



CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)
(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)

<i>Date of SSC WG meeting:</i>	26–29 April 2010, SSC WG 25
<i>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</i>	Clarification on the applicability of AMS-III.D for Greenfield project
<i>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</i>	AMS-III.D, ver. 15 “Methane recovery in animal manure management systems”
<i>Name of the authors of the query:</i>	Alan Silayan Institution: CaFiS Inc. asilayan@cafisinc.com

Summary of the query:

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from PP:

AMS III.D (Ver.15) covers project activities involving the replacement or modification of existing anaerobic manure management systems in livestock farms to achieve methane recovery and destruction by flaring/combustion or gainful use of the recovered methane.

Under the INDICATIVE SIMPLIFIED BASELINE AND MONITORING METHODOLOGIES FOR SELECTED SMALL-SCALE CDM PROJECT ACTIVITY CATEGORIES (ver.12)

Para.14 states:

Type II and III Greenfield projects (new facilities): may use a Type II and Type III small-scale methodology provided that they can demonstrate that the most plausible baseline scenario for this project activity is the baseline provided in the respective Type II and Type III small-scale methodology.

We also note that while the general guidelines state the above, one clarification (SSC 351) was raised to SSC working group to clarify whether AMS IIIQ can be used for green field projects quoting the guidance of EB41 annex 20 para 14. SSC WG has turned down the request. SSC WG has also clarified in that request that "The SSC WG further clarified that the procedures/requirements of the applicable methodology have precedence over the 'General Guidance to SSC CDM Methodologies i.e., if the methodology states that it is only applicable to existing facilities paragraph 14 of the general guidance does not apply".

We found that SSC 98 was raised to clarify if AMS III.H. can be applied to greenfield projects, and SSC working group finally approved to expand the applicability of AMS III.H to include greenfield projects.

We also note that using an older version AMS III.D (ver. 13), project # 2267 was registered as a Greenfield project.

We therefore would like to clarify whether or not AMS III.D can be used for Greenfield projects.

Recommendation by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraph 25 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 25
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg).

Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that AMS-III.D is applicable to Greenfield projects and that the procedures for Greenfield projects included in the revised General Guidelines to SSC methodologies (see annex 9 of the meeting report of SSC WG 25) may be followed, if approved by the Board.

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Peer Stiansen

Date: 29/04/2010

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Hugh Sealy

Date: 29/04/2010

Information to be completed by the secretariat

SSC-Submission number	SSC_396
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	29 April 2010
Date of transmission to the EB	29 April 2010
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	29 April 2010