

ACM0009

Large-scale Consolidated Methodology

Fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas

Version 05.0

Sectoral scope(s): 01 and 04



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. SCOPE, APPLICABILITY, AND ENTRY INTO FORCE	4
2.1. Scope	4
2.2. Applicability	4
2.3. Entry into force	4
3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES	5
3.1. Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures	5
4. DEFINITIONS	5
5. BASELINE METHODOLOGY	6
5.1. Project boundary	6
5.2. Identification of the baseline scenario	6
5.2.1. Step 1: Identify all realistic and credible alternatives for the fuel use in the element process	6
5.2.2. Step 2: Eliminate alternatives that are not complying with applicable laws and regulations	7
5.2.3. Step 3: Eliminate alternatives that face prohibitive barriers	7
5.2.4. Step 4: Compare economic attractiveness of remaining alternatives	7
5.3. Additionality	8
5.3.1. Step 1: Investment & sensitivity analysis	8
5.3.2. Step 2: Common practice analysis	8
5.4. Project emissions	8
5.5. Baseline emissions	9
5.6. Leakage	11
5.7. Emission reductions	12
5.8. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2 nd and 3 rd crediting periods	12
5.9. Data and parameters not monitored	12
6. MONITORING METHODOLOGY	14

6.1.	Monitoring procedures	14
6.2.	Data and parameters monitored	14

1. Introduction

1. The following table describes the key elements of the methodology.

Table 1. Methodology key elements

Typical project(s)	Switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas in the generation of heat for industrial processes
Type of GHG emissions mitigation action	Fuel switch. Reduction of GHG emissions by switching from carbon-intensive to a less-carbon-intensive fuel in the generation of heat

2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force

2.1. Scope

2. This methodology applies to project activities switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas in the generation of heat for industrial processes.

2.2. Applicability

3. This methodology is applicable to project activities that switch in one or several element processes from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas. The fuel switching is undertaken in processes for heat generation that are located at and directly linked to an industrial process with a main output other than heat or that provide heat to a district heating system by means of heat-only boilers. Furthermore, the following conditions apply:
 - (a) Prior to the implementation of the project activity, only coal or petroleum fuel (but not natural gas) have been used in the element processes;
 - (b) Regulations/programs do not constrain the facility from using the fossil fuels being used prior to fuel switching;
 - (c) Regulations do not require the use of natural gas or any other fuel in the element processes;
 - (d) The project activity does not increase the capacity of thermal output or lifetime of the element processes during the crediting period (i.e. emission reductions are only accounted up to the end of the lifetime of the relevant element process), nor is there any thermal capacity expansion planned for the project facility during the crediting period;
 - (e) The proposed project activity does not result in integrated process change.

2.3. Entry into force

4. The date of entry into force is the date of the publication of the EB 81 meeting report on 28 November 2014.

3. Normative references

5. This consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology is based on elements from the following methodologies:
 - (a) “NM0131: Baseline methodology for project activities involving fuel-switching measures at an industrial facility” prepared by MGM International and Alicorp S.A.;
 - (b) “NM0132: Industrial fuel switching from petroleum fuels to natural gas without extension of capacity and lifetime of the facility where barriers to switching exist” prepared by Nexant, Inc. and Sinai Cement Company;
 - (c) “AM0008: Industrial fuel switching from coal and petroleum fuels to natural gas without extension of capacity and lifetime of the facility”, which was based on the NM0016-rev “Graneros Plant Fuel Switching Project” whose project design document was prepared by MGM International, Inc., in August 2003.
6. This methodology also refers to the latest approved versions of the following methodological tools:
 - (a) “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”;
 - (b) “Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil fuel use”;
 - (c) “Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period”.
7. For more information regarding the proposed new methodologies and the tools as well as their consideration by the Executive Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) of the clean development mechanism (CDM) please refer to <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>.

3.1. Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures

8. “Existing actual or historical emissions, as applicable”.

4. Definitions

9. The definitions contained in the Glossary of CDM terms shall apply.
10. For the purpose of this methodology the following definitions apply:
 - (a) **Element process** - is defined as fuel combustion in a single equipment at one point of an industrial facility or of a district heating system, for the purpose of providing thermal energy (the fuel is not combusted for the purpose of electricity generation or used as oxidant in chemical reactions or otherwise used as feedstock). Examples of an element process are steam generation by a boiler and hot air generation by a furnace. Each element process should generate a single output (such as steam or hot air) by using mainly a single fuel (not plural energy sources). For each element process, energy efficiency is defined as the ratio between the useful energy (the enthalpy of the steam/water/gas multiplied

with the steam/water/gas quantity) and the supplied energy to the element process (the net calorific values of the fuel multiplied with the fuel quantity). This methodology covers fuel switch in several element processes, i.e. project participants may submit one CDM-PDD for fuel switch in several element processes within one industrial facility.

5. Baseline methodology

5.1. Project boundary

11. The project boundary covers CO₂ emissions associated with fuel combustion in each element process subject to the fuel switching. The project boundary is applicable to both baseline emissions and project emissions.
12. For the purpose of determining project activity emissions, project participants shall include carbon dioxide emissions from the combustion of natural gas in each element process.
13. For the purpose of determining baseline emissions, project participants shall include carbon dioxide emissions from the combustion of the quantity of coal or petroleum fuel that would be used in each element process in the absence of the project activity.
14. The spatial extent of the project boundary encompasses the physical, geographical site of the industrial facility or the district heating system.

Table 2. Emission sources included in or excluded from the project boundary

Source		Gas	Included	Justification/Explanation
Baseline	Baseline fuel burning	CO ₂	Yes	Main emission source
		CH ₄	No	Minor source
		N ₂ O	No	Minor source
Project activity	Natural gas burning	CO ₂	Yes	Main emission source
		CH ₄	No	Minor source
		N ₂ O	No	Minor source

5.2. Identification of the baseline scenario

15. Project participants shall determine the most plausible baseline scenario through the application of the following steps. Where the project activity involves fuel switching in several element processes, the steps should be applied to each element process.

5.2.1. Step 1: Identify all realistic and credible alternatives for the fuel use in the element process

16. Project participants should at least consider the following alternatives:
 - (a) Continuation of the current practice of using coal or petroleum fuel;
 - (b) Switching from coal or petroleum fuel to a different fuel than natural gas (such as biomass);

- (c) The project activity not undertaken under the CDM (switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas);
- (d) Switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas at a future point in time during the crediting period.

5.2.2. Step 2: Eliminate alternatives that are not complying with applicable laws and regulations

17. Eliminate alternatives that are not in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements. Apply Sub-step 1b of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”.

5.2.3. Step 3: Eliminate alternatives that face prohibitive barriers

18. Scenarios that face prohibitive barriers should be eliminated by applying Step 3 of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”.

5.2.4. Step 4: Compare economic attractiveness of remaining alternatives

19. Compare the economic attractiveness without revenues from certified emission reductions (CERs) for all alternatives that are remaining by applying Step 2 of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”. The economic investment analysis shall use the net present value (NPV) analysis, and explicitly state the following parameters:
 - (a) Investment requirements (incl. break-up into major equipment cost, required construction work, installation);
 - (b) A discount rate appropriate to the country and sector (Use government bond rates, increased by a suitable risk premium to reflect private investment in fuel switching projects, as substantiated by an independent (financial) expert);
 - (c) Efficiency of each element process, taking into account any differences between fuels;
 - (d) Current price and expected future price (variable costs) of each fuel
(Note: As a default assumption the current fuel prices may be assumed as future fuel prices. Where project participants intend to use future prices that are different from current prices, the future prices have to be substantiated by a public and official publication from a governmental body or an intergovernmental institution);
 - (e) Operating costs for each fuel (especially, handling/treatment costs for coal);
 - (f) Lifetime of the project, equal to the remaining lifetime of the existing heat generation facility;
 - (g) Other operation and maintenance costs.
20. The NPV calculation should take into account the residual value of the new equipment at the end of the lifetime of the project activity.¹ Provide all the assumptions in the CDM-PDD.

¹ Note that NPV values may be negative.

21. Compare the NPV of the different scenarios and select the most cost-effective scenario (i.e. with the highest NPV) as the baseline scenario. Include a sensitivity analysis applying Sub-step 2d of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”. The investment analysis provides a valid argument that the most cost-effective scenario is the baseline scenario if it consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) this conclusion. In case the sensitivity analysis is not fully conclusive, select the baseline scenario alternative with least emissions among the alternatives that are the most economically attractive according to the investment analysis and the sensitivity analysis.
22. This methodology is only applicable if the continuation of the use of coal or petroleum fuel throughout the crediting period is the most plausible baseline scenario.

5.3. Additionality

23. The assessment of additionality comprises two steps.

5.3.1. Step 1: Investment & sensitivity analysis

24. Demonstrate that the project activity undertaken without the CDM is economically less attractive than the most plausible baseline scenario, by following the instructions given in Step 4 of the chapter “Identification of the baseline scenario” above. Include a sensitivity analysis applying Sub-step 2d of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”. The investment analysis provides a valid argument in favour of additionality only if it consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) the conclusion that the project activity is unlikely to be the most financially attractive.

5.3.2. Step 2: Common practice analysis

25. Demonstrate that the project activity is not common practice in the relevant country and sector by applying Step 4 of the latest version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”.
26. If all two steps are satisfied, then the project is considered additional.

5.4. Project emissions

27. Project emissions (PE_y) include CO₂ emissions from the combustion of natural gas in all element processes i . Project emissions are calculated based on the quantity of natural gas combusted in all element processes i and respective net calorific values and CO₂ emission factors for natural gas (EF_{NG,CO_2}), as follows:

$$PE_y = FF_{project,y} \times NCV_{NG,y} \times EF_{NG,CO_2,y} \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

$$FF_{project,y} = \sum_i FF_{project,i,y} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

Where:

PE_y = Project emissions during the year y in t CO₂e

$FF_{project,y}$ = Quantity of natural gas combusted in all element processes during the year y in m³

$FF_{project,i,y}$ = Quantity of natural gas combusted in the element process i during the year y in m³

$NCV_{NG,y}$ = Average net calorific value of the natural gas combusted during the year y in GJ/m³

$EF_{NG,CO_2,y}$ = CO₂ emission factor of the natural gas combusted in all element processes in the year y in t CO₂/GJ

28. For the determination of emission factors and net calorific values, guidance by the latest IPCC Good Practice Guidance should be followed where appropriate. Project participants may either conduct measurements or they may use accurate and reliable local or national data where available. Where such data is not available, IPCC default emission factors (country-specific, if available) may be used if they are deemed to reasonably represent local circumstances. All values should be chosen in a conservative manner (i.e. higher values should be chosen within a plausible range) and the choice should be justified and documented in the CDM-PDD. Where measurements are undertaken, project participants may estimate the emission factors or net calorific values ex ante in the CDM-PDD and should document the measurement results after implementation of the project activity in their monitoring reports.

5.5. Baseline emissions

29. Baseline emissions (BE_y) include CO₂ emissions from the combustion of the quantity of coal or petroleum fuel that would in the absence of the project activity be used in all element processes i . Baseline emissions are calculated based on the quantity of coal or petroleum fuel that would be combusted in each element processes i in the absence of the project activity and respective net calorific values and CO₂ emission factors. The quantity of coal or petroleum fuel that would be used in the absence of the project activity in an element process i ($FF_{baseline,i,y}$) is calculated based on the actual monitored quantity of natural gas combusted in this element process ($FF_{project,i,y}$) and the relation of the energy efficiencies and the net calorific values between the project scenario (use of natural gas) and the baseline scenario (use of coal or petroleum fuel).

$$BE_y = \sum_i FF_{baseline,i,y} \times NCV_{FF,i} \times EF_{FF,CO_2,i} \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

$$FF_{baseline,i,y} = FF_{project,i,y} \times \frac{NCV_{NG,y} \times \varepsilon_{project,i}}{NCV_{FF,i} \times \varepsilon_{baseline,i,y}} \quad \text{Equation (4)}$$

Where:

BE_y	=	Baseline emissions during the year y in t CO ₂ e
$FF_{baseline,i,y}$	=	Quantity of coal or petroleum fuel that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in the element process i during the year y in a volume or mass unit
$FF_{project,i,y}$	=	Quantity of natural gas combusted in the element process i during the year y in m ³
$NCV_{NG,y}$	=	Average net calorific value of the natural gas combusted during the year y in GJ/m ³
$NCV_{FF,i}$	=	Average net calorific value of the coal or petroleum fuel that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in the element process i during the year y in GJ per volume or mass unit
$EF_{FF,CO_2,i}$	=	CO ₂ emission factor of the coal or petroleum fuel type that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in the element process i in t CO ₂ /GJ
$\mathcal{E}_{project,i}$	=	Energy efficiency of the element process i if fired with natural gas
$\mathcal{E}_{baseline,i,y}$	=	Energy efficiency of the element process i if fired with coal or petroleum fuel respectively

30. Note that the most plausible baseline scenario may be that several fuel types would be used in the different element processes or that several fuel types would be used in one element process. Where several fuel types have been used in one element process prior to the implementation of the project activity (including cases where a start-up fuel² is clearly defined) and where the continuation of this practice is the most plausible baseline scenario, project participants should exclude the start-up fuel from the list of multiple fuels and, as a conservative approach, select the fuel type with the lowest CO₂ emission factor from the fuels used in that element process during the last three years as the baseline emission factor ($EF_{FF,CO_2,i}$) and the baseline net calorific value ($NCV_{FF,i}$).
31. For the determination of emission factors and net calorific values, guidance by the latest IPCC Good Practice Guidance should be followed where appropriate. Project participants may either conduct measurements or they may use accurate and reliable local or national data where available. Where such data is not available, IPCC default emission factors (country-specific, if available) may be used if they are deemed to reasonably represent local circumstances. All values should be chosen in a conservative manner (i.e. lower values should be chosen within a plausible range) and the choice should be justified and documented in the CDM-PDD. Where measurements are undertaken, project participants may estimate the emission factors or net calorific values ex ante in the CDM-PDD and should document the measurement results after implementation of the project activity in their monitoring reports.
32. The energy efficiencies have to be determined for each element process for the project activity ($\mathcal{E}_{project,i}$) and the baseline scenario ($\mathcal{E}_{baseline,i}$). The efficiencies should be

² If a fuel is defined as a start-up fuel, it should not represent more than three per cent of the total fuel utilized in the process, on energy basis.

determined by undertaking measurements at the element process firing the relevant fuels. Efficiencies for the project activity ($\epsilon_{project,i}$) should be measured monthly throughout the crediting period and annual averages should be used for emission calculations, except for cases where Option D below is applied.

33. Baseline efficiency ($\epsilon_{baseline,i}$) is calculated as:

- (a) **Option A:** Use a default conservative value equal to 1;
- (b) **Option B:** Use a default conservative value obtained from the manufacture's databook, taking the highest possible efficiency under optimal operational conditions;
- (c) **Option C:** Measure efficiency monthly during 6 months before project implementation and the six months average should be used for emission calculations. All measurements should be conducted at a representative load factor (or operation mode), following national or international standards. Where a representative load factor (or operation mode) cannot be determined, measurements should be conducted for different load factors (or operation modes) and be weighted by the time these load factors (or operation modes) are typically operated. The same load factor(s) (or operation mode(s)) and weight factors should be used in the determination of $\epsilon_{project,i}$ and $\epsilon_{baseline,i}$;
- (d) **Option D:** Where project participants can reasonably demonstrate that the efficiency of the element process does not change due to the fuel switch or that any changes are negligible (i.e. $0 < \epsilon_{project,i} - \epsilon_{baseline,i} < 1$ per cent) or that $\epsilon_{project,i}$ can be expected to be higher than $\epsilon_{baseline,i}$, project participants can assume $\epsilon_{baseline,i} = \epsilon_{project,i}$ as a simplification provided that $\epsilon_{baseline,i}$ and $\epsilon_{project,i}$ can be established ex ante. The same can be applied in cases where $\epsilon_{project,i}$ are to be established ex post using one year of monitored data and fixed for the crediting period;
- (e) **Option E:** Use default baseline efficiency.

Table 3. Default baseline efficiency for different boilers

Heat supply technology	Default efficiency
New oil fired boiler	90%
New coal fired boiler	85%
Old oil fired boiler	85%
Old coal fired boiler	80%

34. The values determined for $\epsilon_{baseline,i}$ should be documented in the CDM-PDD and shall remain fixed throughout the crediting period.

5.6. Leakage

35. For this type of project activity, leakage upstream emissions ($LE_{US,y}$) from fuel extraction, processing, liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and distribution of fossil fuels outside of the project boundary has to be considered.

36. Leakage upstream emissions in year y ($LE_{US,y} = LE_y$) shall be determined using the latest version of the methodological tool "Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil

fuel use” where $FC_{PJ,x,y}$ refers to $FF_{project,y}$ and $FC_{BL,x,y}$ refers to $\sum_i FF_{baselinei,y}$ in this methodology.

37. Where total net leakage effects from upstream emissions are negative ($LE_{US,y} < 0$), project participants should assume $LE_{US,y} = 0$.

5.7. Emission reductions

38. The emission reduction by the project activity during a given year y (ER_y) is the difference between the baseline emissions (BE_y) and project emissions (PE_y) and leakage emissions (LE_y), as follows:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y \quad \text{Equation (5)}$$

Where:

ER_y	=	Emissions reductions of the project activity during the year y in t CO ₂ e
BE_y	=	Baseline emissions during the year y in t CO ₂ e
PE_y	=	Project emissions during the year y in t CO ₂ e
LE_y	=	Leakage emissions in the year y in t CO ₂ e

5.8. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods

39. The required changes shall be assessed using the tool for “Assessment of the validity of the current/original baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period”.

5.9. Data and parameters not monitored

40. In addition to the parameters listed below, the provisions on “Data and parameters not monitored” in the latest approved version of the methodological tool “Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil fuel use” apply.

Data / Parameter table 1.

Data / Parameter:	EF_{FF,CO2,i}
Data unit:	t CO ₂ /GJ
Description:	CO ₂ emission factor of the coal or petroleum fuel type that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in the element process i

Source of data:	The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply:	
	Data source	Conditions for using the data source
	Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source
	Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available
	Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)
	IPCC default values at the lower limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards.</p> <p>For (a): if the fuel supplier does provide the NCV value and the CO₂ emission factor on the invoice and these two values are based on measurements for this specific fuel, this CO₂ factor should be used. If another source for the CO₂ emission factor is used or no CO₂ emission factor is provided, Options (b), (c) or (d) should be used</p>	
Any comment:	-	

Data / Parameter table 2.

Data / Parameter:	NCV_{FF,i}
Data unit:	GJ per mass or volume unit
Description:	Average net calorific value of the coal or petroleum fuel that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in the element process <i>i</i> during the year <i>y</i>

Source of data:	The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply:											
	<table><tr><th>Data source</th><th>Conditions for using the data source</th></tr><tr><td>(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices</td><td>This is the preferred source</td></tr><tr><td>(b) Measurements by the project participants</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr><tr><td>(c) Regional or national default values</td><td>If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)</td></tr><tr><td>(d) IPCC default values at the lower limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr></table>	Data source	Conditions for using the data source	(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source	(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available	(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)	(d) IPCC default values at the lower limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available	
Data source	Conditions for using the data source											
(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source											
(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available											
(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)											
(d) IPCC default values at the lower limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available											
Measurement procedures (if any):	For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards											
Any comment:	Note that for the NCV the same basis (pressure and temperature) should be used as for the fuel consumption											

6. Monitoring methodology

6.1. Monitoring procedures

41. The monitoring procedures are explained below in the respective tables of each parameter.

6.2. Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter table 3.

Data / Parameter:	$FF_{\text{project},i,y}$
Data unit:	m ³
Description:	Quantity of natural gas combusted in the element process <i>i</i> during the year <i>y</i>
Source of data:	On-site measurements
Measurement procedures (if any):	Use volume meters
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously

QA/QC procedures:	The consistency of metered fuel consumption quantities should be crosschecked by an annual energy balance that is based on purchased quantities and stock changes. Where the purchased fuel invoices can be identified specifically for the CDM project, the metered fuel consumption quantities should also be cross-checked with available purchase invoices from the financial records
Any comment:	m ³ should be provided at norm conditions for pressure and temperature

Data / Parameter table 4.

Data / Parameter:	EF _{NG,CO2,y}											
Data unit:	t CO ₂ /GJ											
Description:	CO ₂ emission factor of the natural gas combusted in all element processes in the year y											
Source of data:	<div>The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply:</div> <table><tr><th>Data source</th><th>Conditions for using the data source</th></tr><tr><td>(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices</td><td>This is the preferred source</td></tr><tr><td>(b) Measurements by the project participants</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr><tr><td>(c) Regional or national default values</td><td>If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)</td></tr><tr><td>(d) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr></table>		Data source	Conditions for using the data source	(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source	(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available	(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)	(d) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available
Data source	Conditions for using the data source											
(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source											
(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available											
(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)											
(d) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available											
Measurement procedures (if any):	<div>For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards.</div> <div>For (a): if the fuel supplier does provide the NCV value and the CO₂ emission factor on the invoice and these two values are based on measurements for this specific fuel, this CO₂ factor should be used. If another source for the CO₂ emission factor is used or no CO₂ emission factor is provided, Options (b), (c) or (d) should be used</div>											
Monitoring frequency:	Monthly											
QA/QC procedures:	-											
Any comment:	-											

Data / Parameter table 5.

Data / Parameter:	NCV_{NG,y}										
Data unit:	GJ/m ³										
Description:	Average net calorific value of the natural gas combusted during the year <i>y</i>										
Source of data:	<p>The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Data source</th><th>Conditions for using the data source</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices</td><td>This is the preferred source</td></tr> <tr> <td>(b) Measurements by the project participants</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr> <tr> <td>(c) Regional or national default values</td><td> If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances) </td></tr> <tr> <td>(d) IPCC default values at the upper or lower limit - whatever is more conservative ³ - of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories</td><td>If (a) is not available</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Data source	Conditions for using the data source	(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source	(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available	(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)	(d) IPCC default values at the upper or lower limit - whatever is more conservative ³ - of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available
Data source	Conditions for using the data source										
(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices	This is the preferred source										
(b) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available										
(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances)										
(d) IPCC default values at the upper or lower limit - whatever is more conservative ³ - of the uncertainty at a 95 per cent confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available										
Measurement procedures (if any):	For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards										
Monitoring frequency:	<p>For (a) and (b): the NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated.</p> <p>For (c): review appropriateness of the values annually.</p> <p>For (d): any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account</p>										

³ The more conservative value is the value that results in the lower overall emission reductions of the project activity. This may imply using the higher or the lower value, depending on the specific configuration of the project activity.

QA/QC procedures:	Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. If the values fall below this range collect additional information from the testing laboratory to justify the outcome or conduct additional measurements. The laboratories in (a), (b) or (c) should have ISO17025 accreditation or justify that they can comply with similar quality standards
Any comment:	Note that for the NCV the same basis (pressure and temperature) should be used as for the fuel consumption

Data / Parameter table 6.

Data / Parameter:	$\epsilon_{\text{project},i,y}$
Data unit:	-
Description:	Energy efficiency of the element process <i>i</i> if fired with natural gas
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>The efficiencies should be determined by undertaking measurements at the element process firing the relevant fuels. All measurements should be conducted at a representative load factor (or operation mode), based on national or international standards. Where a representative load factor (or operation mode) cannot be determined, measurements should be conducted for different load factors (or operation modes) and be weighted by the time these load factors (or operation modes) are typically operated.</p> <p>For project activities with estimated annual emission reductions of each of the element processes equal to or less than 3000 t CO₂e per year the efficiencies may be determined using sampling in accordance with the standard "Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities". Estimates at upper limit of the uncertainty at 95 per cent confidence interval should be used</p>
Monitoring frequency:	Monthly or fixed for the crediting period if Option D of the methodology is applied
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
05.0	28 November 2014	<p>EB 81, Annex 10</p> <p>Revision to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Add a reference to the following methodological tools: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) "Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil fuel use"; (ii) "Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period"; (b) Clarify that net leakage should always be considered as zero when net leakage effects are negative; (c) Correct the approach in Option D of the baseline efficiency calculation which was leading to overestimation of baseline emissions; (d) Include other editorial changes.
04.0	20 July 2012	<p>EB 68, Annex 12</p> <p>The revision corrects errors with regard to the use of IPCC default values.</p>
03.2	28 May 2009	<p>EB 47, Annex 8</p> <p>Editorial revision excluding start-up fuel from the baseline fuels and updating monitoring methodology/table.</p>
03.1	13 October 2006	<p>Editorial revision to the applicability conditions in the monitoring section, removing the condition on page 11: "The natural gas used in the project activity is not generated from liquefied natural gas (LNG) or compressed natural gas (CNG)." to reflect the decision by the Board in paragraph 19(g) of its twenty fourth meeting.</p>
03.0	28 July 2006	<p>EB 25, Annex 5</p> <p>Expansion of applicability conditions to include projects in other sectors, including fuel switches in heat-only boilers in the district heating sector.</p>
02.0	19 May 2006	<p>EB 24, Annex 9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of CO₂ emissions from LNG under Leakage section; • Other editorial changes.
01.0	03 March 2006	<p>EB 23, Annex 12</p> <p>Initial adoption.</p>

Decision Class: Regulatory

Document Type: Standard

Business Function: Methodology

Keywords: fossil fuel power plant, fuel switching, heat generation