



## CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)

*(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)*

<b>Date of SSC WG meeting:</b>	24–27 February 2009, SSC WG 19
<b>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</b>	Clarification request regarding the baseline development for multiple fuel switch under AMS-III.B
<b>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</b>	AMS-III.B version 13
<b>Name of the authors of the query:</b>	Sunil Kumar Sharma Institution: Verve Carbon <a href="mailto:suniliitian@yahoo.com">suniliitian@yahoo.com</a>

### **Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from PP:

We are currently developing a Small Scale bundling project for fuel switch from various fuels (fuel oil (FO), diesel oil, lignite, coal, Carbon Black Feed Stock (CBBF), Light Diesel Oil (LDO) and Liquid Petroleum Gas) to Natural gas.

As per SSC WG 18 meeting report, there are chances that multiple fuel switch might be covered under AMS III B.

Please refer to paragraphs 11 and 17 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 18  
[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg)).

Baseline analysis shows that coal is the cheapest available fuel for all project activities at the time of fuel switch. In other words coal is the most plausible fuel for all project activities.

If multiple fuel switch is covered under AMS III B, then please clarify the following questions:-

- 1) Can we consider coal as a baseline for all project activities regardless of their pre-project scenario?
- 2) In case if we take coal as a baseline for all project activities, then it may not be possible for many industries to produce coal related historic data as they were using different fuels in pre-project scenario (it's mentioned in AMS III B v 13 to use historic data for emission reduction calculation). Can we consider IPCC default value for emission calculation?

**Recommendation by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraph 6 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 19  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg)).

**Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify, as currently written, AMS-III.B is only applicable to situations where complete and permanent switch from a single fuel (high carbon intensive) to a new fossil fuel (low carbon intensive) takes place.

The SSCWG agreed to recommend the current version of AMS-III.B as contained in annex 3 of the SSCWG 19 meeting report. The recommended revision broadens the applicability of the methodology by including options to consider multiple fuel use in the baseline and the project case as well as grid electricity use/displacement. Detailed procedures for choosing a baseline fuel or fuel mix to calculate baseline emissions have been included.

If the revisions are approved by the Board, the project proponent may evaluate if the proposed project activity is covered by the revised version.



Signature of SSC WG Chair .....

(Hugh Sealy)

Date: 27/02/2009



Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair .....

(Peer Stiansen)

Date: 27/02/2009

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

SSC-Submission number	SSC_254
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	27 February 2009
Date of transmission to the EB	27 February 2009
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	27 February 2009