



Validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities
(Version 01.0)

Complete this form in accordance with the "Attachment: Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities" at the end of this form.

VALIDATION REPORT ON POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES (PRCs)

Title and reference number of the project activity	Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation
Process track	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period ng period
Version number of the validation report on PRCs	2.1
Completion date of the validation report on PRCs	15 June 2017
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design of a registered project activity <input type="checkbox"/> Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	Version 6.0 of 12/06/ 2017
Project participant(s)	Hanwha Corporation
Host Party	Republic of Korea
Sectoral scope(s), selected methodology(ies), and where applicable, selected standardized baseline(s)	Sectoral scopes: 1 : Energy 13 : Waste handling and disposal Selected methodology: AMS I.D version 13 AMS III.G version 06
Name of DOE	KFQ

Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report on PRCs	Soon Hong YEOM Managing Director of Sustainability management institute 
--	--

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Korean Foundation for Quality (KFQ) has been engaged by Hanwha Corporation to perform periodic verification of the CDM project "Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation (UNFCCC Registration Ref. No. 2834)" in Republic of Korea for 7th monitoring period 01/01/2016~31/12/2016.

The validation for the post-registration change has been conducted in the course of the verification for the 7th monitoring period from 01/01/2016 to 31/12/2016 of the project activity due to correction for permanent changes and changes to the project design of a registered project activity was identified during on-site inspection.

The validation is objective review of the PDD including post registration changes. The compliance with the UNFCCC criteria are validated in order to confirm that the revised PDD as documented is sound reasonable and meets the identified criteria.

Validation process

The validation was conducted according to KFQ validation procedures in line with the requirements specified in the latest version of the CDM Validation and Verification Standard, relevant decisions of the CDM EB. The validation involved a document review of relevant documentations, the on-site visit and the reporting. Validation is not meant to provide any consultancy towards the project participants.

General description of the project activity and permanent change

Project Parties:	Republic of Korea (Host)
Title of project activity:	Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery for Electricity Generation
UNFCCC Registration Number:	2834
Project Participants:	Hanwha Corporation
Baseline and monitoring methodology:	AMS I.D version 13 AMS III.G version 06
Registration date	18/02/2010
Registered PDD:	Version 05 (as of 06/03/2014) - Version 05 was approved on 06/03/2014 through PRC-2834-001
Revised PDD:	Version 06 (as of 12/06/2017)

The project has developed to utilize LFG more efficiently by PP in the Republic of Korea. The purpose of this project is avoiding the release of methane (CH₄) as well as utilizing LFG for electricity generation with the capacity of 2.123 MW(1.065MW and 1.058MW) at the project site.

The project was registered on 18 February 2010 under UNFCCC Ref. No.2834. A request for post registration changes (ref PRC-2834-001) with a revised PDD (version 05 dated 06/03/2014) was approved on 06 March 2014 and it was due to new watt hour meter for measuring exported electricity was installed for second generator as per national regulation, 'Act on the promotion of the development, use and diffusion of new and renewable energy'.

Detail of post-registration change validated during this monitoring period is as below::

- 1) Correction
: Each engine type installed at the project site due to typo in the registered PDD.
- 2) Changes to the project design of a registered project activity
: Number of components of LFG collecting system

Conclusion

As a result of our assessment, the changes described in the revised PDD version 06 dated 12/06/2017 ensure that the revision/changes are in accordance with the applied methodology and the changes to the project activity comply with the requirements established in the CDM Project Standard. The post-registration change in the project activity is in line with Appendix 1 of CDM project standard and hence does not require prior approval from EB. Thus an approval of PRC is requested under the issuance track.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

>>

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	LEE	Mi Jung	KFQ	√	√	√	√
2.	Validator	IR	CHO	Jin Seok	KFQ	√	√	√	√

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	PARK	Sang Yeon	KFQ
2.	Approver	IR	YEOM	Soon Hong	KFQ

Please refer to Appendix 2 below for demonstration of how the team meets the competence required for the validation.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk review

>>

The PDD version 06.0 (hereinafter referred to as 'revised PDD') was submitted by the PP and it was reviewed as initial step of the validation process as for the for the post registration changes. Also, over the whole validation period, validation team reviewed the applied baseline and monitoring methodology and any other information and references relevant to the changes to the project design. A complete list of all documents reviewed is shown in Appendix 3 of this validation report. KFQ's validation process takes into consideration all the CDM Rules and Guidance applicable to the project activity, e.g. Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard, Clean Development Mechanism Project Standard, Clean Development Mechanism Project Cycle Procedure, Post Registration Changes and Request for Issuance: Completeness checklist, Post Registration Changes and Request for Issuance: Information and reporting checklist and relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the CMP and the CDM EB.

C.2. On-site inspection

>>

KFQ performed a physical site inspection on 14/03/2017 for the periodic verification and PRC issues were identified in this process. Follow-up discussion with the project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. During the site visit and follow-up discussion, the personnel were interviewed or assisted the validation team.

The main topics of the discussion are summarized in the table below.

Duration of on-site inspection: 14/03/2017				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Inspection of each engine type and its specification	Project site, Mokpo	14/03/2017	Mi Jung LEE Jin Seok CHO
2.	Verification of the information regarding to the changes to project design from documentation with other sources.	Project site, Mokpo		

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	LEE	Kun Hong	Hanwha Corporation	14/03/2017	Project implementation and operation. Technical equipment, calibration and monitoring observation. Monitoring plan and monitoring parameters. Quality control etc.	Mi Jung LEE Jin Seok CHO
2.	CHOI	Jin Young				
3	CHO	Hyun Jun				
4	PARK	Sang Hyuk	Roen Consulting Co., Ltd.		Management of the CDM project activity. Preparation of the Monitoring Report, calculation of the ERs.	
5.	MOON	Seon Young				
6	DO	Young Eun				

C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	0	1	0
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	0	0	0
Corrections	0	0	0
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	0	0	0
Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity	0	0	0
Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	0	0	0
Changes to the project design of a registered project activity	0	0	0
Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	0	0	0
Others (please specify)	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0

SECTION D. Validation findings**D.1. Compliance with PDD form**

Means of validation	Comparing the PDD with the latest PDD template form (CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM, Version 08.0) as well as 'Instructions for filling out the project design document form for small-scale CDM project activities' provided by CEM EB listed in UNFCCC website.
Findings	Regarding to this PRC, PP submitted revised PDD which is update version of registered PDD reflecting correction and changes to the project design of a registered project activity but PP didn't use the latest version of PDD form, CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM/ <u>Refer to CAR 01.</u>
Conclusion	<p>Final version of revised PDD (version 6.0, 12/06/2017) inclusive of all post registration changes has been submitted in both tracked and clean version. The project participant used the latest version of the PDD. The PP has provided the PDD in VVS track in clean and track change version and same has been checked and found to be correct.</p> <p>The assessment team has reviewed the revised PDD and it is observed that the revised PDD is completed by using the latest form, Project Design Document form for small-scale CDM project activities (version 08.0).</p> <p>Both registered and revised PDD were reviewed for the consistency of the information the validation team can confirm that the information transferred to the later version of the PDD form is materially the same as that in the registered PDD besides those changes are highlighted and assessed under this report.</p> <p>Thus, the validation team confirms that the revised PDD completed by the PP is compliance with the latest PDD form and instruction therein available at UNFCCC website and conforms to the requirement of paragraph 295 to 297 of VVS version 09.</p>

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	<p>Validation team conducted document review on these following documents combined with on-site inspection to validate the compliance of revised PDD as per following detail.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Registered PDD - Revised PDD - Appendix 1 of CDM Project Standard version 09.0
Findings	<p>For electricity generation in this project activity, two engines (1.065MW and 1.058MW respectively) are under operation and verification team checked its real status during on-site inspection to confirm any changes against the information described in the registered PDD.</p> <p>Each engine type is designated according to the manufacture's designation rule. However it was found that the designated engine type was displayed differently in the registered PDD, monitoring report and the name plate fixed on each engine even it is same one. To confirm this difference is just typo in the registered PDD, validation team checked name plate fixed on the engine installed at the project site, engine purchase contract, on-site operational manual and validation team could conclude that engine installed at the project site is same as the engine described in the registered PDD.</p> <p>Thus, as this difference may cause confusion to identify any changes PP decided to correct the engine type described in the registered PDD according to the engine type indicated on the name plate fixed on each engine at the project site through PRC.</p>

	Engine Type	Registered PDD	Revised PDD
	Engine with capacity of 1.065MW	J 320 GS-C81	<u>JGS 320 GS-L.L</u>
	Engine with capacity of 1.058MW	JGC 320 GS-L.L	<u>J 320 GS B81</u>
	It is found that the actual type of engine installed at the project activity is type as above and this is in line with the information in revised PDD. Furthermore, the capacity and other specification value for engine are found to be the same with the value provided in registered PDD.		
Conclusion	Corresponding to the paragraph 304 of VVS version 09.0, verification team can confirm that the corrected information in the revised PDD is an accurate reflection of actual project. Verification team could derived this conclusion because new information of engine type described in the revised PDD are good enough to perceive any changes as engine manufacture, series, operation mode, type of fuel gas use, etc. can be known from it. Furthermore, it is confirmed that this information correction does not affect the design of the project activity and do not require prior approval by the CDM EB as per Appendix 1 of PS version 09.0.		

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.6. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.7. Changes to the project design of a registered project activity

Means of validation	The proposed change to the project design is verified by the validation team as per para. 317 ~327 of VVS version 09.0. KFQ reviewed the revised PDD, registered PDD, applied methodology, and the supporting documents referenced in the findings row below.																										
Findings	Description of the changes to the project design <u>Changes in the number of components of LFG collecting system</u> <table> <tr> <th>Items</th><th>Function</th><th>Registered PDD</th><th>Revised PDD</th></tr> <tr> <td>Vertical well</td><td>LFG capture</td><td>121</td><td>150</td></tr> <tr> <td>Wellhead</td><td>Collecting LFG from vertical gas wells</td><td>11</td><td>13</td></tr> <tr> <td>Barrel trap</td><td>Trapping the condensate from the main pipeline</td><td>15</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr> <td>J-Trap</td><td>Trapping the condensate from the vertical wells</td><td>117</td><td>120</td></tr> <tr> <td>Main Pipeline</td><td>LFG supply to the gas engine</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> </table> <u>Assessment of the changes</u>			Items	Function	Registered PDD	Revised PDD	Vertical well	LFG capture	121	150	Wellhead	Collecting LFG from vertical gas wells	11	13	Barrel trap	Trapping the condensate from the main pipeline	15	15	J-Trap	Trapping the condensate from the vertical wells	117	120	Main Pipeline	LFG supply to the gas engine	1	1
Items	Function	Registered PDD	Revised PDD																								
Vertical well	LFG capture	121	150																								
Wellhead	Collecting LFG from vertical gas wells	11	13																								
Barrel trap	Trapping the condensate from the main pipeline	15	15																								
J-Trap	Trapping the condensate from the vertical wells	117	120																								
Main Pipeline	LFG supply to the gas engine	1	1																								

1. When the changes occurred and the reason of those changes taking place

Mokpo landfill site is not a closed landfill site and it is expected to close in 2022. As it is still receiving the waste PP is adding new wells and other relevant components of LFG collecting system and replacing/re-location of existing wells on purpose of well-operation and controlling of the landfill site. Thus, since after registration of this project activity there were changes in number of vertical wells, wellheads and J-trap and this number has a possibility to change afterward. Current numbers are as above and it was confirmed through on-site inspection as well as review of design drawing and operational log.

2. Whether the changes would have been known prior to registration of the project activity

At that time of registration of this project activity, it was known increasing of number of components of LFG collecting system (i.e vertical well, wellhead, J-trap etc.) as this landfill site is not closed landfill. However exact number of this component could not expected during operation of this project activity.

3. How the changes would impact the overall operation/ability of the project activity to deliver emission reductions as stated in the PDD

The changed in the number of vertical wells, wellheads and J-trap do not impact to the output capacity of the project activity as there is no change in capacity of each engine, and the overall operation remains unchanged.

Assessment of the changes

1. Applicability and application of approved baseline methodology

Verification team reviewed the justification provided for applicability criteria of AMS I.D (Version 13) and AMS III.G (Version 06) and the change in number of vertical wells, wellheads and J-trap does not impact to the applicability and application of approved baseline methodology.

2. Compliance of the monitoring plan with applied monitoring methodology

The changes occurred does not impact to the monitoring plan or any monitored parameter.

3. Additionality of the project activity

Revenue from this project activity is only generating by electricity exported to the Grid. Even the number of vertical wells and wellheads are increased after registration, actual electricity exported to the Grid is smaller than the expected electricity export in the registered PDD. Also the expectation of electricity generation was calculated under assumption of simultaneous operation of two generators but these engines are operating in rotation at present. Thus, actual electricity generation never exceed estimated electricity generation. Hence it is confirmed that this change do not affect to the additionality of the project activity and the project activity still remains additional.

4. Scale of the project activity

With reference to eligibility of small scale project activity in paragraph 99(a) of PS version 09.0 that for renewable energy project activities with a maximum output capacity of 15MW, the change in number of vertical wells and wellheads do not affect to the 'output' of engine or generator. Hence, the maximum output capacity remains unchanged.

5. The eligibility criteria of the registered CDM PoA

This project activity is not CDM PoA.

Conclusion	<p>It is confirmed that actual changes to the project design of a registered CDM project activity do not adversely impact any of following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The applicability and application of the applied methodology and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline under which the project activity has been registered 2) Compliance of the monitoring plan with the applied methodology and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline 3) The level of accuracy and completeness in the monitoring of the project activity or PoA 4) The additionality of the project activity, PoA or CPA 5) The scale of the project activity or CPA 6) The eligibility criteria or PoA.
-------------------	---

D.8. Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

According to KFQ's Procedure for deciding whether to proceed request for post registration changes, the final validation report and validation findings underwent a technical review before being submitted to the PP for requesting issuance CERs. The technical review was performed by technical review team composed of a person for the project activity qualified in accordance with KFQ's qualification scheme for CDM project validation and verification.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Korean Foundation for Quality (KFQ) has performed a validation of post-registration change of CDM project Ref. No. 2834: Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation. The validation team was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the CDM.

The validation is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions. The review of the revised PDD, relevant supporting documents, and the subsequent follow-up interviews has conducted with sufficient evidences to determine the fulfilment of all stated criteria. In our opinion, post-registration changes of the project activity meet all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and this post registration changes in the project activity is in line with Appendix 1 of CDM project standard and hence does not require prior approval from Executive Board.

Furthermore, we confirm that the proposed changes of project activity do not impact;

- (a) The applicability and application of approved baseline methodology under which the project activity has been registered
- (b) The compliance of the monitoring plan with the applied monitoring methodology
- (c) The level of accuracy and completeness in the monitoring of the project activity
- (d) The additionality of the project activity
- (e) The scale of the project activity

Therefore, KFQ requests that the post-registration changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD for the project activity 'Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation' in Republic of Korea to be considered by the Board.

Signed on behalf of the Korean Foundation for Quality

Signature :

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'SHyeom', is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Name : Soon Hong YEOM, Managing Director

Date : 15 June 2017

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
ACM	Approved Consolidated Methodology
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CERs	Certified Emission Reduction
CMP	COP/MOP Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
CH ₄	Methane
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO _{2e}	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
EB	Executive Board
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
KFQ	Korea Foundation for Quality
LFG	Landfill Gas
MoV	Means of verification
MP	Monitoring Plan
MR	Monitoring Report
NCM	Normal Cubic Meter
PDD	Project Design Document
PP	Project participant
PS	Clean Development Mechanism Project Standard
EMS	Environment Management System
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VVS	Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers



CERTIFICATE OF COMPETENCE

Name: Mi Jung LEE

Qualification:

	Validation	Verification
-Lead auditor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-Auditor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Technical Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Local Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Scopes of Expertise:

Technical Area (TA)

- 1.2 Renewables
- 3.1 Energy demand
- 11.1 Emission of Fluorinated gases
- 11.2 Refrigerant gas production
- 13.1 Solid waste and wastewater
- 13.2 Manure

She is approved as the qualification above according to the KfQ’s procedure of Qualifying and Maintaining of Auditor on 16 May 2016

Sustainability Management Institute
Sang Yeon PARK

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Sang Yeon PARK', is written over a faint, stylized signature line.



CERTIFICATE OF COMPETENCE

Name: Jin Seok CHO

Qualification:

	Validation	Verification
-Lead auditor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-Auditor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Technical Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Local Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Scopes of Expertise:

Technical Area (TA)

- 1.1 Thermal energy generation
- 1.2 Renewables
- 13.1 Solid waste and wastewater
- 13.2 Manure

He is approved as the qualification above according to the KFQ's procedure of Qualifying and Maintaining of Auditor on 31 March 2016

Sustainability Management Institute
Sang Yeon PARK



CERTIFICATE OF COMPETENCE

Name: Sang Yeon PARK

Qualification:

	Validation	Verification
-Lead auditor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-Auditor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Technical Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-Local Expert	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Scopes of Expertise:

Technical Area (TA)

- 1.2 Renewables
- 3.1 Energy demand
- 5.2 Caprolactam, nitric and adipic acid
- 13.1 Solid waste and wastewater

She is approved as the qualification above according to the KFQ's procedure of Qualifying and Maintaining of Auditor on 31 March 2016

Sustainability Management Institute
Yu Shim JEONG

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Yu Shim JEONG'.

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	Hanwha Corporation	Project Design Document of “Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation” (UNFCCC Reference No. : 2834), version 05, 06/03/2014	Registered PDD	Project participants
2	Hanwha Corporation	Project Design Document of “Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation” (UNFCCC Reference No. : 2834), version 06, 12/06/2017 (Clean and track version)	Revised PDD	Project participants
3	EMC	Final Validation Report, EMC, Report No. 08-001 Revision No. 08, 17/02/2010	Validation Report	Project participants
4	Hanwha Corporation	Final Monitoring Report for 1 st ~ 6 th Monitoring period	Monitoring Report	Project participants
5	CDM Executive Board	CDM Validation and Verification Standard (ver. 09.0) CDM Project Standard (ver. 09.0) CDM Project Cycle Procedure (ver. 09.0) Validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities (ver. 01.0)	VVS & Guideline	Other
6	CDM Executive Board	AMS I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation (version 13) AMS III.G: Landfill methane recovery (version 06)	Methodology	Other
7	Genset	Technical description documents for generator/engine - 17 January 2009 - 22 April 2009	Engine/generator specification	Project participants
8	Hanwha Corporation	Mokpo Landfill site map	Location of well, vertical well. Etc.	Project participants
9	Hanwha Corporation	Operating manual_Mokpo LFG power plant Version 18:14/12/2016 Version 19: 14/04/2017	From 01/01/2016 to 31/12/2016	Project participants

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CL from this validation

CL ID	N/A	Section no.	N/A	Date: N/A
Description of CL				
N/A				
Project participant response				Date: N/A
N/A				
Documentation provided by project participant				
N/A				
DOE assessment				Date: N/A
N/A				

Table 2. CAR from this validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	D.1	Date: 14/03/2017
Description of CAR				
Regarding to this PRC, PP submitted revised PDD which is update version of registered PDD reflecting correction and changes to the project design of a registered project activity but PP didn't use the latest version of PDD form, CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM.				
Project participant response				Date: 12/06/2017
Submission of revised PDD of this project activity using the latest version of PDD form.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
Revised PDD of Mokpo Landfill Gas Recovery Project for Electricity Generation (Version 6.0, 12/06/2017) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clean version - Track version 				
DOE assessment				Date: 15/06/2017
Final version of revised PDD (version 6.0, 12/06/2017) inclusive of all post registration changes has been submitted in both tracked and clean version. The project participant used the latest version of the PDD form. The PP has provided the PDD in VVS track in clean and track change version and same has been checked and found to be correct.				
<p>The assessment team has reviewed the revised PDD and it is observed that the revised PDD is completed by using the latest form, Project Design Document form for small-scale CDM project activities (version 08.0). Both registered and revised PDD were reviewed for the consistency of the information the validation team can confirm that the information transferred to the later version of the PDD form is materially the same as that in the registered PDD besides those changes are highlighted and assessed under this report.</p> <p>Thus, the validation team confirms that the revised PDD completed by the PP is compliance with the latest PDD form and instruction therein available at UNFCCC website and conforms to the requirement of paragraph 295 to 297 of VVS version 09.</p> <p>The raised CAR 01 has been completely resolved.</p>				

Table 3. FAR from this validation

FAR ID	N/A	Section no.	N/A	Date: N/A
Description of FAR				
N/A				
Project participant response				Date: N/A
N/A				
Documentation provided by project participant				
N/A				
DOE assessment				Date: N/A
N/A				