



Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

**TYPE I - RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS**

*Note: Categories I.A, I.B and I.C involve renewable energy technologies that supply electricity, mechanical and thermal energy, respectively, to the user directly. Renewable energy technologies that supply electricity to a grid fall into category I.D.*

Project participants shall take into account the general guidance to the methodologies, information on additionality, abbreviations and general guidance on leakage provided at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/approved.html>.

**I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation****Technology/measure**

1. This category comprises renewable energy generation units, such as photovoltaics, hydro, tidal/wave, wind, geothermal and renewable biomass, that supply electricity to and/or displace electricity from an electricity distribution system that is or would have been supplied by at least one fossil fuel fired generating unit.
2. If the unit added has both renewable and non-renewable components (e.g. a wind/diesel unit), the eligibility limit of 15MW for a small-scale CDM project activity applies only to the renewable component. If the unit added co-fires fossil fuel<sup>1</sup>, the capacity of the entire unit shall not exceed the limit of 15MW.
3. Combined heat and power (co-generation) systems are not eligible under this category.
4. In the case of project activities that involve the addition of renewable energy generation units at an existing renewable power generation facility, the added capacity of the units added by the project should be lower than 15 MW and should be physically distinct<sup>2</sup> from the existing units.
5. Project activities that seek to retrofit or modify an existing facility for renewable energy generation are included in this category. To qualify as a small-scale project, the total output of the modified or retrofitted unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.

**Boundary**

6. The project boundary encompasses the physical, geographical site of the renewable generation source.

<sup>1</sup> Co-fired system uses both fossil and renewable fuels.

<sup>2</sup> Physically distinct units are those that are capable of generating electricity without the operation of existing units, and that do not directly affect the mechanical, thermal, or electrical characteristics of the existing facility. For example, the addition of a steam turbine to an existing combustion turbine to create a combined cycle unit would not be considered “physically distinct”.



**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

**Baseline**

7. In the case of landfill gas, waste gas, wastewater treatment and agro-industries projects, recovered methane emissions are eligible under a relevant type III category. If the recovered methane is used for electricity generation the baseline shall be calculated in accordance with paragraphs below. If the recovered methane is used for heat generation it is eligible under category I.C.

8. For a system where all generators use exclusively fuel oil and/or diesel fuel, the baseline is the annual kWh generated by the renewable unit times an emission coefficient for a modern diesel generating unit of the relevant capacity operating at optimal load as given in Table I.D.1.

**Table I.D.1**

**Emission factors for diesel generator systems (in kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh\*) for three different levels of load factors\*\***

Cases:	Mini-grid with 24 hour service	i) Mini-grid with temporary service (4-6 hr/day) ii) Productive applications iii) Water pumps	Mini-grid with storage
Load factors [%]	25%	50%	100%
<15 kW	2.4	1.4	1.2
>=15 <35 kW	1.9	1.3	1.1
>=35 <135 kW	1.3	1.0	1.0
>=135 <200 kW	0.9	0.8	0.8
> 200 kW***	0.8	0.8	0.8

\*) A conversion factor of 3.2 kg CO<sub>2</sub> per kg of diesel has been used (following revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories)

\*\*) Figures are derived from fuel curves in the online manual of RETScreen International's PV 2000 model, downloadable from <http://retscreen.net/>

\*\*\*) Default values

9. For all other systems, the baseline is the kWh produced by the renewable generating unit multiplied by an emission coefficient (measured in kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh) calculated in a transparent and conservative manner as:

(a) A combined margin (CM), consisting of the combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM) according to the procedures prescribed in the 'Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system'.

OR

(b) The weighted average emissions (in kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh) of the current generation mix. The data of the year in which project generation occurs must be used.



**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

Calculations must be based on data from an official source (where available)<sup>3</sup> and made publicly available.

10. In the case of project activities that involve the addition of renewable energy generation units at an existing renewable power generation facility, where the existing and new units share the use of common and limited renewable resources (e.g. streamflow, reservoir capacity, biomass residues), the potential for the project activity to reduce the amount of renewable resource available to, and thus electricity generation by, existing units must be considered in the determination of baseline emissions, project emissions, and/or leakage, as relevant.

For project activities that involve the addition of new generation units (e.g. turbines) at an existing facility, the increase in electricity production associated with the project (EGy in MWh/year) should be calculated as follows:

$$\text{EGy} = \text{TEy} - \text{WTEy} \quad (1)$$

Where:

**TEy** = the total electricity produced in year y by all units, existing and new project units;

**WTEy** = the estimated electricity that would have been produced by existing units (installed before the project activity) in year y in the absence of the project activity, where

$$\text{WTEy} = \text{MAX}(\text{WTE}_{\text{actual,y}}, \text{WTE}_{\text{estimated,y}}) \quad (2)$$

Where:

**WTE<sub>actual,y</sub>** = the actual, measured electricity production of the existing units in year y;

**WTE<sub>estimated,y</sub>** = the estimated electricity that would have been produced by the existing units under the observed availability of the renewable resource (e.g. hydrological

<sup>3</sup> Plant emission factors used for the calculation of emission factors should be obtained in the following priority:

1. *Acquired directly* from the dispatch center or power producers, if available; or
2. *Calculated*, if data on fuel type, fuel emission factor, fuel input and power output can be obtained for each plant;

If confidential data available from the relevant host Party authority are used, the calculation carried out by the project participants shall be verified by the DOE and the CDM-PDD may only show the resultant carbon emission factor and the corresponding list of plants;

3. *Calculated*, as above, but using estimates such as: default IPCC values from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories for net calorific values and carbon emission factors for fuels instead of plant-specific values technology provider's name plate power plant efficiency or the anticipated energy efficiency documented in official sources (instead of calculating it from fuel consumption and power output). This is likely to be a conservative estimate, because under actual operating conditions plants usually have lower efficiencies and higher emissions than name plate performance would imply; conservative estimates of power plant efficiencies, based on expert judgments on the basis of the plant's technology, size and commissioning date; or
4. *Calculated*, for the simple OM and the average OM, using aggregated generation and fuel consumption data, in cases where more disaggregated data is not available.



**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

**I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)**

conditions) for year  $y$ .

If the existing units shut down, are derated, or otherwise become limited in production, the project activity should not get credit for generating electricity from the same renewable resources that would have otherwise been used by the existing units (or their replacements). Therefore, the equation for WTE still holds, and the value for  $WTE_{estimated,y}$  should continue to be estimated assuming the capacity and operating parameters same as that at the time of the start of the project activity.

If the existing units are subject to modifications or retrofits that increase production, then  $WTE_y$  can be estimated using the procedures described for  $EG_{baseline}$  below.

11. For project activities that seek to retrofit or modify an existing facility for renewable energy generation the baseline scenario is the following:

In the absence of the CDM project activity, the existing facility would continue to provide electricity to the grid ( $EG_{baseline}$ , in MWh/year) at historical average levels ( $EG_{historical}$ , in MWh/year), until the time at which the generation facility would be likely to be replaced or retrofitted in the absence of the CDM project activity ( $DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}$ ). From that point of time onwards, the baseline scenario is assumed to correspond to the project activity, and baseline electricity production ( $EG_{baseline}$ ) is assumed to equal project electricity production ( $EG_y$ , in MWh/year), and no emission reductions are assumed to occur.

$EG_{baseline} = \text{MAX}(EG_{historical}, EG_{estimated,y})$  until  $DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}$   
 $EG_{baseline} = EG_y$  on/after  $DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}$

Baseline emissions ( $BE_y$  in  $tCO_2$ ) are then, the product of the baseline emissions factor ( $EF_y$  in  $tCO_2/MWh$ ) times the electricity supplied by the project activity to the grid ( $EG_y$  in MWh) minus the baseline electricity supplied to the grid in the case of modified or retrofit facilities ( $EG_{baseline}$  in MWh), as follows:

$$BE_y = (EG_y - EG_{baseline}) EF_y \quad (3)$$

$EG_{historical}$  is the average of historical electricity delivered by the existing facility to the grid, spanning all data from the most recent available year (or month, week or other time period) to the time at which the facility was constructed, retrofit, or modified in a manner that significantly affected output (i.e., by 5% or more), expressed in MWh per year. A minimum of 5 years (60 months) (excluding abnormal years) of historical generation data is required in the case of hydro facilities. For other facilities, a minimum of 3 years data is required. In the case that 5 years of historical data (or three years in the case of non hydro project activities) are not available - e.g., due to recent retrofits or exceptional circumstances as described in footnote<sup>4</sup> - a new methodology or methodology revision must be proposed.

<sup>4</sup> Data for periods affected by unusual circumstances such as natural disasters, conflicts, and transmission constraints shall be excluded



**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

---

EG<sub>estimated,y</sub> is the estimated electricity that would have been produced by the existing units under the observed availability of renewable resource (e.g. hydrological conditions) for year y.

All project electricity generation above baseline levels (EG<sub>baseline</sub>) would have otherwise been generated by the operation of grid-connected power plants and by the addition of new generation sources, as reflected in the combined margin (CM) calculations described.

In order to estimate the point in time when the existing equipment would need to be replaced in the absence of the project activity (DATE<sub>BaselineRetrofit</sub>), project participants may take the following approaches into account:

- (a) The typical average technical lifetime of the equipment type may be determined and documented, taking into account common practices in the sector and country, e.g. based on industry surveys, statistics, technical literature, etc.
- (b) The common practices of the responsible company regarding replacement schedules may be evaluated and documented, e.g. based on historical replacement records for similar equipment.

The point in time when the existing equipment would need to be replaced in the absence of the project activity should be chosen in a conservative manner, i.e. if a range is identified, the earliest date should be chosen.

**Leakage**

12. If the energy generating equipment is transferred from another activity or if the existing equipment is transferred to another activity, leakage is to be considered.

**Monitoring**

13. Monitoring shall consist of metering the electricity generated by the renewable technology.
14. For projects where only biomass or biomass and fossil fuel are used the amount of biomass and fossil fuel input shall be monitored.
15. For projects consuming biomass a specific fuel consumption<sup>5</sup> of each type of fuel (biomass or fossil) to be used should be specified ex-ante. The consumption of each type of fuel shall be monitored.
16. If fossil fuel is used the electricity generation metered should be adjusted to deduct electricity generation from fossil fuels using the specific fuel consumption and the quantity of fossil fuel consumed.

---

<sup>5</sup> Specific fuel consumption is the fuel consumption per unit of electricity generated (e.g. tonnes of bagasse per MWh).



**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

---

17. If more than one type of biomass fuel is consumed each shall be monitored separately.
18. The amount of electricity generated using biomass fuels calculated as per paragraph 16 shall be compared with the amount of electricity generated calculated using specific fuel consumption and amount of each type of biomass fuel used. The lower of the two values should be used to calculate emission reductions.

**Project activity under a programme of activities**

The following conditions apply for use of this methodology in a project activity under a programme of activities:

19. In the specific case of biomass project activities the applicability of the methodology is limited to either project activities that use biomass residues only or biomass from dedicated plantations complying with the applicability conditions of AM0042.

In the specific case of biomass project activities the determination of leakage shall be done following the general guidance for leakage in small-scale biomass project activities (attachment C of appendix B) or following the prescriptions included in the leakage section of AM0042.

In case the project activity involves the replacement of equipment, and the leakage effect of the use of the replaced equipment in another activity is neglected, because the replaced equipment is scrapped, an independent monitoring of scrapping of replaced equipment needs to be implemented. The monitoring should include a check if the number of project activity equipment distributed by the project and the number of scrapped equipment correspond with each other. For this purpose scrapped equipment should be stored until such correspondence has been checked. The scrapping of replaced equipment should be documented and independently verified.



Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

---

Annex 1 (applicability conditions and guidance on leakage below concerns Project activity under a programme of activities)

Applicability

1. The methodology is applicable under the following conditions:
  - The project activity involves the installation of a new grid-connected power plant that is mainly fired with renewable biomass from a dedicated plantation (fossil fuels or other types of biomass may be co-fired);
  - Prior to the implementation of the project activity, no power was generated at the project site (i.e. the project plant does not substitute or amend any existing power generation at the project site);
  - The geographic and system boundaries for the relevant electricity grid can be clearly identified and information on the characteristics of the grid is available;
  - Biomass used by the project facility is not stored for more than one year;
  - The dedicated plantation must be newly established as part of the project activity for the purpose of supplying biomass exclusively to the project.
  - The biomass from the plantation is not chemically processed (e.g. esterification to produce biodiesel, production of alcohols from biomass, etc) prior to combustion in the project plant but it may be processed mechanically or be dried;
  - The site preparation does not cause longer-term net emissions from soil carbon. Carbon stocks in soil organic matter, litter and deadwood can be expected to decrease more due to soil erosion and human intervention or increase less in the absence of the project activity;
  - The land area of the dedicated plantation will be planted by direct planting and/or seeding;
  - After harvest, regeneration will occur either by direct planting or natural sprouting;
  - Grazing will not occur within the plantation;
  - No irrigation is undertaken for the biomass plantations;
  - The land area where the dedicated plantation will be established is, prior to project implementation, severely degraded and in absence of the project activity would have not been used for any other agricultural or forestry activity. The land degradation can be demonstrated using one or more of the following indicators:
    - (a) Vegetation degradation, e.g.,
      - Crown cover of pre-existing trees has decreased in the recent past for reasons other than sustainable harvesting activities;





**Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories**

**I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)**

- (b) Soil degradation, e.g.,
  - Soil erosion has increased in the recent past;
  - Soil organic matter content has decreased in the recent past.
- (c) Anthropogenic influences, e.g.,
  - There is a recent history of loss of soil and vegetation due to anthropogenic actions; and
  - Demonstration that there exist anthropogenic actions/activities that prevent possible occurrence of natural regeneration.

**Leakage**

2. An important potential source of leakage for this project activity is an increase in emissions from fossil fuel combustion or other sources due to diversion of biomass **residues** from other uses to the project plant as a result of the project activity.

If biomass residues are co-fired in the project plant, project participants shall demonstrate that the use of the biomass residues does not result in increased use of fossil fuels or other GHG emissions elsewhere. For this purpose, project participants shall assess as part of the monitoring the supply situation for each type of biomass residue *k* used in the project plant. Table 6 below outlines the options that may be used to demonstrate that the biomass residues used in the plant did not increase fossil fuel consumption or other GHG emissions elsewhere.

Which approach should be used depends on the most plausible baseline scenario for the use of the biomass residues. Where scenarios B1, B2 or B3 apply, use approaches L<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>2</sub> and/or L<sub>3</sub>. Where scenario B4 applies, use approaches L<sub>2</sub> or L<sub>3</sub>. Where scenario B5 applies, use approach L<sub>4</sub>.

**Table 6. Approaches to rule out leakage**

L <sub>1</sub>	Demonstrate that at the sites where the project activity is supplied from with biomass residues, the biomass residues have not been collected or utilized (e.g. as fuel, fertilizer or feedstock) but have been dumped and left to decay, land-filled or burnt without energy generation (e.g. field burning) prior to the implementation of the project activity. Demonstrate that this practice would continue in the absence of the CDM project activity, e.g. by showing that in the monitored period no market has emerged for the biomass residues considered or by showing that it would still not be feasible to utilize the biomass residues for any purposes (e.g. due to the remote location where the biomass residue is generated).
L <sub>2</sub>	Demonstrate that there is an abundant surplus of the in the region of the project activity which is not utilized. For this purpose, demonstrate that the quantity of available biomass residues of type <i>k</i> in the region is at least 25% larger than the quantity of biomass residues of type <i>k</i> that are utilized (e.g. for energy generation or as feedstock), including the project plant.





Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

L <sub>3</sub>	Demonstrate that suppliers of the type of biomass residue in the region of the project activity are not able to sell all of their biomass residues. For this purpose, project participants shall demonstrate that the ultimate supplier of the biomass residue (who supplies the project) and a representative sample of suppliers of the same type of biomass residue in the region had a surplus of biomass residues (e.g. at the end of the period during which biomass residues are sold), which they could not sell and which are not utilized.
L <sub>4</sub>	Identify the consumer that would use the biomass residue in the absence of the project activity (e.g. the former consumer). Demonstrate that this consumer has substituted the biomass residue diverted to the project with other types of biomass residues (and not with fossil fuels or other types of biomass than biomass residues <sup>6</sup> ) by showing that the former user only fires biomass residues for which leakage can be ruled out using approaches L <sub>2</sub> or L <sub>3</sub> . Provide credible evidence and document the types and amounts of biomass residues used by the former user as replacement for the biomass residue fired in the project activity and apply approaches L <sub>2</sub> or L <sub>3</sub> to these types of biomass residues. Demonstrate that the substitution of the biomass residues used in the project activity with other types of biomass residues does not require a significant additional energy input except for the transportation of the biomass residues.

Where project participants wish to use approaches L<sub>2</sub>, L<sub>3</sub> or L<sub>4</sub> to assess leakage effects, they shall clearly define the geographical boundary of the region and document it in the draft CDM-PDD. In defining the geographical boundary of the region, project participants should take the usual distances for biomass transports into account, i.e. if biomass residues are transported up to 50 km, the region may cover a radius of 50 km around the project activity. In any case, the region should cover a radius around the project activity of at least 20 km but not more than 200 km. Once defined, the region should not be changed during the crediting period(s).

Project participants shall apply a leakage penalty to the quantity of biomass residues, for which project participants cannot demonstrate with one of the approaches above that the use of the biomass residue does not result in leakage. The leakage penalty aims at adjusting emission reductions for leakage effects in a conservative manner, assuming that this quantity of biomass residues is substituted by the most carbon intensive fuel in the country.

If for a certain biomass residue type  $k$  used in the project leakage effects cannot be ruled out with one of the approaches above, leakage effects for the year  $y$  shall be calculated as follows:

$$LE_y = EF_{CO_2,LE} \cdot \sum_n BF_{LE,n,y} \cdot NCV_n \quad (1)$$

Where:

$LE_y$  = Leakage emissions during the year  $y$  (tCO<sub>2</sub>/yr)

$EF_{CO_2,LE}$  = CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the most carbon intensive fuel used in the country (tCO<sub>2</sub>/GJ)

<sup>6</sup> The generation of other types of biomass than biomass residues may be involved with significant GHG emissions, for example, from cultivation or harvesting.



Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

- $BF_{LE,n,y}$  = Quantity of biomass residue type  $n$  used for heat generation as a result of the project activity during the year  $y$  and for which leakage can not be ruled out using one of the approaches  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  or  $L_4$  (tons of dry matter or liter)
- $NCV_n$  = Net calorific value of the biomass residue type  $n$  (GJ/ton of dry matter or GJ/liter)
- $n$  = Biomass residue type  $n$  for which leakage can not be ruled out using one of the approaches  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  or  $L_4$

In case of approaches  $L_1$ ,  $BF_{LE,n,y}$  corresponds to the quantity of biomass residue type  $n$  that is obtained from the relevant source or sources.

In case of approaches  $L_2$  or  $L_3$ ,  $BF_{LE,n,y}$  corresponds to the quantity of biomass residue type  $k$  used in the project plant as a result of the project activity during the year  $y$  ( $BF_{LE,n,y} = BF_{PJ,k,y}$ , where  $n=k$ ).

In case of approach  $L_4$ ,  $(BF_{LE,n,y} \cdot NCV_n)$  corresponds to the lower value of

- (a) The quantity of fuel types  $m$ , expressed in energy quantities, that are used by the former user of the biomass residue type  $k$  and for which leakage can not be ruled out because the fuels used are either (i) fuels types other than biomass residues (e.g. fossil fuels or biomass types other than biomass residues) or (ii) are biomass residues but leakage can not be ruled out for those types of biomass residues with approaches  $L_2$  or  $L_3$ ; as follows:

$$BF_{LE,n,y} \cdot NCV_n = \sum_m FC_{\text{former user},m,y} \cdot NCV_m \quad (2)$$

Where:

- $BF_{LE,n,y}$  = Quantity of biomass residue type  $n$  used for heat generation as a result of the project activity during the year  $y$  and for which leakage can not be ruled out using approach  $L_4$  (tons of dry matter or liter)
- $NCV_n$  = Net calorific value of the biomass residue type  $n$  (GJ/ton of dry matter or GJ/liter)
- $n$  = Biomass residue type  $n$  for which leakage can not be ruled out using approach  $L_4$
- $FC_{\text{former user},m,y}$  = Quantity of fuel type  $m$  used by the former user of the biomass residue type  $n$  during the year  $y$  (mass or volume unit)
- $NVC_m$  = Net calorific value of fuel type  $m$  (GJ/ton of dry matter or GJ/liter)
- $m$  = Fuel type  $m$ , being either (i) a fuel type other than a biomass residue (e.g. fossil fuel or biomass other than biomass residues) or (ii) a biomass residues for which leakage can not be ruled out with approaches  $L_2$  or  $L_3$



Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies  
for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

*I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation (cont)*

---

- (b) The quantity of biomass residue type  $k$ , expressed in energy quantities, used in the project plant during the year  $y$  ( $BF_{LE,n,y} = BF_{PJ,k,y}$ , where  $n=k$ ).