



**Validation report form for post-registration changes for
CDM project activities
(Version 03.0)**

Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.

BASIC INFORMATION

Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity	Title: Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia UNFCCC reference number: 6762
Process track	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
Version number of the validation report	1.1
Completion date of the validation report	10/07/2020
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	03.1 of 19/06/2020
Project participants	Carotino Sdn Bhd. (Malaysia) Perenia Pty Ltd. (Australia)
Host Party	Malaysia
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	AMS-III.H. ver. 16 - Methane recovery in wastewater treatment
Mandatory sectoral scopes	13 : Waste handling and disposal
Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable	N/A
Name and UNFCCC reference number of	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.

¹ Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

the DOE	E-0052
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report	Amit Anand, CEO 

SECTION A. Executive summary

>> Carbon Check India Pvt. Ltd. (CC IPL) is appointed by Perenia Pty Ltd., has performed the validation of post registration changes for the project activity "Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia" in Malaysia, CDM Registration Reference N° 6762. The aim of the project activity is to capture anthropogenic methane emissions from the Palm Oil Mill anaerobic effluent treatment system and utilize the methane gas to generate renewable energy. The project is located within the Carotino Palm Oil Mill located at 23 km off Sri Jaya, Maran, Pahang in Peninsular Malaysia with GPS coordinates of 3°49'01" N, 102°49'04" E.

The term "UNFCCC criteria" refers to Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures and the subsequent decisions by the CDM Executive Board. The independent Validation by the DOE is required on the Registered CDM PDD to confirm the post registration changes with respect to actual implementation and operation carried out by the project activity. This report summarises the post registration changes of the project activity with respect to VVS for PA (version 02.0) /B01/. This report contains the findings and resolutions from the validation and a validation opinion.

Validation Scope:

The scope of the PRC validation is defined as an independent and objective review of the revised PDD /02/ to verify the impact of proposed revision of the PDD /02/ respectively. The Validation team confirms the contractual relationship between the DOE, Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd., and the Project Participant. The team assigned to do the validation meets Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd's internal procedures including the UNFCCC requirements for the team composition and competence. The validation team has conducted a thorough contract review as per UNFCCC and Carbon Check procedures and requirements.

Validation methodology and process:

The validation has been performed as described in the CDM VVS for PA (version 02.0) /B01/ and constitutes the following steps:

- Review of the registered PDD (Version: 02.3; Dated: 27/07/2012) /01/;
- Review of the revised PDD (Version: 03; Dated: 15/05/2020) /02/;
- Desk review of relevant documents;
- Interview with representatives of the PP.

This report is based on the assessment of the revised PDD undertaken through application of standard auditing techniques including but not limited to document review and stakeholder interview, review of the applicable/applied methodology and its underlying formulae and calculations.

The report contains the findings and resolutions from the validation and a validation opinion on the proposed post-registration changes thus confirming the revised project design as document is sound and reasonable and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria. The validation confirms that the implementation of the post registration changes is in line with the applied methodology and all other applicable tools and guidance.

The report is the combined assessment opinion for all the changes that are proposed in the PDD and request is submitted for prior approval by CDM EB.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader, Validator & Technical expert	EI	Buragohain	Champok	CC IPL	√	X	√	√

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Singh	Vikash Kumar	CC IPL
2.	Approver	IR	Anand	Amit	CC IPL

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/document review**

>>The registered project design document (PDD) Version 02.3 of 27/07/2012 /01/ and revised PDD version 03 of 15/05/2020 and 3.1 of 19/06/2020 /02/ in particular the baseline estimations, additionality of the project activity and the monitoring plan were assessed as part of the validation. In addition, the validation report /03/ for the project were reviewed.

Appendix 3 lists the documentation that was reviewed during the verification.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: NA				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	NA	NA	NA	NA

C.3. On site visit for this validation of post registration changes is not done. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Raya	Sirinut	Perenia PTY Ltd.	06/05/2020	Updated PDD, emission reduction calculations, application of methodology etc.	Champok Buragohain
2.	Nathan	Selva	Carotino Sdn Bhd.	06/05/2020	The project status, operational records, commissioning details, change in project design, approval.	
3.	Alvin	Kenny				
4.	Chandran	K				

					monitoring procedure etc	
--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------	--

C.4. Sampling approach

>>N/A

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form			
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents			
Corrections			
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	1		
Inclusion of a monitoring plan			
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents			
Changes to the project design			
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities			
Others (please specify)			
Total	1	0	0

SECTION D. Validation findings**D.1. Compliance with PDD form**

Means of validation	Comparing the PDD /02/ with the latest PDD template form provided by CDM EB listed in UNFCCC website /B04/.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	The validation team confirms that the revised PDD completed by the PP is compliance with the latest PDD form available at UNFCCC website.

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	<p>It has been observed that the project activity did not start its operation on the date forecasted in the registered PDD. Thus, the project participants requested the change of start date of crediting period in accordance with provisions from CDM project standard for project activity version 2.0 /B03/.</p> <p>The proposed change of start date of crediting period is from 01/01/2013 to 20/12/2014 (a delay of 1 year 11 months 20 days). Thus, following paragraph of project standard is applicable:</p> <p><i>235. If the proposed change to the start date of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity is between one and two years, or between two and four years</i></p>
----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

for a registered CDM project activity hosted by a least developed country, the project participants shall:

(a) Demonstrate that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data;

(b) Demonstrate that substantive progress has been made by the project participants to start the project activity

As per item (a) above, it is observed that no change occurred to the project activity which except delaying the commissioning of the project. The project activity involves replacement of all three open anaerobic ponds with two new units of first stage anaerobic digester (AD) tanks with fixed roof and one unit second stage AD tank/sedimentation tank with floating roof. These tanks will be fully equipped with biogas capture and collection system. The treated POME from the anaerobic digester system will overflow to the existing aerobic pond and subsequently to polishing plant prior to discharge to the plantation for land irrigation. The sludge from the anaerobic digester tanks will be sent to the plantation for soil application as a fertiliser. The generated biogas is used in gas engine for electricity generation (for internal consumption) and any excess biogas is flared in an enclosed flare system. The capacity of treatment system and other project technical specifications remain same as detailed in the registered PDD which is verified from commissioning report /05/. Therefore, no change occurred to the project activity which would result in a less conservative baseline.

It was also verified that presently no regulatory or contractual requirements that enforces implementation of a specific wastewater treatment technology, such as anaerobic digester or aerobic treatment system at palm oil processing plants for effluent treatment. Malaysian regulation allows utilization of open lagoon systems for wastewater treatment in the palm oil industry as per requirement in Environmental Quality (Prescribed) (Crude Palm Oil) Regulations 1977 /B05/.

Therefore, there is no change occurred to the project activity which would result in a less conservative baseline. However, PP has updated global warming potential (GWP) value of methane as per UNFCCC guideline, which resulted a change in estimation of emission reductions which is not related to any aspect of the project activity.

As per item (b) above, it is noted that substantive progress made by project proponent to start the project activity. The project proponent signed engagement letter with technology supplier (Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd) on 09/08/2011 /01/, and expected to complete the commissioning on 01/01/2013 (i.e the initial proposed start date of crediting period). However, successful commissioning and handover of the project happened on 20/12/2014 /05/ which is the revised start date of crediting period for the project activity. Below activities show, project proponent made substantive progress to start the project activity:

Activity	Date	Evidence
Engagement letter with technology supplier (Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd)	09/08/2011	Letter of Acceptance of Watermech Offer /03/.
Piling works for the foundation of proposed bio-gas plant	27/03/2012	Invoice raised by contractor (Jit Yu Construction) /06/
Electric installation work for the biogas plant	22/01/2014	Invoice raised by contractor (Malim Consulting Engineers Sdn Bhd) /07/
Construction of concrete road, retaining wall, RC road, fencing	Between January 2014, to November 2014	Invoice raised by contractor (Jit Yu Construction) /08/

	Pre-commissioning activities	October 2014 to 20 December 2014	Pre-commissioning reports by Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd. /09/
	Successful commission and handover of the project	20/12/2014	Commissioning and handover report by Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd. /05/
	From above, it is evident that continued work was in process to complete the project activity although delay happened only due to delay in completion of works by contractors engaged for different activities.		
Findings	CL1 was raised to clarify with supporting evidence to justify whether project meets para 236 of CDM project standard for project activities version 2.0. PP provided credible evidence for the same and updated the PDD accordingly. Hence CL is closed.		
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that the delay in project implementation happened due to the delay in construction activities. No changes have occurred to the project activity that resulted in a less conservative baseline, and that substantive progress has been made by the project participants to start the project activity. So, the project fulfils the requirement of para 236 of CDM project standard for PA, version 2.0. Hence, change in start date of the crediting period from 01/01/2013 to 20/12/2014 is accepted.		

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	<p>In the registered PDD, the Global warming potential (GWP) of methane (CH₄) is mentioned as 21 which is applicable for the first commitment period. Since the second commitment period is started now, PP has revised the GWP to 25. Though this need not to be reported as PRC as per the EB guidelines, PP has revised PDD along with the change in start date of crediting period which requires prior approval.</p> <p>Due to the change in GWP of CH₄, the annual average emission reduction is changed to 32,611 tCO₂e (from the estimated value in the registered PDD of 27,394 tCO₂e) /04/. Validation team checked the ER calculation sheet and found that the value estimated is correct /04/.</p> <p>Although the above change does not affect the registered monitoring plan and any deviation of monitoring from applied methodology it is regarded a permanent deviation from methodological regulatory document which is consistent with EB decision.</p>
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	<p>The validation team checked the updated PDD and ER calculation sheet and confirms the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The corrections with respect to change in GWP in calculating BE, PE & ER are correctly reported in the PDD - The emission reduction calculation provided in the ER calculation sheet is clear, transparent and correct. Validation team could also calculate the revised emission reduction from the registered ER sheet by just changing the GWP of methane. Hence it is confirmed that no other changes were made in the ER calculation sheet except the change in GWP of methane. - The revised emission reduction reported in the PDD (i.e. 32,611 tCO₂e) is verified to be correct. <p>The corrections made in the revised PDD are verified and found to be minor typo which does not have any impact on registered monitoring plan or any deviation of monitoring from the applied methodology. The deviation is considered a permanent</p>

	deviation from methodological regulatory document which is consistent with EB decision.
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

D.7. Changes to the project design

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>The final validation report has undergone a technical review and quality reviewer before being submitted to the project participant(s) and UNFCCC Executive Board. A technical reviewer qualified in accordance with CCIPL's qualification scheme for CDM validation and verification has performed the technical review.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>> Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (CCIPL) has performed the validation of the prior approval tarck post-registration changes for the registered CDM Project Activity "Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia" having UNFCCC reference number 6762. During the validation of the post-registration changes to the project activity, change to the start date of crediting period and permanent deviation from regulatory document from registered project activity has been identified. The post registration changes (PRC) to registered project activity has been validated in line with the requirements of PCP for project activities (version 02.0) /B02/, PS for project activities (version 02.0) /B03/ and VVS for project activities (version 02.0) /B01/.

CCIPL based on review of the revised PDD /02/ and interview with the PP confirms that the proposed corrections:


- Are an accurate reflection of actual project information; and/or
- Are in accordance with the applied methodologies, the registered monitoring plan.

The proposed permanent changes are unlikely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the calculation of emission reductions. The version of the template of the PDD is updated to the latest version of template. This change was assessed to confirm that the revised PDD complies with the completing instructions of the CDM-PDD-FORM. The validation team can confirm that the post registration changes carried out to PDD is in accordance with the requirements of UNFCCC. The DOE therefore accepts the changes and request for the approval of 'change to the start date of crediting period' and 'a permanent deviation from methodological regulatory document'. The validation was performed on the basis of rules and requirements defined by UNFCCC for the CDM project activities. The review of the revised PDD /02/, supporting documentation and subsequent follow-up actions (including interviews), have provided CCIPL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. During the course of validation, one (01) CL was raised which is successfully closed by the PP. Carbon Check India Private Ltd. concludes the validation with a positive opinion that the Project Activity "Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia", meets all applicable requirements of UNFCCC for post-registration changes and therefore recommends for the approval of 'change to the start date of crediting period' and 'a permanent deviation from methodological regulatory document' made to the PDD.

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
BE	Baseline Emissions
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CDM M&P	Modalities and Procedures CDM
CER(s)	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CH ₄	Methane
CL	Clarification Request
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
EB	Executive Board
EF	Emission Factor
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG(s)	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
kW	Kilo Watt
LoA	Letter of Approval
MoC	Modalities of Communication
MoV	Means of Verification
MR	Monitoring Report
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PDD	Project Design Document
PE	Project Emission
POME	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PP(s)	Project Participant(s)
RCP	Renewal of crediting period
Ref.	Document Reference
SS(s)	Sectoral Scope(s)
TA(s)	Technical Area(s)
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers



Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Champok Buragohain


has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures, in accordance with requirements of Accreditation Standard (version 07.0):


For following functions:

Validator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team Leader	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical reviewer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Verifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical Expert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Expert ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

In the following Technical Areas:

TA 1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TA 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 4.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 8.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 10.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 14.1	<input type="checkbox"/>
TA 2.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		


Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh
 Compliance Officer
Date of Approval
 24/12/2019


Mr. Amit Anand
 CEO
Valid Till
 23/12/2020

Revision History of the Document

26/12/2014	Initial Adoption
24/12/2015	Annual Revision
20/01/2016	Interim Revision for office address change
23/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2018	Annual Revision
24/12/2019	Annual Revision

¹ India

CARBON CHECK (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED
 Registered in India: U74930DL2012PTC232495
 Regd. Off: 2071/38, 2nd Floor, Naiwala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi - 110005
 Corporate off: G 49 & 50, 3rd Floor, Sector - 3, NOIDA (Uttar Pradesh) - 201301
 Tel: +91 120 4373114 | URL: www.carboncheck.co.in
 e-mail: info@carboncheck.co.in



Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.

Vikash Kumar Singh

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures, in accordance with requirements of Accreditation Standard (version 07.0):

For following functions:

Validator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team Leader	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical reviewer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical Expert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Expert ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

In the following Technical Areas:

TA 1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TA 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 4.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 8.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 10.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 14.1	<input type="checkbox"/>
TA 2.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Mr. Amit Anand
CEO

Date of Approval
24/12/2019

Valid Till
23/12/2020

Revision History of the Document

26/12/2014	Initial Adoption
24/12/2015	Annual Revision
20/01/2016	Interim Revision for office address change
23/12/2016	Annual Revision
24/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2018	Annual Revision
24/12/2019	Annual Revision

¹ India, South Africa

CARBON CHECK (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED

Registered in India: U74930DL2012PTC232495

Regd. Off: 2071/38, 2nd Floor, Naiwala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi - 110005

Corporate off: G 49 & 50, 3rd Floor, Sector - 3, NOIDA (Uttar Pradesh) - 201301

Tel: +91 120 4373114 | URL: www.carboncheck.co.in

e-mail: info@carboncheck.co.in

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
/01/	Perenia Pty Ltd.	Registered PDD for the project activity 'Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia'	Version 02.3 of 27/07/2012	PP
/02/	Perenia Pty Ltd.	Updated PDD for the project activity 'Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia'	Version 03 of 15/05/2020 and version 3.1 of 19/06/2020	PP
/03/	SIRIM	Validation report for the project activity 'Methane Capture and Utilization Project at Carotino Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia'	Report No. SQAS-CDM-EP10850002, dated 27/09/2012	PP
/04/	Perenia Pty Ltd.	Updated emission reduction worksheet (301407_PRC_ex-ante ER_Carotino POME_WWT_MY)	Dated 19/06/2020	PP
/05/	Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd.	Commissioning and handover report	Dated 20/12/2014	PP
/06/	Jit Yu Construction	Invoice raised for piling works for the foundation of proposed bio-gas plant	Dated 27/03/2012	PP
/07/	Malim Consulting Engineers Sdn Bhd	Invoice raised for electric installation work for the biogas plant	Dated 22/01/2014	PP
/08/	Jit Yu Construction	Invoices raised for Construction of concrete road, retaining wall, RC road, fencing	Between January 2014 to November 2014	PP
/09/	Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd.	Pre-commissioning activities	Pre-commissioning reports between October 2014 to 20 December 2014	PP
/10/	Watermech Engineering Sdn. Bhd.	Invoice raised for design, supply, installation, testing and commissioning of the biogas plant	Invoice dated 22/12/2016	PP
/B01/	UNFCCC	CDM Validation and Verification Standard for project activities	Version 02.0 of 29/11/2018	Others
/B02/	UNFCCC	CDM Project Cycle Procedure for project activities	Version 02.0 of 29/11/2018	Others
/B03/	UNFCCC	CDM Project Standard for project activities	Version 02.0 of 29/11/2018	Others
/B04/	UNFCCC	Project design document form	(CDM-PDD-FORM), version 11.0	Others
/B05/	Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Govt. of Malaysia	Environmental Quality (Prescribed Premises) (Crude Palm Oil) Regulations 1977		Others
/B06/	UNFCCC	AMS-III.H- Methane recovery in wastewater treatment	Ver. 16	Others

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID	01	Section no.	D.4	Date: 21/05/2020
Description of CL				
PP is requested to clarify/submit the following details:				
1. The commissioning record or any other record to justify the proposed start date of crediting period of the project activity.				
2. Please justify in updated PDD that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data.				
3. Demonstrate with credible evidence that substantial progress has been made by the project participant to start the project activity.				
Project participant response				Date: 19/06/2020
1. Provided Commissioning Report [Att01] from Watermech Engineering SDN. BHD. for successful commissioning & handover of Biogas Power Generation Plant, dated 20 December 2014.				
2. There was no change to the project activity design. The PRC PDD has been updated.				
3. Provided Revised Project Implementation Schedule [Att02] published by Carotino SDN. BHD. for Biogas Plant, Desulphurization Plant & Gas Engine timeline started from November 2010 and finished in December 2014 (was targeted in January 2014).				
Documentation provided by project participant				
301407_PRC PDD_Carotino POME_WWT_MY_ver3.1_19062020				
Att01 Carotino_COD				
Att02 revised CBP Project Implementation Schedule				
DOE assessment				Date: 26/06/2020
PP has submitted required documents and updated the PDD with appropriate justification in line with the requirements of paragraph 8.3.2 and paragraph 8.3.4 of CDM project standard version 2.0. Hence, CL is closed.				

Table 2. CARs from this validation

CAR ID	xx	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CAR				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 3. FARs from this validation

FAR ID	xx	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (CDM-EB93-A05-STAN);• Make editorial improvements.
02.0	31 October 2017	Revision to align with the requirements in the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0).
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		