




**Validation report form for post-registration changes for
CDM project activities
(Version 03.0)**

Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.

BASIC INFORMATION

Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity	Potrero Hydropower Plant, Peru UNFCCC Reference Number: 8414
Process track	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period
Version number of the validation report	01
Completion date of the validation report	18/12/2020
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	Version: 12 Dated: 17/12/2020
Project participants	Empresa Eléctrica Agua Azul S.A
Host Party	Peru
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	ACM0002 version. 12.3.0 - Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources
Mandatory sectoral scopes	Sectoral scope 01: Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)
Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable	NA

¹ Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE	E-0052: Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report	Amit Anand, CEO 

SECTION A. Executive summaryPurpose, general description and location of the project activity:

Empresa Eléctrica Agua Azul S.A (here after referred as “Project Participants” (PP)), has appointed the DOE, Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (CC IPL) to perform an independent validation of the post registration changes to the CDM Project Activity “Potrero Hydropower Plant, Peru” (Registration Ref No. 8414) in Peru (hereafter referred to as “Project Activity”). The project activity is generating renewable electricity by using water from the Crisnejas River, who receives its water from two main river basins, Cajamarca River basin (111.9 km of length) and Condebamba River basin (92.7 km of length). This energy is supplied to the National Interconnected Electricity Grid (SEIN). The reduction of baseline emissions results from the displacement of electricity generated by power plants within the SEIN, which include fossil/fuel power plants emitting CO₂.

Scope of validation:

This validation is an independent and objective review of the post registration changes in registered PDD /B03/. The scope of the validation of post registration changes is to determine whether there are proposed or actual changes to the project design of the registered CDM project activity. CC IPL also determined whether the description in the revised PDD /B03/ submitted by project participants, which describe the nature and extent of the actual changes, accurately reflects the implementation, operation and monitoring of the modified project activity. The validation of post registration changes in the revised PDD /01/ were based on the following:

- (i) Approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 (version 12.0) /B02/ and the applied tools
- (ii) Revised PDD (in track change and clean mode) /01/
- (iii) CDM VVS for Project Activities (version 02.0) /B01-1/
- (iv) CDM PS for Project Activities (version 02.0) and /B01-2/
- (v) CDM PCP for Project Activities (version 02.0) /B01-3/
- (vi) Relevant decisions, guidance and clarifications of the CMP and CDM EB

Validation process:

The validation process for post registration changes includes the following steps:

- (a) Contract with project participants and appointment of validation team and technical review team
- (b) Desk review of the revised PDD/01/ by validation team
- (c) Interview of the representative of PP and consultant by the validation team
- (d) Reporting and closure of findings (CARs/CLs/FARs) and preparation of validation report
- (e) Independent technical review of the validation report
- (f) Issuance of final validation report to contracted PP and submission to UNFCCC for approval of post registration changes as appropriate.

The summary of proposed changes is as below:

Sl. No.	Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents
1.	1. The description of the QA/QC procedures for the parameter EGAUX,y i.e. Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD– the accuracy class is in accordance with the manufacturer’s specification (i.e. 0.4). Furthermore, the information about the approval from COES for the auxiliary consumption has been removed.

Conclusion:

In summary, the post registration changes consist of permanent changes to registered monitoring plan. The validation team confirms that the post registration changes proposed for the project activity doesn't require prior approval from the Board as the proposed change falls under §247 (b) and appendix 1 (c) of CDM PS for Project Activities (version 02.0) /B01-2/. The DOE therefore accepts the changes and notifies the EB of request for the approval of the post registration changes viz., permanent changes to registered monitoring plan of the registered Project Activity under issuance track. The validation team confirms that the proposed post registration changes are in line with the applied methodology and all other applicable tools and guidance.

This report is the combined assessment opinion for all the changes that are proposed in the PDD /01/ and the request is submitted for approval by CDM EB under issuance track PRC.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

>>

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader / Verifier / Validator / Technical Expert	IR	Singh	Vikash Kumar	CC IPL	X		X	X

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Anand	Amit	CC IPL
2.	Approver	IR	Anand	Amit	CC IPL

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/document review**

List of all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation is provided in Appendix-3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

No on-site assessment was carried out for the validation of post registration changes for the project activity. However, telephonic and Skype based remote interviews were conducted with the project participants.

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Soria	Camila	Empresa Eléctrica Agua Azul S.A	16/12/2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technologies/ measures being used, Project boundary, sources and GHGs, Application of the methodology Reasons for the PRC in accordance with §229 of CDM PS for project activities (version 02.0); Impacts of the proposed or actual changes to the registered CDM project activity 	Vikash Kumar Singh
2.	Wolfgang	Brueckner	Carbonbay GmbH & Co. KG	16/12/2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technologies/ measures being used, Project boundary, sources and GHGs, Application of the methodology Reasons for the PRC in accordance with §229 of CDM PS for project activities (version 02.0); Impacts of the proposed or actual changes to the registered CDM project activity 	Vikash Kumar Singh
3.	Mitra	Souvik	EnKing INTERNATIONAL	16/12/2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technologies/ measures being used, Project boundary, sources and GHGs, Application of the 	Vikash Kumar Singh

					methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasons for the PRC in accordance with §229 of CDM PS for project activities (version 02.0); • Impacts of the proposed or actual changes to the registered CDM project activity 	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

C.4. Sampling approach

Not Applicable.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	--	--	--
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	--	--	--
Corrections	--	--	--
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	--	--	--
Inclusion of a monitoring plan	--	--	--
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	--	--	--
Changes to the project design	--	--	--
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	--	--	--
Others (please specify): UNFCCC clarification request during preparation of summary note	--	--	--
Total	--	--	--

SECTION D. Validation findings**D.1. Compliance with PDD form**

Means of validation	DR
Findings	--
Conclusion	<p>The revised PDD /01/ has been completed using the latest available template of CDM-PDD-FORM /B05/ and has been submitted in both track change and clean versions /01/.</p> <p>Both the registered /B04/ and revised PDD /01/ were reviewed for the consistency of the information and it is confirmed that the information transferred from the previous template to the new template is materially the same as in the registered PDD /B04/ except the changes due to the proposed PRC.</p> <p>Few addition or deletions have been made in the revised PDD /01/ as compared to the registered PDD /B04/.</p> <p>This confirms to the requirements of §278 and 279 of the CDM VVS for project activities (version 02.0) /B01-1/.</p>

	<p>Furthermore, in accordance with §280 (a) of CDM VVS for project activities (version 02.0) /B01-1/, the validation team confirms that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The revised PDD /01/ is compliant with the valid version of the CDM-PDD-Form /B04/ and instructions therein; and (ii) The information transferred to the revised PDD /01/ is materially the same as that provided in the registered PDD /B04/.
--	--

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	DR
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	DR
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	DR
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	DR
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	DR						
Findings	--						
Conclusion	<p>Following permanent changes have been made to the registered monitoring plan of the registered PoA-DD:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Reason for Change</th><th>Change in PDD</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. There is a revision in accuracy class of monitoring equipment for the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption.</td><td>1. The description of Measurement methods and procedures and QA/QC procedures for parameter EG_{AUX,y} i.e. Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD– the accuracy class is in accordance with the manufacturer's specification (i.e. 0.4).</td></tr> <tr> <td>2. There is a revision in QA/QC procedures of the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption with regard to source of data.</td><td>2. QA/QC procedures of the parameter Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD.</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PP has revised the description of Measurement methods and procedures and QA/QC procedures for parameter EG_{AUX,y} i.e. Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption in section B.7.1 of the revised PDD /01/ to correct the accuracy class from 0.2 to 0.4 /05/ in accordance with the manufacturer's specification. Validation team has found that the proposed changes are in accordance with the Appendix 1 (c) Indicative list of post-registration changes that</p>	Reason for Change	Change in PDD	1. There is a revision in accuracy class of monitoring equipment for the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption.	1. The description of Measurement methods and procedures and QA/QC procedures for parameter EG _{AUX,y} i.e. Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD– the accuracy class is in accordance with the manufacturer's specification (i.e. 0.4).	2. There is a revision in QA/QC procedures of the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption with regard to source of data.	2. QA/QC procedures of the parameter Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD.
Reason for Change	Change in PDD						
1. There is a revision in accuracy class of monitoring equipment for the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption.	1. The description of Measurement methods and procedures and QA/QC procedures for parameter EG _{AUX,y} i.e. Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD– the accuracy class is in accordance with the manufacturer's specification (i.e. 0.4).						
2. There is a revision in QA/QC procedures of the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption with regard to source of data.	2. QA/QC procedures of the parameter Auxiliary Electricity Consumption has been revised in section B.7.1 of PDD.						

	<p>may be suitable for approval under the issuance track of Standard: CDM project standard for project activities Version 02.0 /B02-2/ and confirms that the proposed change in the monitoring plan have no impact on the applicability of the applied methodologies or the other applied methodological regulatory documents, or the accuracy and completeness of the monitoring since the auxiliary electricity constitute around 0.2 % of the gross electricity supplied to grid by the CDM project. PP has also revised QA/QC procedures of the parameter Quantity of Auxiliary Electricity Consumption to correct the information with regard to source of data. During off site interviews it was verified by the verification team that the value for the same were recorded onsite in generation terminals of every 15 minutes of auxiliary services (MW). The applied version of monitoring methodology requires the records of measurement cross checked with the records of electricity sold (which is $EG_{gross,y}$) and do not have such requirement for the auxiliary consumption of the project. COES does not have any requirement either for the accuracy class or for the meter for auxiliary consumption and it also does not approve any records for auxiliary consumption of the plant. Hence the required changes are correct and actual condition at the plant site, also does not reduce the accuracy and completeness of the monitoring plan. These changes therefore can be submitted through issuance track as per Project standard version 02.0.</p> <p>In accordance with requirements of §297 of CDM VVS for PA (version 02.0) /B01-1/, the validation team confirms that these permanent changes are in compliance with the applied methodology, the applied standardized baseline, the applied methodological tools and is unlikely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the calculation of emission reductions.</p> <p>Furthermore, in accordance with §298 of CDM VVS for PA (version 02.0) /B01-1/, the validation team concludes that the permanent changes comply with the relevant requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodology.</p>
--	---

D.7. Changes to the project design

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	DR
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

The final validation report passed a technical review before being submitted to the UNFCCC Executive Board. A technical reviewer qualified in accordance with the CCIPL's qualification scheme for CDM validation and verification performed the technical review.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Post-registration changes for the registered CDM Project Activity "Potrero Hydropower Plant, Peru" having UNFCCC reference number 8414. During the verification of the project activity, following issuance track Post Registration Changes to the registered project activity has been identified.

- Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

The post registration changes (PRC) to registered project activity has been validated in line with the requirements of PCP for project activities (version 02.0) /B01/, PS for project activities (version 02.0) /B01/ and VVS for project activities (version 02.0) /B01/.

CC IPL based on review of the revised PDD /01/ and interview with the PP confirms that the proposed changes:

- Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

The version of the templates for PDD was updated to the latest version of template. This change was assessed to confirm that the revised PDD complies with the completing instructions of the CDM-PDD-FORM /B04/.

The validation team can confirm that the post registration changes carried out to PDD is in accordance with the requirements of UNFCCC. The DOE therefore accepts the changes and request for the approval of “Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan.

The validation was performed on the basis of rules and requirements defined by UNFCCC for the CDM project activities. The review of the revised PDD /01/, supporting documentation and subsequent follow-up actions (including interviews), have provided CC IPL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria.

Carbon Check India Private Ltd. concludes the validation with a positive opinion that the Project Activity “Potrero Hydropower Plant, Peru”, meets all applicable requirements of UNFCCC for post-registration changes and therefore recommends for the approval of “Change in Monitoring Plan” made to the PDD.

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
BE	Baseline Emissions
CA	Corrective Action/ Clarification Action
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CC IPL	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CL	Clarification Request
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO _{2e}	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
DVR	Draft Validation Report
EB	CDM Executive Board
EF	Emission Factor
FA	Final Approval
FAR	Forward Action Request
FVR	Final Validation Report
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWh	Giga Watt Hour
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LoA	Letter of Approval(s)
LE	Leakage Emissions
MoC	Modalities of Communication
MP	Monitoring Period
MR	Monitoring Report
MWh	Mega Watt Hour
OSV	On Site Visit
PE	Project Emissions
PP(s)	Project Participant(s)
PRC	Post registration change
QC/QA	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance
TA	Technical Area
TR	Technical Review
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard
VT	Validation / Verification team

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers



Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.

Vikash Kumar Singh

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures, in accordance with requirements of Accreditation Standard (version 07.0):

For following functions:

Validator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team Leader	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical reviewer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical Expert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Assessor ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

In the following Technical Areas:

TA 1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TA 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 4.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 8.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 10.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 14.1	<input type="checkbox"/>
TA 2.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Mr. Amit Anand
CEO

Date of Approval
24/12/2020

Valid Till
24/12/2021

Revision History of the Document

26/12/2014	Initial Adoption
24/12/2015	Annual Revision
20/01/2016	Interim Revision for office address change
23/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2018	Annual Revision
24/12/2019	Annual Revision
01/03/2020	Interim Revision for office address change
01/09/2020	Interim Revision for CCIPL logo change
24/12/2020	Annual Revision

¹ India, South Africa

CARBON CHECK (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED

CIN: U74930DL2012PTC232495

Regd. Off: 2071/38, 2nd Floor, Naiwala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi - 110005

Corporate off: Unit No. 1701, Logix City Centre Office Tower, Plot No. BW-58, Sector-32 Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Tel: +91 120 4373114 | URL: www.carboncheck.co.in | e-mail: info@carboncheck.co.in



Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.

Amit Anand

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures, in accordance with requirements of Accreditation Standard (version 07.0):

For following functions:

Validator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team Leader	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical reviewer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical Expert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Assessor ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

In the following Technical Areas:

TA 1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.2	<input type="checkbox"/>
TA 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 4.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 8.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TA 10.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 14.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TA 2.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 5.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 9.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	TA 13.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh
Compliance Officer

Date of Approval
24/12/2020

Valid Till
24/12/2021

Revision History of the Document

26/12/2014	Initial Adoption
24/12/2015	Annual Revision
20/01/2016	Interim Revision for office address change
23/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2017	Annual Revision
24/12/2018	Annual Revision
24/12/2019	Annual Revision
01/03/2020	Interim Revision for office address change
01/09/2020	Interim Revision for CCIPL logo change
24/12/2020	Annual Revision

¹ India and South Africa

CARBON CHECK (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED
CIN: U74930DL2012PTC232495

Regd. Off: 2071/38, 2nd Floor, Naiwala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi - 110005

Corporate off: Unit No. 1701, Logix City Centre Office Tower, Plot No. BW-58, Sector-32 Noida, Uttar Pradesh
Tel: +91 120 4373114 | URL: www.carboncheck.co.in | e-mail: info@carboncheck.co.in

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
/01/	PP	Revised Final PDD	Version: 12; Dated: 17/12/2020	Project Participant
/02/	PP	Final MR	Version: 04; Dated: 17/12/2020	Project Participant
/03/	PP	ER Sheet corresponding to /02/	Dated: 17/12/2020	Project Participant
/04/	CC IPL	Final verification report	Version: 02 Dated: 18/12/2020	
/05/	PP	<p>Evidence for the technical specifications and/or calibration requirements of the electricity meter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Auxiliary electricity meter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • https://electroind.com/products/Nexus_1500+/pdf/brochures/Nexus-1500+-Meter-Brochure_E154718.pdf • Manual of auxiliary meter (Make: WEG, model: MMW02) for accuracy class 0.4 	--	Project Participant
/B01/	UNFCCC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CDM Validation and Verification Standard for Project Activities 2. CDM Project Standard for Project Activities 3. CDM Project Cycle Procedure for Project Activities 	Version: 02.0	UNCCC
/B02/	UNFCCC	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (Version 12.0)	http://cdm.unfccc.int	UNCCC
/B03/	UNFCCC	Registered PDD (version 8; Dated: 23/10/2012) and the corresponding validation report	http://cdm.unfccc.int	UNCCC
/B04/	UNFCCC	Project Design Document form (CDM-PDD-FORM) and filling instructions	Version: 11	UNCCC

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verifications

FAR ID	xx	Section no.	--	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 2. CLs from this validation

CL ID		Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CL				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 3. CARs from this validation

Findings raised during the verification (applicable to this PRC)

CAR ID	--	Section no.	--	Date: --
Description of CAR				
Project participant response				Date: --
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date:

Table 2. FARs from this validation

FAR ID	Xx	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
-				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
-				
Documentation provided by project participant				
-				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
-				

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (CDM-EB93-A05-STAN);• Make editorial improvements.
02.0	31 October 2017	Revision to align with the requirements in the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0).
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		