

	CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01) <i>(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)</i>
Date of SSC WG meeting:	19 - 23 March 2007
Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):	Further clarification on debundling definition as given in paragraph 62 of EB26 meeting report
Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.	AMS-I.D.
Name of the authors of the query:	Werner Betzenbichler TÜV-SÜD Industrie Service GmbH
Summary of the query:	
Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.	
<p>The request is related to two biomass projects from the paper industry in India. These projects are currently in the validation process. Both projects are being undertaken at the same plant, using the same methodology (AMS I.D.). One project is for the installation of a fully condensing turbine (thus generating only electrical energy) and the increase of steam production in an existing boiler. In the second project, an extraction cum backpressure turbine is installed thus providing electrical energy and also thermal energy in the form of steam.</p> <p>These two projects belong to same project participant, project boundaries are separated by less than 1 km and they are in the same category (AMS I.D.). It needs to be clarified whether they are also of the same technology/measure, in which case they would need to be bundled and meet the small-scale CDM requirements for both projects together.</p>	
Recommendation by the SSC WG :	
Please use the space below to provide amendments /change (in your expert view, if necessary).	
Please refer to Paragraph 24 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 09 (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg).	
Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG :	
Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query	
<p>The small scale-working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission. The SSC WG considered the submission and agreed that the two project activities do not belong to same technology/measure as explained below:</p>	
<p>The definition of debundling is included in the Appendix C of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities as “A proposed small-scale project activity shall be deemed to be a debundled component of a large project activity if there is a registered small-scale CDM project activity or an application to register another small-scale CDM project activity:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the same project participants; • In the same project category and technology/measure; • Registered within the previous 2 years; and 	

- Whose project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small-scale activity at the closest point.”

The criteria to determine if two different projects are applying the same technology are included in the glossary of CDM terms:

“Same technology / measure (SSC)

- Two different project activities will be considered to be applying the same technology if they provide the same kind of output and use the same kind of equipment and conversion process.
- Two different project activities will be considered to be using the same measure if they constitute the same course of action and result in the same kind of effect (e.g. two projects using the same management practice such as fuel switch).”

In this case, as the projects provide different outputs, steam and electricity in one case and electricity in the other they should be considered adopting different technology/measure.

The author of the submission shall take note of paragraph 37 of the thirtieth meeting report of the Board reproduced below. Given the two projects described in the submission are type I projects and are providing energy to the same user they should be considered as debundled components if they are submitted for registration within 2 years of each other.

“The Board agreed that in cases where a DOE has, in assessing the possibility that a small scale project is a debundled component of a large scale project activity, determined that two or more project activities are taking place within one kilometer of each other and with the same project participants:

- The DOE shall ensure that these projects are described in the PDD and that the validation report contains specific details on how it has been determined that the project activities are not a debundled component of a large scale project activity;
- The DOE shall consider the project activities to be a debundled component of a large scale project activity even in cases where they are taking place in different project categories, if the project activities are type 1 project activities providing energy to the same user and are registered, or submitted for registration, with 2 years of each other.”



Signature of SSC WG Chair

Date: 23/03/2007

(Ulrika Raab)



Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair

Date: 23/03/2007

(Richard Muyungi)

Information to be completed by the secretariat

SSC-Submission number	SSC_076
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	23 March 2007
Date of transmission to the EB	23 March 2007
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	23 March 2007