



**Approved baseline and monitoring methodology /  
methodological tool clarification response form  
(Version 02.0)**

**INFORMATION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT OR PANEL / WG**

<b>Date and number of Panel / WG meeting:</b>	15 – 19 June 2015 / MP 67
<b>Title/Subject of the request for clarification:</b>	Does ACM0022 provide the prioritized determination manner of FFC <sub>j</sub> and FCC <sub>j</sub> compared AM0025
<b>Reference number of the request for clarification:</b>	AM_CLA_269
<b>Exact reference (number, title and version) of the methodology or methodological tool to which the request for clarification applies:</b>	ACM0022: "Alternative waste treatment processes" - Version 2.0
<b>Fast track or Regular track:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fast track <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular track

**Summary of the request for clarification**

**Original text from Stakeholder:**

ACM0022 doesn't require the monitoring of FFC<sub>j</sub> (Fraction of fossil carbon in total carbon content of waste type *j*) and FCC<sub>j</sub> (Fraction of total carbon content in waste type *j*). ACM0022 is the methodology that merges AM0025 ver. 14 and AM0036 ver. 1. FFC<sub>j</sub> and FCC<sub>j</sub> are originally from AM0025.

However, AM0025 ver. 13 and earlier versions require the monitoring of FFC<sub>j</sub> and FCC<sub>j</sub>.

The query is, given that a project activity was registered complying AM0025 ver. 12 or ver. 13, is the project participate still required to monitor FFC<sub>j</sub> and FCC<sub>j</sub>? Considering AM0025 ver.14 and its successor ACM0022 list these two parameters as "data and parameters not monitored", is the project participate allowed to determine the value of FFC<sub>j</sub> and FCC<sub>j</sub> according to the guideline provided by the latest version of ACM0022?

**Clarification by the secretariat or Panel / WG**

The Methodologies Panel of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism would like to thank the author for the submission.

The Meth Panel agreed to clarify that :

- a) Though the use of IPCC default values is justifiable from a methodological perspective, in accordance with the current CDM rules, it is not possible to directly use the simplification in a current version of the methodology (ACM 0022 version 2.0), which allows the use of default values instead of monitoring FFC<sub>j</sub> (Fraction of fossil carbon in total carbon content of waste type *j*) and FCC<sub>j</sub> (Fraction of total carbon content in waste type *j*) to a previously registered project with older version or different methodology.
- b) In order to change the applied version of the methodology (including new consolidated methodology) in a registered project activity (from AM0025 version 12.0 or 13.0 to ACM0022 version 2.0 in this case), please follow the relevant procedures indicated in the regulatory documents of the CDM Board (Project Standard and Project Cycle Procedures).

- - - - -

## Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	18 July 2013	Revised to remove the row “Date and signature of the chair and vice chair of Panel/WG (in case of clarification by Panel/WG)”
01.0	4 July 2013	Initial publication. This document supersedes and replaces the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (F-CDM-SSCwg) (Version 01.1)</li><li>• Recommendation Form for Small Scale A/R Methodologies and Procedures (F-CDM-SSC-AR) (Version 01.1)</li></ul>
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form, Clarification Business Function: Methodology Keywords: applying methodologies and tools		