



**CDM: Response form for request for clarification on  
Approved Methodologies  
(version 01.1)**

<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	15-19 August 2011
<i>Title and number of request for clarification</i>	Calculation of project emission factor and moving average for cases where the abatement catalyst is installed after the start of a campaign  AM_CLA_0212
<b><u>Summary of the query:</u></b>  Please use the space below to summarize the request for clarification on the related approved methodologies.	
<p>The DOE refers to Version 2 of AM0034 “Catalytic reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants”, which stipulates the calculation of the specific emission factor for each campaign during the project’s crediting period, which is further used to calculate the moving average emission factor.</p> <p>The registration date of the project activity is before the start of the project campaign. But the abatement catalyst is installed later, during the first project campaign due to its availability. As the result the campaign is partially unabated. The DOE seeks clarification from the Meth Panel on which of the following options should be used to calculate the campaign-specific emission factor of the first campaign and the moving average emission factor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) To calculate the project emission factor using data of the entire partially abated campaign including data from the period without the abatement catalyst;</li> <li>(2) To calculate the project emission factor using data after the installation of the abatement catalyst;</li> <li>(3) Any other option for calculating the EF<sub>n</sub>, EF<sub>BL</sub> and EF<sub>MA</sub> for partially abated campaigns that complies with the methodology requirements and which is not covered by options (1) or (2) above.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</u></b>  Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).	
Not applicable.	
<b><u>Answer to authors of the request for clarification by the Meth Panel :</u></b>  Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query	
<p>The Meth Panel clarified that the emission reductions calculation should account for the project emissions which actually occurred within the crediting period. Thus, even in case the start of the N<sub>2</sub>O abatement activity occurs later than the starting date of the crediting period, project emissions shall be calculated for the whole project campaign despite the fact that the installation of the abatement catalyst does not coincide with the start of the nitric acid campaign.</p> <p>To calculate project emissions for a campaign where the abatement catalyst is installed after the starting date of the nitric acid campaign, the campaign specific emission factor shall be calculated using data of the entire campaign. However, the value for the emission factor for this particular campaign shall be excluded from the calculations of the moving average emission factor.</p>	

[This clarification can be applied for all versions of AM0034.](#)

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Philip Gwage

Date: 19/08/2011

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lex de Jonge

Date: 19/08/2011

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_CLA_0212
Name of the authors of the query:	DNV
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	19 August 2011
Date of transmission to the EB	19 August 2011
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	19 August 2011