

 <b>CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</b>	
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	3 - 7 October 2011
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	Expand applicability of AM0009 V4 with new scenario AM_REV_0222
<b>Summary of the query:</b> Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.	
<p>AM0009 “Recovery and utilization of gas from oil wells that would otherwise be flared or vented” is applicable to project activities that recover and utilise associated gas and/or gas-lift gas from oil wells. The associated gas and/or gas-lift gas was flared or vented prior to the implementation of the project activity.</p> <p>The request for revision aims at expanding the applicability of the methodology to a new scenario where the recovered gas is transported to a new processing plant where i) condensates are separated and transported to final consumer(s), and ii) gas is compressed and injected in an existing gas lift system, replacing the injection of the same amount of gas-lift gas from other project oil wells from the same source, which can now be sent to treatment and sold to final consumer(s).</p> <p>In order to accommodate the new scenario, the following changes are proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Definition of “source of gas-lift gas”.</li> </ol> <p>The source in the context of this methodology is defined as the oil and gas basin.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) Inclusion of a new applicability condition to prevent the recovery of gas to comply with an expected increase in the gas-lift-gas demand.</li> <li>3) A minor modification of the baseline scenario identified for the existing oil and gas infrastructure (P4 - the continued operation of the existing oil and gas infrastructure without any significant changes).</li> <li>4) Addition of a new scenario for the associated gas and/or gas-lift gas from the project oil wells.</li> </ol> <p>G10: Recovery, transportation to a new processing plant where i) condensates are separated and transported to final consumer(s), and ii) gas is compressed and injected in an existing gas lift system, replacing the injection of the same amount of gas-lift gas from other project oil wells from the same source, which can now be sent to treatment and sold to final consumer(s), without being registered as a CDM project activity.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5) Revision of the figures (illustration of project activity).</li> </ol>	
<b>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</b> (a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).	
Please, refer to the box below.	

(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.

Please, refer to the box below.

**Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :**

Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query

The Meth Panel recommends not to approve the request for revision.

The request for revision has one fundamental problem about the use of recovered gas. In the proposed revision, part of the recovered gas is compressed and injected in an existing gas lift system. The submission assumes that recovered gas would replace the injection of the same amount of gas-lift gas earlier used from other oil wells, and moreover assumes the saved gas from other wells would be supplied to the market. This entails that the other oil wells presently supplying the gas to gas lift system of the project oil well need to be brought into the project boundary and quantitatively it needs to be demonstrated that additional products are delivered by other oil wells which are currently supplying gas-lift gas. However, this would make the current methodology too complex. It will not always be the case that the same quantity of the gas supplied in the project oil well would otherwise be supplied by another oil well with similar characteristics. In this regard, the proposed revision has not provided any safeguards to retain this condition all throughout the crediting period.

Furthermore, the baseline and project activity illustration included in the proposed revision needs further clarity. It is not clear why the project activity illustration has gas re-injection in two different places.

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Philip Gwage

Date: 7/10/2011

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lex de Jonge

Date: 7/10/2011

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_REV_0222
Name of the authors of the query:	JCI
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	7 October 2011
Date of transmission to the EB	7 October 2011
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	7 October 2011