



CDM: Recommendation form for Small Scale Methodologies (Version 01.1)

(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)

Date of SSC WG meeting:	05–08 March 2012, SSC WG 37
Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):	Clarification on the definition of applicable geographical area in the FOIK guidelines
Indicative methodology to which your submission relates <i>(refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable:</i>	AMS-III.Q “Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects”
Name of the authors of the query:	N. Ravi Kumar Institution: Ultratech Cement Limited (Unit: Tuticorin Cement Works.) ravikumar.n@adityabirla.com
<u>Summary of the query:</u>	
Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.	
<p>Original text from PP:</p> <p>The project activity recovers the cooler waste heat in a cement production facility and uses it to dry the wet fly ash. The PP has used authentic national data to demonstrate that the project is first of its kind in the country using the “Guidelines on additionality of first of its kind project activities” Version 01, Annex 11, EB 63. India is a vast country with large number of cement industries having national as well as international players. India ranks second in world cement producing countries. The cement industry in India varies from state to state based on the regulatory framework, environmental conditions and investment climate. Hence it is preferable to analyse a cement industry within a state where it will have a comparable investment climate and regulatory conditions.</p> <p>Thus considering the state in which the project activity is implemented for the analysis is representative of the entire country which provides a view on the similar technology implemented in other cement industries. Hence PP has chosen the host country for determining the additionality where a large number of data and statistics are available for the industrial sector (Cement) similar to the project activity.</p> <p>Clarification is required on the definition of applicable geographical area as provided in the Guidelines on additionality of first of its kind project activities” Version 01, Annex 11, EB 63, which states that “Applicable geographical area covers the entire host country as a default; if the technology applied in the project is not country specific, then the applicable geographical area should be extended to other countries” .</p>	
<u>Recommendation by the SSC WG:</u>	
Please use the space below to provide amendments / change (in your expert view, if necessary).	
Please refer to paragraph 26 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 37 http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg .	

Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board (CDM-EB) would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that in order to demonstrate FOIK the selected region can be a host country or a region, depending on local conditions. The selected region can also be extended to other host countries if the technology applied in the project is not country-specific. However, to conclude whether or not boundaries of a state, a province or that of the country itself is the most suitable geographical region for demonstrating FOIK for the cement sector in India, it is the prerogative of the DOE and the Board to accept the arguments of the project proponent when choosing the selected geographical region.

The SSC WG is of the opinion that guidelines on FOIK are not mandatory to apply even if the FOIK concept is used to demonstrate a prevailing practice barrier in the context of a small-scale project. The SSC WG, however, agreed to seek guidance from the Board as to whether the above understanding is correct.

Signature of SSC WG Chair: Mr. Peer Stiansen

Date: 08/06/2012

Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair: Ms. Fatou Gaye

Date: 08/06/2012

SECTION TO BE FILLED IN BY THE UNFCCC SECRETARIAT

SSC-Submission number:

SSC_631

Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat:

08 June 2012

Date of transmission to the EB:

08 June 2012

Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site:

08 June 2012

History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
01.1	12 April 2012	Editorial changes to include new logo and other improvements.
01.0	2005	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Methodology		