



## **CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

### **PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Fifty-fourth meeting

UNFCCC  
Bonn, Germany  
24-28 May 2010

#### **CONTENTS**

#### **I. PROPOSED AGENDA**

#### **II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

- Annex 1 - Draft procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities
- Annex 2 - Draft procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for A/R CDM project activities
- Annex 3 - Draft “Guidelines on the treatment of national and sectoral policies in the demonstration and assessment of additionality”
- Annex 4 - Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs
- Annex 5 - Draft standardized format for monitoring reports to improve consistency in reporting of the implementation and monitoring of the project activity by project participants
- Annex 6 - Status of income and expenditure
- Annex 7 - Draft CDM-MAP 2010
- Annex 8 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes
- Annex 9 - Summary of responses to the calls for input related to appeals
- Annex 10 - Possible options for loan scheme to cover the cost of CDM project development to countries with less than 10 projects

#### **Please also refer to the following documents from previous meetings:**

- Annex 11 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Guideline for the demonstration of additionality in the context of PoA”
- Annex 12 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”
- Annex 15 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance.



PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (d) Matters relating to programme of activities
  - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities \*
  - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry \*\*
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html)) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\* For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website  
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## PROPOSED AGENDA

### II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

#### 1) Membership issues(including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

The Board may wish to welcome the new member of the Executive Board, Mr. Duan Maosheng from China, who assumes the seat for a Non-Annex I member that had remained vacant at CMP.5.

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may want to further consider the information provided by the secretariat on potential repercussions of complying with the CMP request that members publish statements on conflict of interest.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board considered the information by the secretariat on potential repercussions of complying with the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5 paragraph 15, to publish statements on conflict of interest, bearing in mind issues related to privileges and immunities of Board members, and agreed to continue to consider this issue at the next meeting.

3. ► **Action:** The Board may want to further consider the issue related to the terms of reference of the Board, following the request by CMP.

*Background:* Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5 paragraph 14, to recommend terms of reference for membership of the Board that clarify the set of skills and expertise as well as the expected time commitment required by members and alternate members for consideration by the CMP at its sixth session.

#### 2) Adoption of the agenda

4. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the fifty-fourth meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its fifty-fourth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 3 May 2010 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

### 3) Work plan

#### (a) Accreditation of operational entities

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the forty-eighth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon, on the work of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its forty-eighth meeting on 28–30 April 2010 in Bonn, Germany.

#### *Case specific*

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation have been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 5 May 2010 under confidentiality.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the results of performance assessment of DOEs.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the information on the outcome of performance assessments has been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 5 May 2010 under confidentiality.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the notification by the CDM-AP on the communication from an entity regarding its accreditation status, and provide guidance to the CDM-AP as appropriate.

*Background:* The CDM-AP, through the secretariat, received a communication from an entity regarding its accreditation status. The CDM-AP's notification on this communication has been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 5 May 2010 under confidentiality.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the work undertaken related to the liability of the DOEs for excess issuance of the CERs in the context of the validation and verification activities which they have carried out.

*Background:* The Board at its fifty-first meeting requested the secretariat to develop a procedure, in collaboration with the CDM-AP, to address liability of the DOEs for excess issuance of the CERs in the context of the validation and verification activities which they have carried out. The proposal should take into account provisions stipulated in the paragraph 22 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures, Validation and Verification Manual, CDM Accreditation Standard and other relevant documents. The draft procedure should be considered by the Board at its fifty-third meeting.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the information provided by the secretariat on the performance of DOEs.

*Background:* The Board at its fifty-third meeting requested the secretariat to inform the Board at each of its future meetings of the performance of all DOEs.

#### *General guidance*

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the status of activities relating to the implementation of the Validation and Verification Manual (CDM-VVM).

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-fourth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The Board at its forty-fifth meeting, agreed to undertake activities relating to the implementation of the CDM-VVM, inter alia, (a) holding three workshops with AEs/DOEs on the CDM-VVM; (b) establishing a web-based communication facility for AEs/DOEs on the CDM-VVM; and (c) exploring other possibilities for wider dissemination of the CDM-VVM.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an update on the CDM-AP's work and the preparation of the workshop for DOEs on the revision of the Accreditation Standard.

*Background:* The Board at its fifty-third meeting, took note of the work undertaken by the CDM-AP on the revision of the CDM Accreditation Standard. The Board requested the CDM-AP to intensify this work, specifically on the further definition of technical areas and relevant competence requirements. The Board further requested the secretariat to organize a workshop that would be open to all DOEs to provide input on these issues.

13. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-ninth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held on 23-25 June 2010 in Bonn, Germany.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-fourth meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel) did not take place as scheduled on 3 – 7 May 2010 in Bonn, Germany.

*Background:* The Meth Panel did not held its forty-fourth meeting from 3 – 7 May 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The meeting was cancelled due to the flight situation in Europe during this period. The secretariat will provide information on the next Meth Panel meeting.

***General guidance***

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities” and the draft “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for A/R CDM project activities”. The draft revised procedures are contained in annex 1 and annex 2 to these annotations. The revision of these procedures might imply the revision of the validation procedures.

*Background:* The Board at its fiftieth meeting, considered a synthesis summary of the analysis on the performance of the process of considering methodology-related submissions including a work programme of specific actions to be taken based on this analysis, submitted as annex 2 of annotations to the fiftieth meeting of the Board. The Board agreed on all the actions included in this analysis and further took note that the secretariat will submit relevant draft revised procedures for consideration at a future meeting, based on the improvement proposals accepted by the Board. The Board further clarified that these actions are applicable to the work of Methodologies Panel, the Small Scale Working Group and the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a revision of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”.

*Background:* At its fifty-third meeting, the Board agreed to continue the consideration of the proposed revision to the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” at its fifty-fourth meeting. The draft revised tool is contained in annex 7 of the MP 43 report.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update of the work related to first-of-its-kind and common practice.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The CMP, at its fifth session, requested the Board to work on the development of guidance for project participants on the use of a first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, including the definition of the applicable region, similar technologies and thresholds for penetration rates.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to took note of the request for guidance from the Meth Panel on the request for clarification AM\_CLA\_0164 on approved methodology AM0001.

*Background:* At its fifty-second meeting, the Board took note of the request for guidance from the Meth Panel on the request for clarification AM\_CLA\_0164 on approved methodology AM0001, in view of decision 8 of CMP.1, that under which conditions in the case of swing plants, the applicability condition with regard to the operating history is deemed to be met. The Board agreed to defer the discussions on this issue.

(c) **Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Peer Stiansen, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its twenty-fifth meeting on 26–29 April 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

***Revisions of approved methodologies:***

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-II.J’ as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* At its fifty-third meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for public inputs on specific aspects of approved small scale methodologies for energy efficient residential lighting. The SSC WG took into account public inputs (see [http://cdm.unfccc.int/public\\_inputs/2010/additionality\\_ren\\_nri/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/2010/additionality_ren_nri/index.html)), together with the requests for clarifications/revisions received and proposed a revised version of AMS-II.J. In summary, the SSC WG believes that AMS II.J is a balanced methodology in terms of supporting implementation of residential CFL projects while providing conservative values for estimating emission reductions. It allows use of default values and has limited monitoring in balance with requiring testing, i.e. validation, of claimed lamp failure rate, a straight-line failure rate assumption, and limiting the crediting period (lamp life). Given concerns about reduced lifetimes of CFLs in countries with grids that have significant voltage fluctuations and the growing prevalence of CFLs in many non-Annex I countries the SSC WG believes it is appropriate to maintain the overall current balance while making some simplification modifications. See paragraph 4 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG for further details.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.D’ as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to several requests for clarifications received (e.g., SSC\_204, 371, 377, 378, 409), the SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS-I.D together with recommending a new type-I methodology entitled “Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid”. The proposed revisions distinguish the project activities solely supplying renewable electricity to a grid from activities displacing electricity from a grid. The parameters to be monitored including the required frequency and QA/QC procedures are presented in a tabular format.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.A’ as contained in annex 3 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* Consistent with changes proposed to AMS-I.D, the SSC WG agreed to propose a revised draft of AMS-I.A. A definition of mini-grid has been included.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.C’ as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to several clarification requests received ( e.g., SSC\_358, 374, 410 ), the SSC WG proposed additional guidelines on determining baseline emissions for project activities involving fuel switch from fossil fuel to biomass in thermal generating equipment. An applicability criteria on the use of biomass briquette has also been provided.

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.Z’ as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to submission SSC\_385 requesting a revision to expand its applicability to project activities involving fuel switch from high carbon intensive fuel to low carbon intensive fuels in brick manufacturing facility, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.Z.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.AG’ as contained in annex 6 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to submission SSC\_387 requesting a revision of AMS-III.AG, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.AG to broaden its applicability to Greenfield/Capacity expansion project activities and to non-element processes when only one output (e.g. either heat or electricity) is considered for estimating emission reductions.

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.T’ as contained in annex 7 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to submissions (e.g. NM009, NM0051) requesting the inclusion of biodiesel production for transportation applications, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.T taking into account the procedures and default values contained in ACM0017. The SSC WG agreed to continue to work on a draft type I methodology for stationery applications of plant oil/biodiesel and finalize it at its twenty sixth meeting.

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-II.H’ as contained in annex 8 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to several submissions received (e.g.SSC\_394, SSC\_332, SSC\_248) the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-II.H in order to include procedures for Greenfield trigeneration/cogeneration projects. Options for sample based monitoring of thermal energy output where continuous monitoring is not feasible are also included.

**Case specific**

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘SSC-III.AK Installation of cogeneration or trigeneration systems supplying energy to commercial buildings’ as contained in annex 12 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* In response to SSC-NM041-rev, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology for installation of a new cogeneration/trigeneration plant to supply energy (heating/cooling/electricity) in existing and new buildings. The methodology also includes a pertinent definition of natural gas. The SSC WG agreed to recommend that this definition of natural gas is included in CDM glossary of terms.

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘SSC-I.F Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid’, as contained in annex 11 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to several request for clarifications made(e.g., SSC\_204, 371, 377, 409), SSC WG recommended a new type-I methodology for renewable electricity generation to supply to user(s) to displace electricity that would have been supplied from a mini-grid/national/regional grid or onsite fossil fuel fired captive power plants.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG to revise the procedures for determining the occurrence of de-bundling applicable to SSC CDM project activities as contained in annex 10 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* In response to SSC\_391, the SSC WG recommended that the procedures for determining the occurrence of de-bundling applicable to SSC CDM project activities be aligned with procedures for debundling check for program of activities (PoA), in the context of project activities comprising small independent subsystem/measures.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG on the revision of the general guidelines for SSC methodologies as contained in annex 9 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The SSC WG recommended changes to the procedures to determine baseline scenarios for type II and III Greenfield and Capacity expansion project activities through a revision to General Guidelines for SSC Methodologies.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the SSC WG on simplified modalities for demonstrating additionality of small scale renewable energy and energy efficiency project activities, as contained in annex 14 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, agreed to launch a call for inputs inviting suggestions for establishment of simplified modalities for demonstrating additionality for project activities up to 5 megawatts that employ renewable energy as their primary technology and for energy efficiency project activities that aim to achieve energy savings at a scale of no more than 20 gigawatt hours per year. Taking into account the public inputs received, see [http://cdm.unfccc.int/public\\_inputs/2010/additionality\\_ren\\_nri/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/2010/additionality_ren_nri/index.html), the SSC WG has recommended simplified modalities for demonstrating additionality.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG on the criteria for the applicability of SSC CDM methodologies for projects involving industrial facilities with low signal to noise ratios (ratio of emission reduction to baseline emissions) as contained in annex 15 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* Taking into account the guidance from the Board ( e.g. paragraph 58, EB 47) and based on an analysis of the CDM pipeline, the SSC WG agreed to recommend criteria for the applicability of SSC CDM methodologies for projects involving industrial facilities with low signal to noise ratio.



**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-fourth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to launch a call for public inputs on the specific aspects of a methodology framework for estimating GHG reductions from replacing fuel-based lighting with LED Systems as listed in annex 13 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* As per the semester plan approved by the Board, the SSC WG commenced the work on developing a methodology for substitution of fossil based lighting with efficient lighting (e.g., LED lighting). It considered a methodology framework for estimating GHG reductions from replacing fuel-based lighting with LED Systems prepared taking into account expert inputs. The SSC WG requested the Board to open a call for public inputs on the issue.

35. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the cases SSC-NM024, SSC-NM037, SSC-NM035, SSC-NM042, SSC-NM044 and SSC-NM051 were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board<sup>2</sup> by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 23 and SSC WG 25 reports).

36. **Note:** *The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 15–18 June 2010 in Bonn, Germany*

**(d) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)**

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of programme of activities.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>), indicates the status of programmes of activities submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

***General guidance***

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further consider the “Guidelines for determining the eligibility criteria related to the demonstration of additionality for inclusion of CPAs in registered Programmes of Activities”, as contained in annex 11 to the annotated agenda of the fifty-first meeting, including issues related to this matter.

*Background:* Due to time constraints, the Board at its last meetings did not consider “Guidelines for determining the eligibility criteria related to the demonstration of additionality for inclusion of CPAs in registered Programmes of Activities”, and agreed to consider these at a future meeting.

**(e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

***Case specific***

40. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 21 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

---

<sup>2</sup> In accordance with paragraph 13 of the procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small scale methodology.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-fourth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
2524	Metro Clark Landfill Gas Capture System	?	?	?
2818	Pingdingshan Coal (Group) Company Chaochuan Mine 6*2MW Coke Oven Gas Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
2831	Huadian Laizhou Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2842	Hunan Xiaotan Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2928	Sunquest Biomass Renewable Energy Project	?	?	?
2930	Huadian Beijing Natural Gas based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2932	Tao River Lianlu Cascade II (Xiacheng) 37.5MW Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, China	?	?	?
2960	Chongqing Jinjiaba HydroElectric Project	?	?	?
3004	Filmax Biomass Thermal Energy Project	?	?	?
3005	North Longyuan Huitengliang Wind Power Project	?	?	?
3012	Yunnan Lufeng Tuo'an 10MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3019	PFC Emission Reductions at PT. Indonesia Asahan Aluminium (PT. INALUM) Kuala Tanjung	?	?	?
3020	GHG Emission Reductions through grid connected high efficiency power generation	?	?	?
3047	Chongqing Longshui 8MW Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
3050	SDIC Gansu Yellow River Wujinxia Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3076	Mengshan Xingupai Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
3079	Hebei Chengde Peifeng Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
3091	Huadian Tongliao Beiqinghe 300 MW Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
3113	Gutanhe 10 MW Hydropower Project in Yunnan Province	?	?	?
3121	Yunlong 8MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3174	Yunnan Province Yingjiang County Nanlang River 2nd Level Hydropower Station	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

41. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, decided to consider a maximum of 30 request for review cases for registration and issuance at fifty-fourth meeting of the Board.

42. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, decided to defer the consideration of cases placed under review at the fifty-third meeting to the fifty-fifth meeting of the Board and to provide for a longer period of 3 weeks for the DOE and project participant to address the issues raised by the Board.

**Registration procedure/General guidance**

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Guidelines on the treatment of national and sectoral policies in the demonstration and assessment of additionality”, as contained in annex 3 to this annotated agenda.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, considered the draft “Working paper for policy discussion on the application of E+/E- policies in the assessment of additionality” and requested the secretariat to proceed to draft guidelines taking into account the comments provided by members for consideration at its next meeting.

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”, as contained in annex 12 to the annotations of the fifty-first meeting<sup>3</sup>.

*Background:* Due to time constraints, the Board at its last meetings, did not consider the “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”, and agreed to consider this proposed procedure at its next meeting.

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised information note “Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs” as contained in annex 4 to this annotated agenda.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, considered the information note regarding the “Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs” and requested the secretariat to revise the draft, taking into account the comments provided by members for consideration at its fifty-fourth meeting.

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further discuss the improvements to the review process for registration and issuance and take note of the lists containing requirements to be checked during the completeness check and the VVM reporting requirements check.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, agreed to continue at its next meeting to discuss improvements to these procedures. The Board further agreed that the following two stages of the completeness checks be included in the new procedures: (a) A check on the completeness of submitted documentation; (b) A subsequent check of the submission against VVM reporting requirements

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the update by the secretariat on the prioritization of processing new submissions related to registration and issuance cases including those which are in the stage of completeness check.

*Background:* In the context of further streamlining the registration and issuance process the Board, at its fifty-third meeting, took note of the current delays in the processing of requests for registration and requests for issuance and requested the secretariat to prioritize the processing of new submissions including those which are in the stage of completeness check.

**(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

***Case specific***

49. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 9 requests for issuance listed in table 2.

<sup>3</sup> <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/051/eb51annagan12.pdf>>

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-fourth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Table 2: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0042	Tétouan Wind Farm Project for Lafarge Cement Plant	59551	?	?	?
0717	India Cements WHR project	8735	?	?	?
0872	4.0 MW Power Plant Using Clinker Cooling Gas Waste Heat	15072	?	?	?
0898	Ningguo Cement Plant 9100KW Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Anhui Conch Cement Co. Ltd	71857	?	?	?
1135	Jiangxi Fengcheng Mining Administration CMM Utilization Project	152427	?	?	?
1411	La Cascada 2.3 MW Hydroelectric Project	8492	?	?	?
1676	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Zongyang Conch Cement Company Limited	68290	?	?	?
1856	Wind power project by HZL in Gujarat.	84245	?	?	?
1929	Nantong Coalmine Methane	58707	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 2 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 2), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 2) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 2). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

50. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, decided to consider a maximum of 30 request for review cases for registration and issuance at fifty-fourth meeting of the Board.

51. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, decided to defer the consideration of cases placed under review at the fifty-third meeting to the fifty-fifth meeting of the Board and to provide for a longer period of 3 weeks for the DOE and project participant to address the issues raised by the Board.

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider submissions by DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered PDD as listed in table 3 below.

*Table 3: Notification of changes/request for approval of changes from the registered PDD*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Type</i>
1144	Tambun LPG Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project	Notification
1462	ISL Waste Heat Recovery Project, India	Notification
0373	São João Landfill Gas to Energy Project (SJ)	Request
1709	Angang Sinter Machine Waste Heat Recovery and Generation Project	Request

*Background:* The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the "Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD". The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide if (a) To accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) To accept the changes and allow

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-fourth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the Certified Emission Reductions up to the level estimated in the original PDD; (c) To reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

**General guidance**

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft "Procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance", as contained in annex 15 to the annotations of the fifty-first meeting<sup>4</sup>.

*Background:* Due to time constraints, the Board at its last meetings, did not consider the "Procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance", and agreed to consider this proposed procedure at its next meeting.

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the standardized format for monitoring reports to improve consistency in reporting of the implementation and monitoring of the project activity by project participants, as contained in annex 5 to this annotated agenda.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-first meeting, requested the secretariat to develop a standardized format for monitoring reports to improve consistency in reporting of the implementation and monitoring of the project activity by project participants.

**4) Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and (b) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 6 of these annotations.

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised proposal for the CDM-MAP 2010, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-second meeting, decided to defer the approval of the CDM-MAP as presented. It asked the secretariat to revise the proposal, (i) incorporating a more detailed background and justification for the increased resources vis a vis workload projections for 2010; (ii) indicating the resources allocated to each activity; (iii) providing a detailed, clear and consistent status of human resources, including concrete plans on how and when the vacant posts will be filled.

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and request the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

**5) Other matters****(a) Guidance by CMP**

58. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the inputs received in response to the call for inputs from stakeholders on "Procedures for appeals in accordance with the CMP requests in paragraphs 42–43 of Decision 2/CMP.5", as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* In accordance with the CMP requests in paragraphs 42-43 of Decision 2/CMP.5, the Board, at its fifty-third meeting, agreed to launch a call for public inputs, inviting views on procedures for appeals brought by stakeholders directly involved in the design, approval or implementation of CDM project

<sup>4</sup> <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/051/eb51annagan15.pdf>>

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

activities or proposed CDM project activities, in relation to: (a) situations where a DOE may not have performed its duties in accordance with rules/requirements of the CMP and/or the Board; and (b) rulings taken by or under the authority of the Board regarding the rejection or alteration of requests for registration or issuance. The Board agreed that this call for inputs include views on how procedures for appeals interrelate to revised procedures for registration, issuance and review currently under the Board's consideration in accordance with paragraph 37 of Decision 2/CMP.5.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the status of the requests made by the CMP to the Board through the decision 2/CMP.5 along with the measures on strategic improvements to efficiency in the operation of the CDM agreed by the Board previously.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-third meeting, agreed to consider at its next meeting the status of the requests made by the CMP to the Board through the decision 2/CMP.5 along with the measures on strategic improvements to efficiency in the operation of the CDM agreed by the Board previously (EB50, Annex 53).

**(b) Regional distribution**

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider possible options for a loan scheme to cover the cost of CDM project development to countries with less than 10 projects, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP at its fifth session, requested the Board to recommend guidelines and modalities for operationalizing the loans to support the following activities in countries with fewer than 10 registered clean development mechanism project activities (a) To cover the costs of the development of project design documents; (b) To cover the costs of validation and the first verification for these project activities.

**(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities**

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the preparations of the next CDM DNA Forum to be held in the first half of 2010. The DNA Forum did not take place as planned on 22-23 April due to the flight situation in Europe during this period. The secretariat will provide information on the next meeting.

*Background:* The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

**(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting. At its last meeting the Chair of the Board requested the Chair of DOE/AE Forum to address the issue of timelines of validation and verification of projects.

**(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report by the secretariat on the activities initiated in order to enhance the interaction and communication with CDM stakeholders as mandated by decision 2/CMP.5 and previous decisions of the Board.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* At its fifty-third meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to initiate activities in order to enhance the interaction and communication with CDM stakeholders, as mandated by decision 2/CMP.5 and previous decisions of the Board.

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

(f) **Other business**

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

During the reporting period no communications were received from **Designated Operational Entities (DOEs)**. The following communications from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs)** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-fourth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Umar Farooq, Government of Pakistan, received on 11 March 2010, relating to Carbon Credits on Pakistan CFL project;
- (b) Letter from Ms. Loreta G. Ayson, Philippine Department of Energy, received on 19 March 2010, relating to the impact of a possible revision of methodology AMS II.J on the Philippine Energy Efficiency Project;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Dessalegne Mesfin, Environmental Protection Authority, Government of Ethiopia, received on 15 March 2010, relating to an appeal on the proposed rejection of applicability of methodology ACM 0015 for Greenfield cement plants;

The following communications from **other stakeholders** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-fourth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 7 May 2010, relating to effective means for public participation;
- (b) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 7 May 2010, relating to transparency about unsolicited letters and LoAs;
- (c) Letter from Dr. Erich Vogt, George Washington University, received on 6 May 2010, relating to reforming the CDM's financial mechanism - the Adaptation Fund;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, PD Forum, received on 2 May 2010, relating to a deferral of requests for review and review cases;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Christiaan M.J. Kröner, PCA, received on 29 April 2010, relating to CDM appellate procedures;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Henry Derwent, IETA, received on 23 April 2010, relating to post-2012 Issuance and communication with Project Participants;



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fourth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (g) Letter from Mr. Fabio Guerrero, Inolasa, received on 14 April 2010, relating to project activity 1314 - Impact of technical change to the project;
  - (h) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 18 March 2010, relating to a request for immediate suspension of ACM 0013;
  - (i) Letter from Mr. Samy Maged Dawood, NGO Better Community, received on 17 March 2010, relating to the reporting of a case right fraud and potential corruption for the Egyptian factory GHG emission reduction project activity 0834;
  - (j) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, The World Bank, received on 14 March 2010, relating to a request for workshop of practitioners on modification to methodologies AMS II.C and AMS II. J;
  - (k) Letter from Mr. Edmundo Klophaus, Philips Lighting, received on 13 March 2010, relating to EB53 consideration for call for public input on efficient lighting methodologies;
  - (l) Letter from Mr. John Mackle, MGM international, received on 11 March 2010, relating to extreme delays in SOP payments;
  - (m) Letter from Ms. Anne Arquit Niederberger, Policy Solutions, received on 10 March 2010, relating to the request for revision SSC\_379 and public call for input.
66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

**6) Conclusion of the meeting**

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the fifty-fourth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -