


| | |
|--|--|
|  | CDM: Response form for Request for revision of approved methodologies (version 01.1) |
| <i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i> | 15 - 19 January 2007 |
| <i>Title and number of Request for revision</i> | Proposed amendment to AM0023 to include pressure-regulator stations in gas distribution systems and other surface facilities on the gas distribution side besides compressor and gate stations / AM_REV_0028 |
| Summary of the query: Please use the space below to summarize the request for revision on the related approved methodologies. | |
| The request aims at expanding the scope of AM0023 from gas compressor or gate stations (transportation sector) to aboveground facilities of gas distribution systems (distribution sector). | |
| Recommendation by the Meth Panel: (a) Please use the space below to provide amendments /changes (in your expert view, if necessary). Not to accept the request for revision. Gas distribution pipelines and accessories (including aboveground facilities) are usually odorised with mercaptans due to safety reasons. It means that in urban or rural areas included in the distribution side, leaks are to be easily detected and eliminated by means of replacement or repair of defective components. In the distribution side, leaks are usually not allowed by law except for the vented gas (relief pressure valve action). Project participants should offer a means to assess whether or not there is safety legislation in place and whether or not existent legislation is enforced and the gas odorised. This would require additional changes in the abovementioned methodology. Furthermore, distribution systems operate at lower pressures (3 to 7 bar) when compared with transportation facilities (30 to 70 bar). Thus the methods and instruments associated with leakage determination and its uncertainty should be reviewed to adequate deal with low pressure systems with lower leakage levels. (b) Please use the space below for providing guidance, as per Para 93 of EB25 Report, on what type of projects need to revise the PDD as a consequence of the suggested revision, if the recommendation is to revise the methodology. | |
| Not applicable. | |
| Answer to authors of the request for revision by the Meth Panel : Please use the space below to provide an answer to the authors of the above query See above. | |



Signature of the Meth Panel Chair

Date: 25/01/2007

(Rajesh Sethi)



Signature of the Meth Panel Vice-Chair

Date: 25/01/2007

(Jean-Jacques Becker)

Information to be completed by the secretariat

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| F-CDM-AM | AM-REV-0028 |
| Name of the authors of the query: | SGS-UKL |
| Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat | 25 January 2007 |
| Date of transmission to the EB | 25 January 2007 |
| Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site | 25 January 2007 |