



**Approved baseline and monitoring methodology /
methodological tool clarification response form
(Version 03.0)**

INFORMATION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT OR PANEL / WG

Date and number of Panel / WG meeting:	26 Feb – 1 Mar 2019 / MP 78
Title/Subject of the request for clarification:	End-user information in cookstove sales/distribution records
Reference number of the request for clarification:	SSC_746
Exact reference (number, title and version) of the methodology or methodological tool to which the request for clarification applies:	<p>AMS-I.E.: “Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user”</p> <p>AMS-II.G.: “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”</p> <p>Note: The clarification request is not bound to a certain version of the methodology</p>
Fast track or Regular track:	<input type="checkbox"/> Fast track <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular track

Summary of the request for clarification

Original text from the Stakeholder:

The methodologies AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G do not explicitly mention whether the sales/distribution records have to include end-user information (like names, addresses, phone numbers) of all end-users purchasing cookstoves or what proportion would be sufficient for monitoring surveys and/or tests. In cases where not all of the cookstoves are sold through direct sales, but also through resellers/retailers, it cannot reasonably be expected that resellers/retailers collect customers names, phone numbers and addresses during busy times. Though a sales invoice including the respective stove serial numbers is issued as soon as a reseller/retailer purchases cookstoves from the project developer (Novogaz), it is practically impossible for the project developer to have control over all resellers/retailers and oblige them to record end-user information every time a cookstove is sold and submit the records subsequently to the project developer. Resellers/retailers are however necessary to be able to significantly upscale the cookstove projects Novogaz is/will be involved in. Hence, the project developer would like to seek clarification whether it is acceptable to collect as many end user information (names, phone numbers, addresses) as commensurate with representative sampling, i.e. the number of end user information within sales record shall be large enough so that surveys and tests can be based on representative, purely randomly selected samples. In all cases this should not be less than 10 times the survey and field test sample sizes (taking into account the age of cookstove batch) calculated in line with the CDM Sampling Standard and Guidelines, in order to ensure an adequate end user pool to which random sampling can be applied.

The proposed approach is in line with what the Gold Standard methodology TPDDTEC allows (see <https://globalgoals.goldstandard.org/2166/>, pages 29-30).

The PP would like to ask the SSC working group to consider this request under the fast track procedure, since PP would like to submit the project documentation for DOE validation and registration request as soon as possible. The PP would like to thank the SSC working group for its understanding in advance.

Clarification by the secretariat or Panel / WG

The Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel) of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The Meth Panel noted, as per paragraph 5 (a), 23 (b), 24 (b) of the standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities (version 07.0), PPs/CMEs/DOEs shall ensure that samples are randomly selected and are representative of the population.

Further, it also noted that as per paragraph 120 (b) the PS-PoA (version 01.0), the eligibility criteria shall cover conditions to avoid double counting, such as unique identifications of product and end-user locations.

The Meth Panel considers that listing/recording of information of all end-users is important to meet the requirements above.

The project proponent did not submit the procedure to be used in order to draw a representative sample of the end-users in the absence of a database containing information on all end-users.

Therefore, the Meth Panel considers that the proposed approach covering only a subset of end-users is inadequate to meet the regulatory requirements cited above and therefore cannot be accepted.

Version(s) of the approved methodology / methodological tool to which the clarification is applicable:

AMS-I.E.: "Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user"

AMS-II.G.: "Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass" - *The clarification request is not bound to a certain version of the methodology.*

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	13 May 2016	Revised to include the row "Version(s) of the approved methodology / methodological tool to which the clarification is applicable"
02.0	18 July 2013	Revised to remove the row "Date and signature of the chair and vice chair of Panel/WG (in case of clarification by Panel/WG)"
01.0	4 July 2013	Initial publication. This document supersedes and replaces the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (F-CDM-SSCwg) (Version 01.1) • Recommendation Form for Small Scale A/R Methodologies and Procedures (F-CDM-SSC-AR) (Version 01.1)

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form, Clarification
Business Function: Methodology
Keywords: applying methodologies and tools