



**CDM: Response form for request for clarification on
Approved Methodologies
(version 01.1)**

<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	19 – 22 August 2013
<i>Title and number of request for clarification</i>	Clarification on the applicability of ACM0002 Version 13.0.0 to a hydropower project activity AM_CLA_0247
<p><u>Summary of the query:</u></p> <p>Please use the space below to summarize the request for clarification on the related approved methodologies.</p> <p>The clarification is regarding the applicability of approved methodology ACM0002 version 13 to a hydropower project activity.</p> <p>The underlying project activity is to re-construct a hydro power plant at a site where the previous one had been destroyed by flood in July 2004. The project activity includes re-construction of tailrace tunnel, switchyard, most of supporting facility and installation of new turbines, generators, transformers and monitoring system. The power generation capacity of the new plant would be 368 MW comparing to the previous one i.e. 360MW.</p> <p>This request is seeking for the clarification on whether this project activity could be considered as an installation of a new power plant at a site where no renewable power plant was operated prior to the implementation of the project activity (greenfield plant) and if the baseline emissions calculation could follow option (a) Greenfield renewable energy power plants.</p>	
<p><u>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</u></p> <p>Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).</p> <p>Not applicable.</p>	
<p><u>Answer to authors of the request for clarification by the Meth Panel :</u></p> <p>Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query</p> <p>The Methodologies Panel clarifies that the proposed project activity <u>could not qualify as Greenfield power plant</u> since the hydro-power plant will be re-constructed at a site where a previous hydro-power plant was destroyed by a flood in July 2004. Also the Meth Panel clarifies that the project <u>does not qualify as a retrofit project</u>. As in context of ACM0002 retrofit applies to restoring the installed generation capacity of existing power plant to or above the original level.</p> <p>However, the proposed project activity <u>qualifies as rehabilitation of the hydro-power plant</u> which operated up to July 2004.</p> <p>The Methodologies Panel in-line with the new procedure for ‘Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools’ agreed to request a mandate from the Board for top-down revision of ACM0002 so as to clarify the applicable requirements for calculation of $EG_{P,J,y}$ and $EG_{historical}$ in case of rehabilitation.</p>	

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Eduardo Calvo

Date: 22/08/2013

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lambert Schneider

Date: 22/08/2013

Information to be completed by the secretariat

F-CDM-AM	AM_CLA_0247
Name of the authors of the query:	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	22 August 2013
Date of transmission to the EB	22 August 2013
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	22 August 2013