

 <p style="text-align: center;">CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</p>	
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	21–25 June 2010
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	<p>”Revision of ACM0012 version 03.2 to allow for the case where the same portion of waste gas used directly in the baseline to generate process heat will be used in the project activity for the same purpose while the gas previously flared will be used for electricity generation”</p> <p>AM_REV_0194</p>
<p><u>Summary of the query:</u></p> <p>Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.</p> <p>ACM0012 “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects” is applicable to the recovery and use of waste gas from industrial facilities that otherwise will be flared or released to the atmosphere in the absence of the project activity.</p> <p>The request for revision seeks to expand the “Type 2” activities. This type of project activities allow for the situation where a portion of the waste gas that is used in the baseline, can be used to generate electricity in project scenario. In the proposed project of project participants a portion of the waste gas is used directly in the baseline to generate process heat and will continue to be used so. The project activity will be to use the remaining gas previously flared to generate electricity. It is submitted that there is no difference in principle between the situation where a portion of the waste gas is used in the baseline to generate electricity and the situation where a portion of the waste gas is used directly in the baseline to generate process heat. The draft revised methodology is submitted by project participants.</p>	
<p><u>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</u></p> <p>(a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).</p> <p>See below.</p> <p>(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.</p> <p>See below.</p>	
<p><u>Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :</u></p> <p>Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query</p> <p>The Meth Panel recommends <u>not to approve</u> the request for revision. The project participants may consider to apply the present version of the methodology, as according with the information received the methodology may be applicable to their project activity.</p>	

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Lex de Jonge

Date: 25/06/2010

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Philip Gwage

Date: 25/06/2010

Information to be completed by the secretariat

F-CDM-AM	AM_REV_0194
Name of the authors of the query:	ERM CVS
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	25 June 2010
Date of transmission to the EB	25 June 2010
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	25 June 2010