas processing facility.

C	=	Annual cost for operation and maintenance of processing and transportation (currency)
P_{NG}	=	Price of processed natural gas (currency/MJ)
i	=	IRR benchmark[2] of the project as the discount rate
$Q_{PG,y}$	=	Quantity of permeate gas used for energy generation in the project activity during year y (MJ)
T	=	Number of years taken for investment analysis (years)

For case (ii) where the new power plant and the natural gas processing facility are the same entity, applying the investment analysis shall avoid an internal price for the permeate gas, however project related costs associated with the processing and transportation of the permeate gas may be included.

The project participants shall consider the EB 51 information note (annex 59) "previous rulings related to the appropriateness of benchmarks for project activities utilizing waste heat/waste gas for power generation" in determining the appropriate financial benchmark for this project activity.

Guidance on the barrier analysis

Barriers as described below shall be demonstrated following guidance contained in the latest approved version of the "guideline for objective demonstration and assessment of barriers". In particular barriers that can be mitigated by additional financial means can be quantified and represented as costs and should not be identified as a barrier for implementation of project, but rather should be considered in the framework of investment analysis. For barriers related to risks of damage (i.e. equipment is damaged due to technological barriers, lack of know-how etc.), these barriers can be quantified by the calculation of probability of loss and loss expenses, if the underlying data and assumptions can be objectively and transparently justified.

Identify potential barriers, where the lower heating value of the permeate gas is below 30,000kJ/Nm^3

The project participant should establish a complete list of barriers preventing these alternatives from being implemented in the absence of the CDM revenues. These barriers may include, among others:

- Investment barriers, inter alia:
  - Debt funding is not available for this type of a project activity;
  - Domestic or international capital markets are not accessible due to real or perceived risks associated with domestic or foreign direct investment in the Host country.
- Technological barriers, inter alia:
  - Technical and operational risks of implementation;
  - Lack of infrastructure for the implementation of the technology;
- Barriers due to prevailing practice, inter alia:
  - The project activity is a "first of its kind". This barrier needs to be demonstrated as per the latest approved version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality".

[2] The benchmark must consider the effect of the currency used for gas sales (local or international)

Project boundary

The **spatial extent** of the project boundary encompasses the new power plant, the booster station, the permeate gas transportation from the booster station to the new power plant, and the power grid. The greenhouse gases included in or excluded from the project boundary are shown in the following table.

Table 1: Emissions sources included in or excluded from the project boundary

	Source	Gas	Included	Justification / Explanation
Baseline	Production of electricity in the baseline	CO_2	Yes	Main emission sources
		CH_4	No	Excluded (conservative approach)
		N_2O	No	Excluded (conservative approach)
Project Activity	Combustion of other fossil fuels for auxiliary purposes in the new power plant	CO_2	Yes	May be a significant emission source
		CH_4	No	Assumed negligible
		N_2O	No	Assumed negligible
	Operation of the booster station	CO_2	Yes	May be a significant emission source
		CH_4	No	Assumed negligible
		N_2O	No	Assumed negligible
	Fugitive emissions from permeate gas transport	CO_2	No	Assumed negligible
		CH_4	Yes	May be a significant emission source
		N_2O	No	Assumed negligible

The methodology is based on the assumption that all carbon in the permeate gas both in the baseline and under the project activity is fully oxidized to CO_2. As a consequence, the use of the permeate gas under the project activity and its venting and/or flaring in the baseline is not included as emission source. This is a conservative simplification, as the permeate gas combustion in a power plant can be considered to cause significantly lower CH4 emissions than the flaring or venting of the permeate gas.

Project emissions

The project emissions consist of emissions from power generation in the new power plant, from the operation of the permeate gas booster station(s), and from the permeate gas transportation. The Project emissions are calculated as follows:

$$PE_y = PE_{FC,elec,y} + PE_{BS,y} + PE_{TR,y} \quad \textbf{(3)}$$

Where:

PE_y = Project emissions in year y (t CO_2e)

$PE_{FC,elec,y}$ = Project emissions from firing fossil fuels for auxiliary and back-up purposes in the new power plant in year y (t CO_2e)

$PE_{BS,y}$ = Project emissions from operation of the permeate gas booster station(s) in year y (t CO_2e)

$PE_{TR,y}$ = Project fugitive emissions from permeate gas transportation in year y (t CO_2e)

The procedures to calculate the emissions from each of the project emission sources are presented in the following sections.

Project emissions from firing fossil fuels for auxiliary and back-up purposes in the new power plant ($PE_{FC,elec,y}$)

These emissions include CO_2 emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels fired in the new power plant for auxiliary and back-up purposes. For the calculation of these emissions, project proponents shall apply the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion" available in the UNFCCC website. The term $PE_{FC,elec,y}$ corresponds to the term $PE_{FC,j,y}$ in the tool. The tool is only applied to one element process *j* which corresponds to the new power plant constructed as part of the project activity. The index *i* in the tool corresponds to the fossil fuel types fired in the new power plant excluding the permeate gas.

Project emissions from operation of the booster station(s) ($PE_{BS,y}$)

Under the project activity it is required to operate one or several booster station(s) in order to compensate the pressure drop within the permeate gas pipeline and assure the required gas pressure at the inlet of the new project power plant. The booster station(s) can be operated using fossil fuels, the permeate gas and/or electricity as energy source. The use of permeate gas in compressor/booster station(s) does not need to be included in the project emissions, as the permeate gas would in the baseline be flared and/or vented. The project emissions thus include emissions from using fossil fuels and electricity:

$$PE_{BS,y} = PE_{BS,FF,y} + PE_{BS,EL,y} \quad \textbf{(4)}$$

Where:

$PE_{BS,y}$	=	Project emissions from operation of the permeate gas booster station(s) in year *y* (t CO_2)
$PE_{BS,FF,y}$	=	Project emissions from use of fossil fuels in permeate gas booster station(s) in year *y* (t CO_2)
$PE_{BS,EL,y}$	=	Project emissions from use of electricity in permeate gas booster station(s) in year *y* (t CO_2)

To calculate $PE_{BS,FF,y}$, the project participants shall apply the latest approved versions of the "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion". The element processes *j* in the "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion" should correspond to the fossil fuel combustion processes in the booster station(s) operated under the project activity. The term $PE_{BS,FF,y}$ in this methodology corresponds to the term $PE_{FC,j,y}$ in the tool.

To calculate $PE_{BS,EL,y}$, the project participants shall apply the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption". The term $PE_{BS,EL,y}$ in this methodology corresponds to the term $PE_{EC,y}$ in the tool.

Project fugitive methane emissions from permeate gas transport ($PE_{TR,y}$)

The project emissions from permeate gas transport refer to fugitive methane emissions from all equipment used under the project activity to transport the permeate gas from the natural gas processing plant to the new power plant, including emissions from the compressor/booster station(s) and the pipeline. Fugitive methane emissions occurring during the transport of the permeate gas may be small, but they should be estimated in order to be conservative.

Emission factors are taken from the 1995 Protocol for Equipment Leak Emission Estimates, published by U.S. EPA.[3] Emissions should be determined for all relevant activities and all equipment (such as valves, pump seals, connectors, flanges, open-ended lines, etc).

The U.S. EPA approach is based on average emission factors for total organic compounds (TOC). In the equation (5), methane emissions are calculated by multiplying the methane concentration in the permeate gas with the appropriate emission factor from the Table 2 and then summing up the contributions from all pieces of equipment.

The overall fugitive emissions from transportation of the permeate gas are calculated as follows:

$$PE_{TR,y} = \frac{1}{1000} \times GWP_{CH4} \times w_{CH4,PG,y} \times \sum_{equipment} \left[EF_{equipment} \times t_{equipment} \right] \quad \textbf{(5)}$$

Where:

$PE_{TR,y}$	=	Project fugitive emissions from permeate gas transportation during year y (t CO_2e)
GWP_{CH4}	=	Global warming potential for CH_4 valid for the commitment period (tCO_2e/tCH_4)
$w_{CH4,PG,y}$	=	Average mass fraction of methane in the permeate gas in year y (kg of CH4/kg of the permeate gas)
$EF_{equipment}$	=	The emission factor for the relevant equipment type, taken from the Table 2 or the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (kg of permeate gas/hour)
$t_{equipment}$	=	The operation time of the equipment (time - hours of use)

All data for gas volumes in all equations should be converted to common standard temperature and pressure values. The default density of methane at 0 degree Celsius and 1 atm is 0.0007168 t CH4/m3. It is recommended to group the equipment according to the different types listed in the Table 2.

Table 2: Oil and natural gas production average emission factors

Equipment Type	Service	Emission Factor (kg / hour / equipment item) for TOC
Valves	Gas	4.5E-03
Pump seals	Gas	2.4E-03
Others*	Gas	8.8E-03
Connectors	Gas	2.0E-04
Flanges	Gas	3.9E-04
Open-ended lines	Gas	2.0E-03

TOC: Total organic compounds;

Source: US EPA-453/R-95-017 Table 2.4, page 2-15;

* Other equipment type was derived from compressors, diaphragms, drains, dump arms, hatches, instruments, meters, pressure relief valves, polished rods, relief valves and vents. This "other" equipment type should be applied for any equipment type other than connectors, flanges, open-ended lines, pumps or valves.

[3] Please refer to document EPA-453/R-95-017 at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/efdocs/equiplks.pdf>.

Baseline emissions

Baseline emissions are calculated by multiplying the net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant ($EG_{PJ,y,eligible}$) with the baseline CO_2 emission factor for the project electricity system in year y ($EF_{BL,CO2,y}$), as follows:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y,eligible} \times EF_{BL,CO2,y} \quad \textbf{(6)}$$

Where:

BE_y	=	Baseline emissions in year y (t CO_2)
$EG_{PJ,y,eligible}$	=	Net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant in year y (MWh) that is eligible for emission reduction
$EF_{BL,CO2,y}$	=	Baseline CO_2 emission factor for the project electricity system in year y (t CO_2/MWh)

Determination of $EF_{BL,CO2,y}$

$EF_{BL,CO2,y}$ is equal to the combined margin, which shall be calculated according to the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system", using a 50/50 OM/BM weight.

Determination of $EG_{PJ,y,eligible}$

$$EG_{PJ,y,eligible} = minimum(\frac{Q_{PG,BL}}{Q_{PG,y}},1) \times EG_{PJ,y} \quad \textbf{(7)}$$

Where:

$EG_{PJ,y}$	=	Net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant in year y (MWh)
$Q_{PG,BL}$	=	Average annual quantity of permeate gas flared and/or vented in the three years prior to the start of the project activity (MJ)
$Q_{PG,y}$	=	Quantity of permeate gas used for energy generation in the project activity during year y (MJ)

Leakage

No leakage emissions are considered under this methodology.

Emission reductions

Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y \quad \textbf{(8)}$$

Where:

ER_y	=	Emission reductions in year y (t CO_2)
BE_y	=	Baseline emissions in year y (t CO_2)
PE_y	=	Project emissions in year y in (t CO_2)

Data and parameters not monitored

In addition to the parameters listed in the tables below, the provisions on data and parameters not monitored in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

Data / Parameter:	$EF_{equipment}$
Data unit:	kg of permeate gas/hour
Description:	The emission factor for the relevant equipment type
Source of data:	Table 2 of this methodology or 2006 IPCC Guidelines
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	P_{NG}
Data unit:	Currency/MJ
Description:	Price of processed natural gas
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	I
Data unit:	Currency
Description:	Investment for equipment for processing and transportation of permeate gas
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	C
Data unit:	Currency
Description:	Annual cost for operation and maintenance of processing and transportation
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	GWP_{CH4}
Data unit:	tCO_2e/tCH_4
Description:	Global warming potential for CH_4 valid for the commitment period
Source of data:	IPCC
Value to be applied:	21 for the first commitment period. Shall be updated according to any future COP/MOP decisions
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	Low heating value of permeate gas
Data unit:	KJ/Nm^3
Description:	-
Source of data:	Measurements taken by the project participants
Measurement procedures (if any):	This value shall be determined using national or international standards using the calibrated instruments of recognised laboratory. The value used in PDD will be based on average of at least 10 measurements of permeate gas, to be fired in gas turbine/s or gas engine/s, spread over three months previous to implementation of project activity
Any comment:	The value will be used to determine whether the barriers can be applied for the demonstration of additionality

Data / Parameter:	$Q_{PG,BL}$
Data unit:	MJ
Description:	Average annual quantity of permeate gas flared and/or vented in the three years prior to the start of the project activity
Source of data:	Direct measurements arrived at based on: (a) The permeate gas density and volume flow measurements of the permeate gas three years prior to implementation of the project activity. In the absence of this information, source of data may be manufacturer's specifications or an external expert to be used to determine $Q_{PG,BL}$; and (b) The average net calorific value of the permeate gas flared and/or vented in these three years
Measurement procedures (if any):	For the facility, it is determined by either of the two methods: (i) Direct measurements of amount of the waste energy, based on the energy content of the permeate gas, its density and volume flow measurements of the permeate gas, for at least three years prior to the start of the project activity; (ii) Estimation based on information provided by the technology supplier or an external expert on the waste energy generation per unit of product and volume or quantity of production
Any comment:	-

III. MONITORING METHODOLOGY

All data collected as part of monitoring should be archived electronically and be kept at least for 2 years after the end of the last crediting period. One hundred per cent of the data should be monitored if not indicated otherwise in the tables below. All measurements should be conducted with calibrated measurement equipment according to relevant industry standards.

In addition, the monitoring provisions in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

For each monitoring year it shall be demonstrated that the energy content ($E_{OF,i,y}$) of other fuels (Auxiliary and back-up fuels) is not more than 15% of energy of permeate gas used in that year.

If this condition is not met for any monitoring year, the emission reductions can not be claimed for that year.

Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter:	$EG_{PJ,y}$
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant in year *y*
Source of data:	Electricity meter
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous
QA/QC procedures:	Metered net electricity generation should be cross-checked with receipts from sales
Any comment:	The net quantity electricity that is exported to the grid

Data / Parameter:	$EF_{BL,CO2,y}$
Data unit:	tCO_2/MWh
Description:	Baseline CO_2 emission factor for project electricity system in year *y*
Source of data:	For the calculation of combined margin emission factor refer the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system"
Measurement procedures (if any):	For the calculation of combined margin emission factor refer the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system"
Monitoring frequency:	-
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	$w_{CH4,PG,y}$
Data unit:	kg CH_4/kg of permeate gas
Description:	Average mass fraction of methane in the permeate gas in year *y*
Source of data:	Actual measurements
Measurement procedures (if any):	Chemical analysis (e.g. gas chromatography)
Monitoring frequency:	Weekly (minimum)
QA/QC procedures:	Methane content of gas should be crossed checked with previous months' data as well as with the owners of the oil and gas processing plant
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	$t_{equipment}$
Data unit:	Time (hours of use)
Description:	The operation time of the equipment (in absence of further information, the monitoring period could be considered as a conservative approach)
Source of data:	Plant records or time of use meters
Measurement procedures (if any):	Measurements by project participants through an appropriate metering device e.g. a datalogger connected to equipment that records the operational time of the equipment
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously and aggregated annually

QA/QC procedures:	Time of use meters will be calibrated as often as required by manufacturing recommendations
Any comment:	The pipeline taking the permeate gas to the new power plant will be measured for the hours of its operation providing the required data to estimate the fugitive emissions from the pipe over the course of the baseline year

Data / Parameter:	$Q_{PG,y}$
Data unit:	MJ
Description:	Quantity of permeate gas used for energy generation in the project activity during year *y*
Source of data:	Project participants
Measurement procedures (if any):	Direct measurements by project participants arrived at based on: (a) The permeate gas density and volume flow measurements of the permeate gas; and (b) The net calorific value of the permeate gas
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously and aggregated annually
QA/QC procedures:	Measuring equipment should be calibrated on regular appropriate intervals. During the time of calibration and maintenance, alternative equipment should be used for monitoring
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	$E_{OF,i,y}$
Data unit:	MJ per mass or volume unit (e.g. MJ/m³, MJ/kg)
Description:	Energy content of other fuels fired for auxiliary and back-up purpose for energy generation which is the weighted average net calorific value of fuel type *i* in year *y*.
Source of data:	The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply:

Data source	**Conditions for using the data source**
a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source if the carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided
b) Measurements by the project participants	If a) is not available
c) Regional or national default values	If a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances).
d) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If a) is not available

Measurement procedures (if any):	For a) and b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards
Monitoring frequency:	For a) and b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account
QA/QC procedures:	Verify if the values under a), b) and c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. If the values fall below this range collect additional information from the testing laboratory to justify the outcome or conduct additional measurements. The laboratories in a), b) or c) should have ISO17025 accreditation or justify that they can comply with similar quality standards.
Any comment:	The project participants should ensure that other fuels do not exceed the threshold of 15% of the energy of permeate gas fired in year *y*

IV. REFERENCES AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Appendix 1: Demonstration of use of permeate gas in the natural gas processing facility

It shall be demonstrated that all of the permeate gas produced by the natural gas processing facility was flared and/or released into the atmosphere for at least 3 years prior to the implementation of the project activity by one of the following methods.

- Direct measurements of the amount of the permeate gas flared and/or vented for at least *three years* prior to the start of the project activity;
- Providing an energy balance of the relevant sections of the facility to prove that the permeate gas was not a source of energy before the implementation of the project activity. For the energy balance applicable process parameters are required. The energy balance must demonstrate that the permeate gas was not used and also provide conservative estimations of the amount of permeate gas released;
- Providing energy bills (electricity, fossil fuel) to demonstrate that all the energy required for the natural gas processing facility has been procured commercially. Project participants are required to demonstrate through the financial documents (e.g. balance sheets/ profit and loss statement) that no energy was generated by recovery of permeate gas and sold/delivered to other facilities and/or the grid. The bills and financial statements should be audited by competent authorities;
- Process plant manufacturer's commissioning report from the facility could be used as an estimate of the quantity and energy content of the permeate gas produced for the rated plant capacity/per unit of natural gas processed**;**
- An on-site check by DOE prior to the implementation of the CDM project activity to confirm that no equipment for permeate gas recovery and utilisation had been installed. This check should also confirm that such installation never existed in the past.

- - - - -

History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
03.0.0	11 May 2012	EB 67, Annex 10 Revision to: • Introduce a new definition of permeate gas; • Introduce provisions for the demonstration of use of permeate gas prior to the implementation of the project activity; • Introduce provisions for the estimation of costs of permeate gas when applying investment analysis; • Include a barrier analysis for demonstration of additionality under certain conditions; • Change the approaches for calculating baseline emissions; • Introduce some monitoring parameters for permeate gas.
02	EB 51, Annex 8 04 December 2009	Revision to include the use of back-up fuels up to 15% on energy basis.
01	EB 44, Annex 5 28 November 2008	Initial adoption.
Decision Class: Regulatory **Document Type**: Standard **Business Function**: Methodology		