	CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)
Date of Meth Panel meeting:	26 - 30 March 2007
Title and number of Request for revision	"New scenario for energy efficiency projects" / AM_Rev_0044
Summary of the query: Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.	
The request for revision proposes to amend ACM0006 with a new scenario for energy efficiency projects, including both retrofitting of existing plants as well as installing a new plant that replaces an existing plant, which are currently not covered by ACM0006.	
Recommendation by the Meth Panel: (a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary). The Meth Panel recommends to revise the methodology as proposed with small changes.	
(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology. The revision adds two more scenarios to the methodology (retrofitting and new plant). PDD's have to check more project alternatives when doing the baseline scenario determination (see below).	
Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel : Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query Change: The new scenarios assume that the (less efficient) reference plant in the baseline scenario uses the same amount of biomass residues than the (more efficient) plant in the project activity. This is the basis of the calculation of the increase of power production with the project. In order to test if this condition is met in a particular project, the former alternative P2 for power generation in the baseline case has been divided into four alternatives, for new plants and retrofits, that differ in the amount of biomass used: P2 The installation of a new biomass residue fired power plant, fired with the same type and with the same annual amount of biomass residues as the project activity, but with a lower efficiency of electricity generation (e.g. an efficiency that is common practice in the relevant industry sector) and therefore with a lower power output than in the project case. Px The installation of a new biomass residue fired power plant or retrofitting an existing plant, fired with the same type but with a higher annual amount of biomass residues as the project activity, but with a lower efficiency of electricity generation (e.g. an efficiency that is common practice in the relevant industry sector). Py The retrofitting an existing biomass residue fired power, fired with the same type and with the same annual amount of biomass residues as the project activity, but with a lower efficiency of electricity generation (e.g. an efficiency that is common practice in the relevant industry sector) and therefore with a lower power output than in the project case.	

Pz The **retrofitting** an existing biomass residue fired power, fired with the same type but with a **higher** annual amount of biomass residues as the project activity, but with a lower efficiency of electricity generation (e.g. an efficiency that is common practice in the relevant industry sector).

For changes see revised version of ACM0006.


With this, the extension of ACM0006 to the proposed scenarios should be approved.



Signature of the Meth Panel Chair

Date: 30/03/2007

(Akihiro Kuroki)



Signature of the Meth Panel Vice-Chair

Date: 30/03/2007

(Xuedu Lu)

Information to be completed by the secretariat

F-CDM-AM	AM-REV-0044
Name of the authors of the query:	DNV-CUK
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	30 March 2007
Date of transmission to the EB	30 March 2007
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	30 March 2007