



CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)
(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)

<i>Date of SSC WG meeting:</i>	16–19 February 2010, SSC WG 24
<i>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</i>	Debundling conditions and small scale barrier analysis
<i>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</i>	<p>AMS-II.J and AMS-II.C</p> <p>Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities: Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories</p> <p>Guidelines for Objective Demonstration and Assessment of barriers</p>
<i>Name of the authors of the query:</i>	<p>Nadia Hamid - Moosa</p> <p>Institution: Carbonstream Africa</p> <p>nadiah@carbonstreamafrica.com</p>

Summary of the query:

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

Original text from PP:

Question 1:

Our company has been approached to complete CDM work on two project activities, namely street lighting and CFL's for household distribution in the same project area / municipality. The following two methodologies are being considered:

- II.J. Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies
- II.C. Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies

According to Annex 27: Compendium of guidance on the debundling for SSC project activities:

A proposed small-scale project activity shall be deemed to be a debundled component of a large project activity if there is a registered small-scale CDM project activity or an application to register another small-scale CDM project activity:

- (a) With the same project participants;
- (b) In the same project category and technology/measure; and
- (c) Registered within the previous 2 years; and
- (d) Whose project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small-scale activity at the closest point.

The current project has the same project participants, and will be registered within 2 years. Since the project area spans a municipality, the 1km project boundary rule will be fulfilled.

The question we have is if we are able to continue with the two projects, if both projects fit within the

same category which is sectoral scope 3?

We kindly seek guidance on what exactly “the same project category and technology/measure” means i.e. will street lighting and CFL’s (households) that are different technical solution and use the different methodologies, but within the same scope been seen as the “same project category and technology/measure”?

Question 2:

According to the Appendix B of the “Simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM Project activities”, simplified procedures for small scale project activities exists. The “Attachment A to Appendix B” is used to guide the additionality analysis.

In the 50th CDM EB meeting the “Guidelines for Objective Demonstration and Assessment of barriers” (annex 13) was pushed. Further the Annex 53, paragraph 12 page states that the Board agreed to establish “a positive list of sectors for which conservative criteria could be used to assess additionality, as an alternative option to the use of the additionality tool, initially for small scale renewable energy and energy efficiency projects.”

We seek guidance on whether the “Guidelines for Objective Demonstration and Assessment of barriers“ is applicable only to Large Scale Projects, or are Small Scale Project Activities requested to apply this guidance as well?

Recommendation by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraph 18 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 24 (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg).

Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query.

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

With reference to your first question the SSC WG is of the opinion that street lighting and residential lighting retrofits would not be considered as using the same technology/measure and are not of the same project category. Therefore, the project activity that was described in this submission would not be a debundled component of a large-scale project activity. This opinion is based on all three of the following being applicable to this specific situation:

- Considering the definition of “same technology/measure” on page 27 of the “Glossary of CDM Terms”, interior residential lighting versus exterior street lighting do not result “in the same kind of effect” (interior lighting versus outdoor lighting) and CFL’s versus street lighting technology (high pressure sodium/mercury vapour lamps, metal halide lamps), can be considered to not “use the same kind of equipment”.
- In Appendix B of the SSC CDM Modalities and Procedures the term “category” and the term “methodology” are used interchangeably. Thus, if the two project activities in question are applying different methodologies (as is the case in your submission AMS-II.J and AMS-II.C are being applied to household and street lighting applications, respectively) this may be considered different project categories.
- The purpose of the debundling rule was primarily to eliminate large industrial projects within the same facility being divided into several smaller projects and submitted as small-scale projects.

With reference to your second question, “Guidelines for Objective Demonstration and Assessment of barriers”, in accordance with the hierarchy of EB decisions (annex 31 EB 49) serve only to provide supplemental information (what to, when to, why to) such as acceptable methods for satisfying requirements of procedures of demonstration of additionality including those of SSC project activities.



Signature of SSC WG Chair

(Peer Stiansen)

Date: 19/02/2010



Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair

(Hugh Sealy)

Date: 19/02/2010

Information to be completed by the secretariat

SSC-Submission number	SSC_369
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	19 February 2010
Date of transmission to the EB	19 February 2010
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	19 February 2010