

	<b>CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</b>
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	7 - 11 April 2008
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	Revision to extend AM0014 to include newly developed facility. AM_REV_0084
<b>Summary of the query:</b> Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.	
<p>The project proponents have requested the revision in context of project activity for installation of a new 56.3 MW cogeneration facility to supply heat and electricity to new commercial and residential complexes. The request is to revise the methodology as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Expand the applicability to include commercial and residential complexes receiving heat and electricity from the cogeneration project, as present version is applicable to an industrial facility only;</li> <li>(ii) To include the baseline approach 48 b) along with the existing approach 48 a);</li> <li>(iii) To remove the applicability condition which requires that no excess electricity will be supplied to the grid and no excess heat will be supplied to other user/s.</li> </ul> <p>The revised methodology has been submitted by project proponents incorporating some of the required changes.</p>	
<b>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</b> (a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).	
<p>The Meth Panel observes the following points concerning the request for revision:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is acceptable to include residential/commercial facilities consuming heat/electricity from the cogeneration project activity in the methodology;</li> <li>2. The request to include 48 b) as an additional baseline approach can be accepted as well in view of new cogeneration plant and new consumer facility being built up;</li> <li>3. With regard to removing the applicability condition stating that no excess electricity will be supplied to the grid and no excess heat will be supplied to other user/s, the Meth Panel is of the position that this is problematic. The fourth condition was included in the methodology not to allow excess electricity/heat generation for the supply to grid/other users, because by doing so the project might increase the emission intensity of the grid or of other users, who might be using electricity/heat with cleaner sources in the baseline. As these users are outside the project boundary, the possible impact of supplying excess electricity/heat to these users cannot be monitored and estimated under provision of existing methodology. Also, project proponents have not proposed/made any changes in the proposed revised methodology to address these issues;</li> <li>4. Although, project proponents refer to the <i>Combined Tool</i> for identification of the baseline scenario and demonstration of additionality, the additionality is still determined as per existing <i>Additionality Tool</i>, resulting in the reference of two tools for proving additionality, which is confusing. Moreover, project proponents have not identified the new baseline scenarios related to the new facilities; e.g. all the scenarios indicate how the <i>existing facility</i> would have received electricity and heat in the absence of the CDM cogeneration project. There is no procedure established in the methodology to identify the baseline plant for the new facility;</li> <li>5. Also, it is not clear from the PDD whether the owner of the cogeneration facility and the commercial/residential complex/s is the same or different, to check the applicability of this methodology</li> </ol>	

to the project in question.

In view of the above issues identified with the request, the Meth Panel is of the opinion that request cannot be accepted. Project proponents are requested to resolve the issues raised here and submit the request again for future consideration of the Meth Panel.

(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.

The recommendation is not to revise methodology.

**Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :**

Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query

Please see above.



Signature of Meth Panel Chair .....

Date: 11/04/2008

(Akihiro Kuroki)



Signature of Meth Panel Vice-Chair .....

Date: 11/04/2008

(Philip Gwage)

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_REV_0084
Name of the authors of the query:	TUEV-SUED
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	11 April 2008
Date of transmission to the EB	11 April 2008
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	11 April 2008