

	<b>CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</b>
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	14 - 18 September 2009
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	Revision of AM0014 to include new energy users and multiple fuels AM_REV_0125
<b>Summary of the query:</b>	
Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.	
<p>The request is to revise methodology AM0014 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) In view of new cogeneration systems and new industrial energy users to be built up, the baseline approach 48b) of the CDM modalities and procedures “Emissions from a technology that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment” is included.</li> <li>(2) To allow for use of multiple fuels, the proposed methodology accounts for other fossil fuels in the project scenario in addition to natural gas (to fuel the natural gas-based package cogeneration) and for more than one fossil fuel for heat generation in the baseline scenario.</li> </ol>	
<b>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</b>	
(a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).	
<p>The Meth Panel recommends <u>not to accept</u> this request for revision due to following reasons.</p> <p>The panel considered this request for revision of methodology in combination with another request AM_REV_0126. The Panel also considered making several other changes in the methodology.</p> <p>However, at the end of the discussions, the Panel agreed that further work is required on identifying the approaches to determine baseline fuels in case the methodology is made applicable to multiple fuels in the project (and not only natural gas). The Panel is planning to work on this complex issue of fuel switching and fuel use in energy projects, as this decision depends on several variables which are a function of the different parts of the world and different sectors. For this issue, which is common to many approved methodologies, a decision was made to hire an external expert. If the project proponents want, they can help the Panel by proposing new approaches to determine baseline fuels, in case methodology is extended to multiple fuel projects.</p>	
(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.	
No applicable.	

**Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :**

Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query

The secretariat will separately send the work done by the panel on the draft revision of methodology, while considering requests for revision AM\_REV\_0125 and AM\_REV\_0126. Project proponents may wish to work on this reformatted version, in case they submit a new request for revision, addressing issues raised above.



Signature of Meth Panel Chair .....

Date: 18/09/2009 (Philip Gwage)



Signature of Meth Panel Vice-Chair .....

Date: 18/09/2009 (Pedro Martins Barata)

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_REV_0125
Name of the authors of the query:	TUEV-SUED
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	18 September 2009
Date of transmission to the EB	18 September 2009
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	18 September 2009