



## CDM: Recommendation Form for Small Scale Methodologies (version 01)

*(To be used for presenting questions/proposals/amendments to the simplified methodologies for small-scale CDM project activity categories)*

<b>Date of SSC WG meeting:</b>	01–03 September 2008, SSC WG 17
<b>Title/Subject (give a small title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):</b>	Clarification on the use of the methodology II.D for energy efficiency projects
<b>Indicative methodology to which your submission relates (refer the items of Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures), if applicable.</b>	AMS-II.D
<b>Name of the authors of the query:</b>	Mr. Federico Gutiérrez Institution: Cementos Avellaneda S.A. (CASA) fg@cavellaneda.com.ar

### **Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the query related to SSC methodologies/categories SSC Modalities and Procedures provide recommendation/analysis of the SSC WG.

The project activity includes incorporation of non-calcined alternative materials in lime production. This project permits increased lime production capacity while using the same installed kiln capacity, without a need to increase the volume of fossil fuel used during this stage of calcinations. Through this change in lime production process, this project obtains an alternative lime with identical level of service as the previous one (one kg of baseline lime has an identical level of service than one kg of alternative lime), but with a net reduction of emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> per unit of final product.

It needs be clarified whether the proposed project:

- can be considered as an energy efficiency project in accordance with the Modalities and Procedures of CDM?
- can apply the approved methodology AMS-II.D?

### **Recommendation by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments/change (in your expert view, if necessary).

Please refer to paragraph 33 of the meeting report of the SSC WG 17  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg)).

### **Answer to authors of query by the SSC WG:**

Please use the space below to provide answer to the authors of the above query

The small-scale working group of the CDM Executive Board would like to thank the author for the submission.

The SSC WG agreed to clarify that the proposed project activity is not be eligible under the approved methodology AMS-II.D as the methodology does not address issues such as upstream emissions due to

the use of alternative material (e.g. non-calcined material and additives) by other users of this material especially where the material is not abundant.

The SSC WG would also like to point out that the project participant's argument that the project is an energy efficiency activity is not convincing as it is not clear whether all the project energy use has been considered in the estimation of the specific energy consumption. For example, the project activity involves the use of additives for which no information on energy use in their production has been provided. Furthermore, the argument of same service level of the final lime product may be erroneous as lime is used in various end use activities. For example, lime produced in this project may not be acceptable as a water treatment chemical.

The project proponents may wish to submit a new methodology.



Signature of SSC WG Chair .....

(Ulrika Raab)

Date: 03/09/2008



Signature of SSC WG Vice-Chair .....

(Kamel Djemouai)

Date: 03/09/2008

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

SSC-Submission number	SSC_213
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	03 September 2008
Date of transmission to the EB	03 September 2008
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	03 September 2008