



## CDM: Recommendation form for Small Scale Methodologies (Version 01.1)

*(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)*

<b>Date of SSC WG meeting:</b>	As per procedures for fast track clarifications
<b>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</b>	Clarification on the applicability of the tool "Project and leakage emissions from composting" and the "Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in CDM methodologies" to AMS-III.F ver. 10
<b>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates</b> <i>(refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable:</i>	AMS-III.F "Avoidance of methane emissions through composting"
<b>Name of the authors of the query:</b>	Hemant Nandanpawar Institution: CDM Consultant <a href="mailto:nandanpawar123@yahoo.co.in">nandanpawar123@yahoo.co.in</a>

### **Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from Stakeholder:

Our question is related to a composting PoA project with use of small scale methodology AMS.III.F version 10. The project is under final stage of validation (TR is under completion). The version 10 (current version of project PDD) of the SSC Meth does not refers to the tool on '**Project and leakage emissions from composting/ Ver. 01**' and the 'Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in CDM methodologies/ Ver. 02 of EB68. This tool and guideline is addressed in the version 11 of the methodology.

For our project (CPA under PoA), the application of above mentioned tool on project and leakage emission will increase the project emissions drastically which will lead negative CERs for early few years (2-3 years) and on overall basis reduces the CERs substantially in the crediting period of 10 years. This will have negative impact on composting project implementation in small cities. For these small municipalities, CER revenue is the only revenue from project which will help in operation and maintenance of the project.

In view of above, we seek a small clarification in this regard that whether use of above mentioned tool and guideline is mandatory for the version 10 based project documents. Your kind confirmation in this regard will help PP to move forward and will also help to avoid confusion to DoE at this crucial stage of validation complication.

### **Recommendation by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments / change (in your expert view, if necessary).

This recommendation is as per the procedures for fast track clarifications as specified in paragraph 8 of the 'procedures for the submission and consideration of request for clarification of approved small-scale methodologies' found at <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/MethSSC\\_proc01\\_EB34a06.pdf](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/MethSSC_proc01_EB34a06.pdf)>.

**Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that as per the CDM Executive Board Decision framework – decision hierarchy, document types and control of documentation issued by the Board (Annex 25, EB61) – it is understood that if a version of an approved methodology refers to some or all components of a tool, then the use of that tool is mandatory when applying that approved methodology. Since the Methodology AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting” version 10 does not refer to the tool “Project and leakage emissions from composting”, its application is not mandatory.

As per paragraph 8 and 9 of the “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in the CDM methodologies” due adjustments to suit the particularities of a methodology shall be made in the generic approaches that are to be used in relevant CDM methodologies to address suppressed demand and include conditions to demonstrate the existence of a suppressed demand situation. AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting” version 11 lists conditions to demonstrate the absence of a basic waste treatment system and complies with the “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in the CDM methodologies”, whereas previous versions of the methodology do not include such. Thus, AMS-III.F version 10 does not include a method for addressing suppressed demand and it is not mandatory to apply “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in the CDM methodologies” to version 10 of the methodology.

Signature of SSC WG Chair: Mr. Peer Stiansen

Date: 28/01/2013

Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair: Ms. Fatou Gaye

Date: 28/01/2013

**SECTION TO BE FILLED IN BY THE UNFCCC SECRETARIAT**

**SSC-Submission number:**

SSC\_666

**Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat:**

28 January 2013

**Date of transmission to the EB:**

28 January 2013

**Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site:**

28 January 2013

-----

**History of the document**

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
01.1	12 April 2012	Editorial changes to include new logo and other improvements.
01.0	2005	Initial publication.
<b>Decision Class:</b> Regulatory <b>Document Type:</b> Form <b>Business Function:</b> Methodology		