



**CDM: Response form for request for clarification on  
Approved Methodologies  
(version 01.1)**

<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	22–26 April 2013
<i>Title and number of request for clarification</i>	Applicability of project specific baseline scenarios stated in ACM 00012, Version 4  AM_CLA_0242

**Summary of the query:**

Please use the space below to summarize the request for clarification on the related approved methodologies.

The consolidated methodology ACM 00012, Version 4: “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects” is applicable to project activities implemented in an existing or Greenfield facility converting waste energy carried in identified WECM stream(s) into useful energy.

The project activity is the installation of new blast furnace with waste heat recovery system in an integrated steel plant (which is already having two blast furnaces, a power plant and other facilities). The blast air (high pressure hot air) is prepared at the power plant blowers and sent to the blast furnace stoves for heating till 1300 °C. The heat for the blast furnace stoves is provided by mix of waste gases (blast furnace gas, coke oven gas, etc.). The project will be installed for recovering the waste heat going out in form of flue gases from the blast furnace stoves. This recovered heat will be used to preheat the blast air. The project activity is saving the blast furnace gas and the saved gas is used in the power plant. The power plant is using coal as well. With the project activity the quantity of coal will be saved but it can't be monitored (due to other integrated processes and different users for flue gases, etc.).

The clarification is requested in following two issues:

- (a) Are the baseline scenarios for Heat Generation applicable to such a project?  
For example, is the application of the baseline Heat Scenarios H6/H7 as generation alternatives appropriate in the context of the project. Any alternative process may be less carbon intensive compared to the project activity. Option H14 is also not allowed. The reductions in the consumption of coal in the power plant leading to a net reduction in the emissions from the facility is consequential, which can however be attributed to the project activity;
- (b) Should we seek a change to the methodology itself?

**Recommendation by the Meth Panel:**

Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary).

Not applicable.

**Answer to authors of the request for clarification by the Meth Panel :**

Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query

The Methodologies Panel would like to clarify:

- (a) The baseline scenarios for heat generation are not applicable for the project mentioned in the request for clarification. The project activity is reducing the fossil fuel consumption in thermal power plant; therefore alternative associated with power generation should be considered. Moreover the present version of the methodology does not cover the baseline scenarios applicable for the project activity;
- (b) Considering the scope of the project activity project participants may consider submitting a new methodology/request for revision considering the baseline scenario and procedure for emission reduction calculation.

Signed by the Chair, Mr. Eduardo Calvo

Date: 26/04/2013

Signed by the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lambert Schneider

Date: 26/04/2013

**Information to be completed by the secretariat**

F-CDM-AM	AM_CLA_0242
Name of the authors of the query:	Bureau Veritas Certification
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	26 April 2013
Date of transmission to the EB	26 April 2013
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	26 April 2013