

 <p style="text-align: center;"><b>CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1)</b></p>	
<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	15 - 19 August 2011
<i>Title and number of Request for revision</i>	Inclusion of mechanical and other forms of power in the methodology AM_REV_0212
<p><b>Summary of the query:</b></p> <p>Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies.</p> <p>ACM0006 “Consolidated methodology for electricity and heat generation from biomass residues” is applicable to project activities that operate biomass-residue (co-)fired power-and heat plant. The power provided by the biomass-residue fired plant is limited to electric power.</p> <p>The request for revision seeks to broaden the scope of the project activity to include mechanical power in the output of the biomass-residue fired plant.</p> <p>A summary of the proposed revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Definitions.</i> To revise the definition of “power”;</li> <li>2. <i>Selection of baseline scenario and demonstration of additionality.</i> To exclude CDM projects under validation (in addition to the registered CDM projects) from the common practice test.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Recommendation by the Meth Panel:</b></p> <p>(a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).</p> <p>The Meth Panel recommends <u>to approve</u> the request for revision after making some changes to the draft revision of the methodology, submitted with this request.</p> <p>The draft amended methodology is annexed to the fifty-first Meth Panel meeting report.</p> <p>(b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology.</p> <p>Not applicable.</p>	

**Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel :**

Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query

The request of whether projects in validation are part of common practice or not is not specific to this methodology as the additionality test in this methodology follows the general principles from the *Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality* and the *Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality*. The Panel also notes that no further justification has been provided why this would have to be changed specifically in the context of ACM0006. The Panel therefore rejects this part of the revision.

If the project participants wish to re-submit a request of revision they might consider justifying their revision in the context provided for guidance to common practice in the *Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality* and the *Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality* or, alternatively, better substantiate why this only should apply to projects eligible for ACM0006.

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Philip Gwage

Date: 19/08/2011

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lex de Jonge

Date: 19/08/2011

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_REV_0212
Name of the authors of the query:	DNV
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	19 August 2011
Date of transmission to the EB	19 August 2011
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	19 August 2011