
 <p style="text-align: center;">CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</p>	
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	26 - 30 March 2007
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	Propose the use of the latest version of the "Tool for demonstration and assessment of additionality" as an option of the additionality test / AM_REV_0038
<p>Summary of the query:</p> <p>Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.</p> <p>The request for revision proposes to allow project participants to assess and demonstrate additionality using either of the two following options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Option A) Apply the current additionality test included in the methodology; - Option B) Apply the latest version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality". If the Option B is chosen, project proponents shall apply Step 2 of the Additionality Tool (Investment Analysis) and demonstrate that the proposed project activity is unlikely to be financially attractive without the CDM. 	
<p>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</p> <p>(a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).</p> <p>The recommendation is to accept the request for revision. In doing so, the methodology may expand its applicability without losing its spirit and rigour. After the proposed change is incorporated in the new revised version of the methodology, projects proponents will have the option of conducting either the barrier analysis provided in the existing version of the methodology or the Investment Analysis provided in Step 2 of the Additionality Tool. The amendment will be incorporated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>The project developer can demonstrate additionality by selecting one of the following two options:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Option 1: Use the latest version of "Tool for demonstration of additionality assessment". If this Option 1 is chosen, project proponents shall apply Step 2 of the Additionality Tool (Investment Analysis) and demonstrate that the proposed project activity is unlikely to be financially attractive without the CDM;</i> - <i>Methodology-specific process for determination of additionality as follows: (i.e. existing method contained in the methodology)</i> 	
<p>(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.</p> <p>No projects will need to revise their PDDs as a consequence of the suggested revision since the suggested revision simply enables the methodology to have a broader applicability without any implication to those projects that are already making use of it.</p>	
<p>Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :</p> <p>Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query</p> <p>To accept the request for revision and include the proposed amendment presented in this recommendation into the revised version of AM0014.</p>	



Signature of the Meth Panel Chair

Date: 30/03/2007

(Akihiro Kuroki)



Signature of the Meth Panel Vice-Chair

Date: 30/03/2007

(Xuedu Lu)

Information to be completed by the secretariat

F-CDM-AM	AM-REV-0038
Name of the authors of the query:	BVQI
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	30 March 2007
Date of transmission to the EB	30 March 2007
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	30 March 2007